

**INTERAGENCY COORDINATING COUNCIL
COMMITTEE MEETING NOTES**

COMMITTEE: Child and Family Outcomes Committee

RECORDER: Stephanie Myers, WestEd/CPEI **DATE:** February 25, 2010

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

PRESENT: Cheryl Treadwell (Chair), Debbie Sarmiento (Chair), Sherry Torok, Terry Colborn, Ed Gold

ABSENT: Michele Donahue (DDS)

GUESTS: Debra Benetiz (WestEd), Dale Sorbello (Consultant), Peter Michael Miller, Elise Barns (Nurse Consultant DDS)

LIAISONS: Nancy Sager (CDE)

MEETING NOTES

INTRODUCTIONS AND WELCOME

Debbie Sarmiento welcomed everyone and everyone introduced themselves.

Agenda Review

The agenda was reviewed and the committee approved the February agenda.

Review and approval of November Meeting Notes

The committee approved the November 2009 meeting minutes.

Chairs Report

The Co Chairs provided an overview of the Executive Committee meeting.

Membership

The committee was asked to update and report any changes in the ICC booklet. Two changes were noted:

- Al Milan is a parent and an "*" should be placed by his name
- Change information for Ed Gold.

Stephanie will submit information to Stacie for changes.

Activities and Workplan

The committee requested information and assistance at the November 2009 meeting regarding the Family Outcomes Survey that was sent in late 2009. Dale Sorbello and Debbie Benetiz joined the committee to provide information about the survey and also about the Part C State Annual Performance Report (APR) for 2008.

First, Dale and Debbie provided a brief overview and history of the 3 question survey. Dale came on board to work on the plan for the survey and to assist with the revisions

to the APR in October 2009. Dale was the primary lead in the process and Debbie was the primary writer of Indicator #4. 5,000 surveys were sent out, with a two-week turn around and 1,404 (28.1%) surveys were returned, only one survey was not able to be used.

Debbie and Dale also reported that every year OSEP takes a look at all of the indicators and proceed with their own survey for the Nation. The survey results for Indicator #4 for California was not far off of the National percentages. They also reported that the response rate (28.4%) for this particular survey was better than the National average, which is usually about 16%.

Dale and Debbie indicated that some of the next steps might include some improvement activities for the next survey cycle in July or August:

- Start planning earlier in the year
 - For planning and implementation and response rate
- Allow time to send out reminders
- More time for collaboration with the FRCs and to work more closely with the FRCs
- Possible translations of materials into other language(s)
- Expand sample size (need statistical significance for every Regional Center area)
 - need a better dissemination plan
- Discussion with CDE around Low Incidence served by CDE: this was a breakdown in the process – only 8 districts responded. Mostly because time was an issue. Also, it is a different system and it has its own complications.

The committee discussed the work plan for April and the agenda might include development of additional work:

Identify possible 'Impact Questions' for California could be added to the survey
Dissemination plan for September 2010 Survey

- Strategies to include response rate
- What to do locally (FRCs/Regional Centers)
- Plan

Other Business

Debbie Sarmiento reported that the Network has webinar access and service to offer until September 2010.

Stephanie and Michele will collaborate to bring the federal indicators and outcomes to the April 2010 meeting for committee discussion.

Committee members will add to the April agenda discussion about the FRCNCA representative that reports to the ICC have a voting position on the ICC.

The committee also will address and discuss in April what is happening in the field about the Prevention Program and Early Start including but not limited to:

What changes have happened and how best to provide public information? Sherry Torok will email for the next meeting a side-by-side Fact Sheet from San Diego that the

community uses for providing details about collaboration and clarification of the ES eligibility for the committee to discuss and consider.

TASK	WHEN	WHAT INFO NEEDED	COMPLETED
<p>Inquire how to best assist with the Family Survey process for 2010 dissemination. Some of the tasks may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • revise questions • comparisons in geography/locations • Long term goals may include: impact on monitoring, possible yearly survey 	<p>February 2010</p>	<p>Stephanie provide the 3 questions and indicators #3 & #4 with links to NCSEAM & ECHO Stephanie and Michele will invite Dale Sarbillo to look at the short survey results and Debbie Benitiz (WestEd). Rachel will invite Maxine Eperson (PhD candidate) to attend the February 2010 meeting to assist with the development of the survey. Rachel will also, identify NCSEAM questions for the February meeting.</p>	<p>Stephanie brought the indicators Dale Sorbello and Debbie Benitiz presented information from the Family Outcome Survey and concentrating on Indicator #3&4. The research team also provided the committee with the 3 survey questions. Rachel sent the information about NCSEAM and the ECO surveys.</p>
<p>Child Outcomes The committee will review the 2008/2009 outcome data.</p>	<p>April 2010</p>	<p>Michele to bring 2008/2009 900 outcome data</p>	
<p>Prevention Program Implications <i>What changes have happened and how best to provide public information?</i></p>	<p>April 2010</p>	<p>Sherry Torok will email for the next meeting a side-by-side Fact Sheet from San Diego that the community uses for providing details about collaboration and clarification of the ES eligibility for the committee to discuss and consider.</p>	
<p>Work on recommendation for the FRCNCA representative that reports to the full ICC to also have a voting position.</p>	<p>April 2010</p>	<p>Discuss and work on during committee meeting.</p>	
<p>Implication of having 2 programs/ Prevention Program and Early Start program</p>	<p>August 2010</p>	<p>Committee to discuss and create a useable document 'What's working' Continue work from April discussion about the Prevention Program implications.</p>	
<p>Look at Sample Family Support IFSP Outcomes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Best Practice document • WestEd training 	<p>October 2010</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sample outcomes (Sherry EFRC) • How to incorporate sample outcomes in training resource materials 	

APPROVED ON 04/29/10

Review and discuss the dissemination plan response rate from the Family Survey	October 2010	Michele Donahue to bring Survey results. Request Dale Sorbello and Debbie Benetiz to present survey results.	
Discussion and update regarding: Administrative Law Judges Training.	October 2010	Update	
Prevention program and Early Start services and the implication for Foster Children at the local level..	October 2010	Cheryl Treadwell and Michele Donahue to lead discussion.	

VII. Next meeting: April 29-30, 2010
Sacramento Doubletree

VIII. Adjourn: 4:30 pm