

Central Valley Regional Center

Heather Flores, Executive Director
4615 North Marty Avenue, Fresno, CA 93722-4186
Phone: (559) 276-4300 • Fax: (559) 276-4360
E-mail: hflores@cvrc.org
www.cvrc.org



Spring 2018

Performance Report for Central Valley Regional Center

Every year, the Department of Developmental Services (DDS) contracts with regional centers in California to serve consumers and families. And, every year DDS looks at how well the regional centers are doing. This report will give you information about your regional center.

Last year, at Central Valley Regional Center (CVRC) we served about 19,380 consumers. The charts on page two tell you about the consumers we serve. You'll also see how well we are doing in meeting our goals and in fulfilling our contract with DDS.

At CVRC, we want to improve every year, do better than the state average, and meet or exceed the DDS standard. As you can see in this report, we continue to improve our regional center goals over the past year by having fewer individuals living in developmental centers, having fewer adults living in large facilities, and having more adults moving to home settings. We improved the percentage of CDERs and ESRs that are updated as required. Over the next year, we will seek to improve employment opportunities for adults and improve equity in service provision.

We hope this report helps you learn more about CVRC. If you have any questions or comments, please contact us!

This report is a summary. To see the complete report, go to the tab on Transparency & Access to Info → Contracts → Draft Performance Contract 2018





Or

Contact Cleora Ditommaso at 559-276-4320 or email cditommaso@cvrc.org

Heather Flores
Director, Central Valley Regional Center

Who uses CVRC?

These charts tell you who CVRC consumers are and where they live.



How well is CVRC performing?

This chart tells you about five areas where DDS wants each regional center to keep improving.

The first column tells you how CVRC was doing at the end of 2016, and the second column shows how CVRC was doing at the end of 2017.

To see how CVRC compares to the other regional centers in the state, compare the numbers to the state averages (in the shaded columns).

Regional Center Goals (based on Lanterman Act)	December 2016		December 2017	
	State Average	CVRC	State Average	CVRC
Fewer consumers live in developmental centers	0.30%	0.42%	0.21%	0.27%
More children live with families	99.24%	99.16%	99.32%	99.16%
More adults live in home settings	78.89%	78.20%	79.61%	78.95%
Fewer children live in large facilities (more than 6 people)	0.05%	0.00%	0.04%	0.00%
Fewer adults live in large facilities (more than 6 people)	2.60%	0.85%	2.47%	0.84%

Notes: 1) Consumers can be included in more than one diagnosis category. 2) Residence Types: CCF/ICF is Community Care Facility/Intermediate Care Facility; ILS/SLS is Independent Living Services/Supported Living Services. 3) Home settings include independent living, supported living, Adult Family Home Agency homes, and consumers' family homes. 4) Green text indicates the RC remained the same or improved from the previous year, red indicates the RC did not improve.

Did CVRC meet DDS standards?

Read below to see how well CVRC did in meeting DDS compliance standards:

Area Measured	Last Period	Current Period
Passes independent audit	Yes	Yes
Passes DDS audit	Yes	Yes
Audits vendors as required	Met	Met
Didn't overspend operations budget	Yes	Yes
Participates in the federal waiver	Yes	Yes
CDERs and ESRs are updated as required (CDER is the Client Development Evaluation Report and ESR is the Early Start Report. Both contain information about consumers, including diagnosis.)	95.72%	96.13%
Intake/Assessment timelines for consumers age 3 or older met	99.66%	100%
IPP (<i>Individual Program Plan</i>) requirements met	99.78%	N/A
IFSP (<i>Individualized Family Service Plan</i>) requirements met	87.1%	83.2%

Notes: 1) The federal waiver refers to the Medicaid Home and Community-Based Services Waiver program that allows California to offer services not otherwise available through the Medi-Cal program to serve people (including individuals with developmental disabilities) in their own homes and communities. 2) The CDER and ESR currency percentages were weighted based on the RC's Status 1 and Status 2 caseloads to arrive at a composite score. 3) The IFSP calculation methodology was changed from composite to average in order to more accurately reflect the RC's performance by only including children reviewed during monitoring and not all Early Start consumers. 4) N/A indicates that the regional center was not reviewed for the measure during the current period.

CVRC met seven of the eight DDS standards as reflected above. There was improvement in meeting requirements for updating CDERs and ESRs, and we will seek to improve in meeting IFSP requirements.

How well is CVRC doing at getting consumers working?

CVRC has chosen to include consumer employment as a local measure in their performance contract. The chart below shows how well CVRC is performing on increasing consumer employment performance compared to their prior performance and statewide averages:

Areas Measured	Time Period				
	State Average	CVRC	State Average	CVRC	
		Jan through Dec 2016		Jan through Dec 2017	
Percentage of adults in day services, that interact with people without disabilities:					
Data Source: Client Development Evaluation Report (CDER)					
None	9%	14%	10%	16%	
Few	58%	56%	58%	55%	
Most	18%	15%	18%	15%	
All	14%	15%	15%	15%	
Percentage of adults who engage in paid work:					
Data Source: CDER					
Less than 10 hours/week	7%	10%	7%	10%	
10-25 hours/week	9%	8%	8%	8%	
26-39 hours/week	5%	4%	5%	4%	
40+ hours/week	1%	1%	1%	1%	
Percentage of adults earning:					
Data Source: CDER					
Below minimum wage	57%	78%	53%	75%	
Minimum wage	26%	17%	29%	20%	
Above minimum wage	16%	5%	17%	5%	
Salaried	1%	0%	1%	0%	
Percentage of Adults who Reported:					
Data Source: National Core Indicator Adult Consumer Survey					
		July 2011-June 2012		July 2014-June 2015	
Having a paid job in a community-based setting	13%	11%	13%	9%	
Having integrated employment as a goal in their IPP	27%	27%	27%	24%	
Currently unemployed, but wanting a job in the community	39%	35%	45%	45%	
Earned Income (Adults age 16-64):					
Data Source: Employment Development Department					
		Jan through Dec 2016		Jan through June 2017	
Quarterly number of consumers with earned income	21,817	1,162	23,205	1,212	
Percentage of consumers with earned income	14.2%	12.3%	14.6%	12.6%	
Average annual wages	\$7,953	\$5,020	\$8,368	\$5,463	
Annual earnings of consumers compared at people with all disabilities in California					
Data Source: Cornell University Disability Status Report					
		2015		2016	
		\$43,100		\$45,300	

How well is CVRC doing at reducing disparities and improving equity?

These tables show you how well the regional center is doing at providing services equally for all consumers.

Percent of Per Capita Expenditures and Consumers by Residence Type and Ethnicity/Race Fiscal Years 2015-16 and 2016-17															
Residence Type	Measure	American Indian or Alaska Native		Asian		Black/African American		Hispanic		Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander		White		Other Ethnicity or Race	
		2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017
Family Home	Consumers	0%	0%	6%	6%	5%	5%	59%	59%	0%	0.1%	22%	22%	8%	8%
	Expenditures	0%	1%	5%	5%	6%	6%	51%	51%	0%	0.1%	30%	29%	7%	8%
ILS/SLS	Consumers	1%	1%	5%	5%	13%	13%	37%	36%	0%	0.0%	41%	42%	4%	4%
	Expenditures	0%	0%	2%	3%	12%	12%	27%	24%	0%	0.0%	54%	57%	5%	4%
Institutions	Consumers	0%	0%	3%	34%	19%	21%	45%	34%	0%	0.0%	23%	24%	10%	21%
	Expenditures	0%	0%	0%	72%	43%	1%	19%	72%	0%	0.0%	37%	26%	1%	1%
Residential	Consumers	1%	1%	2%	3%	6%	6%	26%	27%	0%	0.0%	63%	62%	2%	3%
	Expenditures	1%	1%	2%	2%	6%	6%	28%	28%	0%	0.1%	60%	60%	2%	3%
Med/Rehab/Psych	Consumers	0%	1%	1%	35%	3%	6%	32%	34%	0%	0.0%	62%	55%	2%	3%
	Expenditures	0%	3%	0%	24%	0%	0%	18%	24%	0%	0.0%	82%	74%	0%	0%
Other	Consumers	1%	2%	2%	36%	6%	10%	32%	33%	0%	0.0%	48%	46%	11%	7%
	Expenditures	1%	0%	3%	49%	3%	3%	33%	46%	0%	0.0%	58%	48%	3%	0%

Note: 1) Institutions include developmental centers, state hospitals, and correctional facilities. 2) Residential includes care facilities intermediate care facilities, and continuous nursing facilities. 3) Med/Rehab/Psych include skilled nursing facilities, psychiatric treatment and rehabilitation centers, acute general hospitals, sub-acute care services, and community treatment facilities. 4) Other includes consumers who are out-of-state, in hospice, transient/homeless, or not listed elsewhere.

Percent of Expenditures and Consumers by Age Group and Ethnicity/Race
Fiscal Years 2015-16 and 2016-17

Age Group	Measure	American Indian or Alaska Native		Asian		Black/African American		Hispanic		Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander		White		Other Ethnicity or Race	
		2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017
Birth to 2 years	Consumers	0.2%	0.5%	8%	3%	5%	3%	43%	62%	0.1%	0.1%	20%	17%	25%	14%
	Expenditures	0.2%	1%	10%	3%	4%	3%	43%	63%	0.1%	0.1%	20%	18%	23%	12%
3 to 21 years	Consumers	0.3%	0.4%	10%	6%	7%	5%	44%	60%	0.2%	0.1%	25%	21%	14%	8%
	Expenditures	0.3%	1%	12%	4%	8%	5%	37%	49%	0.2%	0.0%	29%	32%	13%	9%
22 years and older	Consumers	0.4%	0.5%	8%	6%	12%	7%	29%	43%	0.2%	0.1%	45%	39%	6%	4%
	Expenditures	0.5%	1%	7%	4%	12%	8%	21%	33%	0.2%	0.1%	54%	52%	6%	4%

Want more information?

To see the complete report, go to the tab on Transparency & Access to Info → Contracts → Draft Performance Contract 2018

Or contact Cleora Ditommaso at 559-276-4320 or email cditommaso@cvrc.org