Golden Gate Regional Center

James Shorter, Executive Director 875 Stevenson St., 6th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103

Phone: (415) 546-9222 • Fax: (415) 546-9203

E-mail: grogin@ggrc.org

www.ggrc.org



Spring 2012

Performance Report for Golden Gate Regional Center

Every year, the Department of Developmental Services (DDS) contracts with regional centers in California to serve consumers and families. And, every year DDS looks at how well the regional centers are doing. This report will give you information about your regional center.

Last year, at Golden Gate Regional Center (GGRC) we served about 7,900 consumers. The charts on page 2 tell you about the consumers we serve. You'll also see how well we are doing in meeting our goals and in fulfilling our contract with DDS.

At GGRC, we want to improve every year, do better than the state average, and meet or exceed the DDS standard. As you can see in this report, we did well in meeting our goals: 1) A smaller percentage of individuals in developmental centers; 2) A consistently high percentage of children living with their families; 3) A greater percentage of adults in home settings; and 4) A diminishing percentage of children and adults living in large facilities. We also did well in all compliance standards.

We hope this report helps you learn more about GGRC. If you have any questions or comments, please contact us!

This report is a summary. To see the complete report, please contact Gabriel Rogin at (415) 832-5676 or grogin@ggrc.org.


James L. Shorter Executive Director

Golden Gate Regional Center


Who uses GGRC?

These charts tell you about who GGRC consumers are and where they live.


WHERE GGRC CONSUMERS LIVE




PRIMARY DIAGNOSIS OF GGRC CONSUMERS



AGE OF GGRC CONSUMERS



ETHNICITY OF GGRC CONSUMERS



How well is GGRC performing?

This chart tells you about 5 areas where DDS wants each regional center to keep improving.

The first column tells you how GGRC was doing at the beginning of 2011. And, the second column shows how GGRC was doing at the end of 2011.

To see how GGRC compares to the other regional centers in the state, compare the numbers to the state averages (in the shaded columns).

Regional Center Goals (based on Lanterman Act)	December 2010		December 2011	
	State Average	GGRC	State Average	GGRC
Less consumers live in developmental centers	0.83%	2.35%	0.73%	2.09%
More children live with families	98.60%	98.80%	98.71%	98.73%
More adults live in home settings*	73.99%	70.34%	74.81%	70.85%
Less children live in large facilities (more than 6 people)	0.13%	0.00%	0.09%	0.00%
Less adults live in large facilities (more than 6 people)	3.80%	4.99%	3.50%	4.64%

^{*} Home settings include: independent living, supported living, Adult Family Home Agency homes, and consumers' family homes.

Did GGRC meet DDS standards?

Read below to see how well GGRC did in meeting DDS compliance standards:

Areas Measured	Last Period	Current Period
Passes independent audit	Yes	Yes
Passes DDS audit	Yes	Yes
Audits vendors as required	Met	Met
Didn't overspend operations budget	Yes	Yes
Participates in the federal waiver	Yes	Yes
CDERs are updated as required (CDER is the Client Development Evaluation Report with information about the consumer's diagnosis)	97.19%	NA*
Intake/Assessment timelines for consumers age 3 or older met	93.70%	93.27%
IPP (Individual Program Plan) requirements met	100%	99.50%
IFSP (Individualized Family Service Plan) requirements met	91.94%	NA**

^{*}Measure temporarily suspended due to implementation of new Early Start Report.

What about other performance areas?

In the future, we will measure how well we are doing in other areas, including:

- Increasing the number of consumers who work,
- Getting better pay for consumers who work,
- Making sure consumers get medical and dental services, and
- Meeting Intake/Assessment and IFSP timelines for children 0–3.

Want more information?

To see the complete report, contact Gabriel Rogin at (415) 832-5676 or grogin@ggrc.org.

^{**}Measure temporarily suspended pending revision to measurement methodology and availability of associated data.