

Valley Mountain Regional Center

Tony Anderson, Executive Director
702 North Aurora Street, Stockton, CA 95202
Phone: (209) 473-0951 • Fax: (209) 473-0256
Email: tanderson@vmrc.net
www.vmrc.net



Spring 2017

Performance Report for Valley Mountain Regional Center

Every year, the Department of Developmental Services (DDS) contracts with regional centers in California to serve consumers and families. And, every year DDS looks at how well the regional centers are doing. This report will give you information about your regional center.

Last year, at Valley Mountain Regional Center (VMRC) we served about 12,600 consumers. The charts on page 2 tell you about the consumers we serve. You'll also see how well we are doing in meeting our goals and in fulfilling our contract with DDS.

At VMRC, we want to improve every year, do better than the state average, and meet or exceed the DDS standard. As you can see in this report, we did well in specific areas such as less consumers living in developmental centers, more adults living in home settings, and less children living in large facilities. But, we still need to improve in the area of less adults living in large facilities and more children living with their families.

We hope this report helps you learn more about VMRC. If you have any questions or comments, please contact us!

This report is a summary. To see the complete report, go to: www.vmrc.net


Or contact Valley Mountain Regional Center at **(209)473-0951**.

Tony Anderson
Executive Director, Valley Mountain Regional Center


Who uses VMRC?

These charts tell you about who VMRC consumers are and where they live.


WHERE VMRC CONSUMERS LIVE




DIAGNOSIS OF VMRC CONSUMERS



AGE OF VMRC CONSUMERS



ETHNICITY OF VMRC CONSUMERS



How well is VMRC performing?

This chart tells you about 5 areas where DDS wants each regional center to keep improving.

The first column tells you how VMRC was doing at the end of 2015. And, the second column shows how VMRC was doing at the end of 2016.

To see how VMRC compares to the other regional centers in the state, compare the numbers to the state averages (in the shaded columns).

Regional Center Goals (based on Lanterman Act)	December 2015		December 2016	
	State Average	VMRC	State Average	VMRC
Less consumers live in developmental centers	0.36%	0.21%	0.30%	0.20%
More children live with families	99.15%	99.21%	99.24%	99.11%
More adults live in home settings*	78.04%	76.04%	78.89%	76.43%
Less children live in large facilities (more than 6 people)	0.06%	0.02%	0.05%	0%
Less adults live in large facilities (more than 6 people)	2.78%	4.59%	2.60%	4.56%

* Home settings include: independent living, supported living, Adult Family Home Agency homes, and consumers' family homes.

Did VMRC meet DDS standards?

Read below to see how well VMRC did in meeting DDS compliance standards:

Areas Measured	Last Period	Current Period
Passes independent audit	Yes	Yes
Passes DDS audit	Yes	Yes
Audits vendors as required	Met	Partially Met
Didn't overspend operations budget	Yes	Yes
Participates in the federal waiver	Yes	Yes
CDERs and ESRs are updated as required (CDER is the Client Development Evaluation Report and ESR is the Early Start Report. Both contain information about consumers, including diagnosis.)*	95.78%	95.48%
Intake/Assessment timelines for consumers age 3 or older met	100%	98.93%
IPP (<i>Individual Program Plan</i>) requirements met	99.31%	99.31%
IFSP (<i>Individualized Family Service Plan</i>) requirements met	99%	99.34%

*CDER and ESR currency percentages were weighted based on the regional center's Status 1 and Status 2 caseloads, to arrive at a composite score.

At VMRC, we want to improve every year, do better than the state average, and meet or exceed the DDS standard. As you can see in this report, we did well with our DDS and independent audits, and we did not overspend our Operations budget. VMRC also participated in the federal waiver and maintained or made improvements in meeting IPP and IFSP requirements. VMRC needs to improve the requirement of auditing vendors, as well as the requirement for updating CDERs.

How well is VMRC doing at getting consumers working?

VMRC has chosen to include consumer employment as a local measure in their performance contract. The chart below shows how well VMRC is performing on increasing consumer employment performance compared to their prior performance and statewide average:

Areas Measured	State Average	VMRC	State Average	VMRC
	Jan through Dec 2015		Jan through Dec 2016	
Percentage of adults in day services, that interact with people without disabilities: (Data Source: Client Development Evaluation Report (CDER))				
None	9%	13%	9%	15%
Few	59%	62%	58%	61%
Most	18%	14%	18%	13%
All	14%	11%	14%	11%
Percentage of adults who engage in paid work: (Data Source: California Employment Development Department (EDD))				
Less than 10 hours/week	8%	10%	7%	10%
10-25 hours/week	9%	4%	9%	4%
26-39 hours/week	6%	3%	5%	3%
40+ hours/week	1%	1%	1%	1%
Percentage of adults earning: (Data Source: CDER)				
Below minimum wage	60%	44%	57%	50%
Minimum wage	23%	41%	26%	30%
Above minimum wage	16%	13%	16%	19%
Salaried	1%	1%	1%	1%
Earned Income (Adults age 16-64): (Data Source: EDD)				
	Jan through Dec 2015		Jan through June 2016	
Quarterly number of consumers with earned income	20,157	563	21,691	649
Percentage of consumers with earned income	13.6%	9.4%	14.2%	10.6%
Average annual wages	\$7,236	\$5,222	\$7,631*	\$5,465*
Percentage of Adults who reported: (Data Source: National Core Indicator Survey)				
	July 2011 - June 2012		July 2014 - June 2015	
Having a paid job in a community-based setting	13%	15%	13%	11%
Having integrated employment as a goal in their IPP	27%	30%	27%	25%
Currently unemployed, but wanting a job in the community	39%	44%	45%	47%

*Average wages for January through June 2016 are estimates based on the first two quarters of 2016.

How well is VMRC doing at reducing disparities and improving equity?

Percent of Regional Center Expenditures by Primary Language		
Language	Consumer Count	Percent of total Expenditures
English	11,919	87.8%
Spanish	2,245	9.0%
All Other Languages	95	0.6%
Cambodian	54	0.3%
Tagalog	47	0.4%
Vietnamese	45	0.4%
Arabic	30	0.3%
Other Indo-Iranian Language	26	0.1%
Hmong	23	0.1%
Laotian	21	0.2%
Urdu (Pakistan India)	20	0.1%
Hindi (Northern India)	17	0.1%
Cantonese Chinese	15	0.2%
Farsi (Persian)	15	0.1%
Other Asian	12	0.0%
ASL (American Sign Language)	10	0.1%
Portuguese	10	0.0%
Mandarin Chinese	7	0.0%
Other Sign Language	4	0.1%
Russian	4	0.0%
Thai	2	0.0%
Samoan	1	0.0%
Other Pacific Island	1	0.0%
Hebrew	1	0.0%

* Languages that had no consumers and no expenditures are not included in the table.

2015-16 Purchase of Service Expenditures by Residence Type

	Residence Type	Home	Independent Living Services/ Supported Living Services	Institutions*	Residential	Medical/ Rehabilitation/ Psychiatric	Other**
	Consumer Count	11,935	1,132	29	1,401	78	49
Ethnicity/Race	White	39.4%	63.9%	53.9%	63.1%	69.4%	81.0%
	Hispanic	32.3%	13.9%	19.2%	16.6%	14.2%	10.8%
	Black/African American	8.9%	14.7%	26.3%	9.3%	6.3%	6.9%
	American Indian or Alaska Native	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%
	Asian	8.9%	1.5%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	0.8%
	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
	Other Ethnicity or Race/Multi-Cultural	10.1%	5.7%	0.5%	5.6%	10.2%	0.6%
	TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

*Institutions include developmental centers, state hospitals and correctional facilities.

** Other includes consumers who are out-of-state, in hospice, transient/homeless, and those who are not listed elsewhere in the residence type table.

Want more information?

To see the complete report, go to: www.vmmc.net

Or contact Valley Mountain Regional Center at **(209)473-0951**