#### Alta California Regional Center

Phil Bonnet, Executive Director 2241 Harvard Street Suite 100, Sacramento, CA 95815 Phone: (916) 978-6400 • Fax: (916) 929-1036 E-mail: <u>pbonnet@altaregional.org</u> <u>www.altaregional.org</u>



Spring 2019

# Performance Report for Alta California Regional Center

Every year, the Department of Developmental Services (DDS) contracts with regional centers in California to serve consumers and families. And, every year DDS looks at how well the regional centers are doing. This report will give you information about your regional center.

Last year, at Alta California Regional Center (ACRC) we served about 24,720 consumers. The charts on page two tell you about the consumers we serve. You'll also see how well we are doing in meeting our goals and in fulfilling our contract with DDS.

At ACRC, we want to improve every year, do better than the state average, and meet or exceed the DDS standard. As you can see in this report, we did well in most areas. , We strive to continue to provide quality services to our clients and their families.

We hope this report helps you learn more about ACRC. If you have any questions or comments, please contact us!


This report is a summary. To see the complete report, go to: www.altaregional.org

Or contact Peter Tiedemann at 916-978-6201.

Phil Bonnet Director, Alta California Regional Center

### Who uses ACRC?

These charts tell you about who ACRC consumers are and where they live.



### How well is ACRC performing?

This chart tells you five areas where DDS wants each regional center to keep improving.

The first column tells you how ACRC was doing at the end of 2017, and the second column shows how ACRC was doing at the end of 2018.

To see how ACRC compares to the other regional centers in the state, compare the numbers to the state averages (in the shaded columns).

| Regional Center Goals  | Decemb           | oer 2017 | December 2018    |        |  |
|--|------------------|----------|------------------|--------|--|
| (based on Lanterman Act)                                     | State<br>Average | ACRC     | State<br>Average | ACRC   |  |
| Fewer consumers live in developmental centers                | 0.21%            | 0.26%    | 0.12%            | 0.15%  |  |
| More children live with families                             | 99.32%           | 99.38%   | 99.38%           | 99.47% |  |
| More adults live in home settings                            | 79.61%           | 82.00%   | 80.20%           | 82.77% |  |
| Fewer children live in large facilities (more than 6 people) | 0.04%            | 0.00%    | 0.04%            | 0.00%  |  |
| Fewer adults live in large facilities (more than 6 people)   | 2.47%            | 2.69%    | 2.31%            | 2.38%  |  |

Notes: 1) Consumers can be included in more than one diagnosis category. 2) Residence Types: CCF/ICF is Community Care Facility/Intermediate Care Facility; ILS/SLS is Independent Living Services/Supported Living Services. 3) Home settings include independent living, supported living, Adult Family Home Agency homes, and consumers' family homes. 4) Green text indicates the RC remained the same or improved from the previous year, red indicates the RC did not improve.

### Did ACRC meet DDS standards?

Read below to see how well ACRC did in meeting DDS compliance standards:

| Areas Measured  | Last Period | Current Period |
|---|-------------|----------------|
| Passes independent audit  | Yes         | Yes            |
| Passes DDS audit  | Yes         | Yes            |
| Audits vendors as required  | Met         | Partially Met  |
| Didn't overspend operations budget  | Yes         | Yes            |
| Participates in the federal waiver  | Yes         | Yes            |
| CDERs and ESRs are updated as required (CDER is the Client<br>Development Evaluation Report and ESR is the Early Start Report. Both<br>contain information about consumers, including diagnosis.) | 96.78%      | 96.67%         |
| Intake/Assessment timelines for consumers age 3 or older met  | 100%        | 95.58%         |
| IPP (Individual Program Plan) requirements met  | 98.63%      | N/A            |
| IFSP (Individualized Family Service Plan) requirements met  | 75.2%       | 75.3%          |

Notes: 1) The federal waiver refers to the Medicaid Home and Community-Based Services Waiver program that allows California to offer services not otherwise available through the Medi-Cal program to serve people (including individuals with developmental disabilities) in their own homes and communities. 2) The CDER and ESR currency percentages were weighted based on the RC's Status 1 and Status 2 caseloads to arrive at a composite score. 3) The IFSP calculation methodology was changed from composite to average in order to more accurately reflect the RC's performance by only including children reviewed during monitoring and not all Early Start consumers. 4) N/A indicates that the regional center was not reviewed for the measure during the current period.

#### How well is ACRC doing at getting consumers working?

The chart below shows how well ACRC is performing on increasing consumer employment performance compared to their prior performance and statewide averages:

| Areas Massurad   | Time Period          |              |                      |          |         |  |
|--|----------------------|--------------|----------------------|----------|---------|--|
| Areas Measured   | CA                   | ACRC         | CA                   | ACRC     |         |  |
| Consumer Earned Income (Age 16+):  | lan thro             | ugh Dec 2016 | Jan through Dec 2017 |          |         |  |
| Data Source: Employment Development Department   | Jan uno              | ugh Dec 2016 |                      |          |         |  |
| Quarterly number of consumers with earned income   | 25,236               | 2,512        | 27,182               | 2,602    |         |  |
| Percentage of consumers with earned income   |                      | 16%          | 21%                  | 17%      | 21%     |  |
| Average annual wages   |                      | \$8,327      | \$7,956              | \$9,033  | \$8,495 |  |
| Annual earnings of consumers compared to people with all disa  |                      | 2016         | 2017                 |          |         |  |
| Data Source: Cornell University Disability Status Report   |                      | \$           | 45,300               | \$47,500 |         |  |
| National Core Indicator Adult Consumer Survey  | July 20 <sup>2</sup> | 11-June 2012 | July 2014-June 2015  |          |         |  |
| Percentage of adults who reported having integrated employment as  | 27%                  | 31%          | 27%                  | 22%      |         |  |
| Paid Internship Program  |                      | 2017-18      |                      |          |         |  |
| Data Source: Paid Internship Program Survey  | CA                   | Average      | ACRC                 |          |         |  |
| Number of adults who were placed in competitive, integrated employ<br>Paid Internship Program                | 6                    |              | 21                   |          |         |  |
| Percentage of adults who were placed in competitive, integrated emp<br>in a Paid Internship Program          | 18%                  |              | 34%                  |          |         |  |
| Average hourly or salaried wages for adults who participated in a Pai  | d Internship Program | \$11.64      |                      | \$11.92  |         |  |
| Average hours worked per week for adults who participated in a Paid  | I Internship Program |              | 18                   | 18       |         |  |
| <b>Competitive Integrated Employment</b><br>Data Source: Competitive Integrated Employment Incentive Program | n Survey             |              |                      |          |         |  |
| Average wages for adults engaged in competitive, integrated employ incentive payments have been made         | \$11.93              |              | \$11.63              |          |         |  |
| Average hours worked for adults engages in competitive, integrated e incentive payments have been made       | 22                   |              | 20                   |          |         |  |
| Total number of Incentive payments made for the fiscal year for the  | \$1,500              | 13           |                      | 18       |         |  |
| following amounts:   | \$1,250              | 21           |                      | 30       |         |  |
|  | \$1,000              |              | 29                   |          | 24      |  |

The 2019 employment data was compiled differently from previous years. To obtain these statistics, DDS provided the EDD with the names and social security numbers of individuals ages 16 and older that are Status 2. The EDD matched that information to their database and returned individual-specific wage data including employment locations, business name and type. DDS then analyzed the data for accuracy and only reported to regional centers the information deemed most accurate. Approximately 87% of the EDD data were deemed accurate enough to report with confidence.

# How well is ACRC doing at reducing disparities and improving equity?

These tables show you how well the regional center is doing at providing services equally for all consumers.

Percent of total annual purchase of service expenditures by individual's ethnicity and age

| Age<br>Group Measu      | Measure      | American<br>Indian or<br>Alaska Native |      | Asian |      | Black/African<br>American |      | Hispanic |      | Native Hawaiian<br>or Other Pacific<br>Islander |      | White |      | Other<br>Ethnicity or<br>Race |      |
|-------------------------|--------------|--|------|-------|------|---------------------------|------|----------|------|---|------|-------|------|-------------------------------|------|
|                         |              | 2017                                   | 2018 | 2017  | 2018 | 2017                      | 2018 | 2017     | 2018 | 2017  | 2018 | 2017  | 2018 | 2017                          | 2018 |
| Dirth to 2              | Consumers    | 0%                                     | 0%   | 7%    | 9%   | 9%                        | 9%   | 22%      | 22%  | 0%  | 0%   | 39%   | 37%  | 22%                           | 22%  |
| Birth to 2<br>Expenditu | Expenditures | 0%                                     | 0%   | 10%   | 11%  | 9%                        | 8%   | 22%      | 23%  | 0%  | 1%   | 42%   | 41%  | 16%                           | 15%  |
| 2 to 21                 | Consumers    | 0%                                     | 0%   | 10%   | 11%  | 11%                       | 11%  | 20%      | 20%  | 0%  | 0%   | 42%   | 42%  | 15%                           | 16%  |
| 3 to 21                 | Expenditures | 1%                                     | 1%   | 9%    | 8%   | 13%                       | 14%  | 17%      | 18%  | 1%  | 0%   | 45%   | 43%  | 16%                           | 16%  |
| 22 and older            | Consumers    | 1%                                     | 1%   | 7%    | 7%   | 14%                       | 14%  | 12%      | 12%  | 0.5%  | 0%   | 59%   | 58%  | 7%                            | 8%   |
|                         | Expenditures | 1%                                     | 0%   | 4%    | 4%   | 12%                       | 12%  | 9%       | 8%   | 0.3%  | 0%   | 68%   | 68%  | 6%                            | 6%   |

Number and percent of individuals receiving only case management services by age and ethnicity

| Measure                  | Year |            | Eligible Consum<br>se Management | •            | Percent of Eligible Consumers Receiving Case<br>Management Only |         |              |  |
|--------------------------|------|------------|----------------------------------|--------------|---|---------|--------------|--|
|                          |      | Birth to 2 | 3 to 21                          | 22 and Older | Birth to 2  | 3 to 21 | 22 and Older |  |
| American Indian or       | 2017 | 0          | 17                               | 8            | 0%  | 38%     | 13%          |  |
| Alaska Native            | 2018 | 1          | 16                               | 12           | 7%  | 33%     | 20%          |  |
| Asian                    | 2017 | 201        | 368                              | 149          | 8%  | 33%     | 22%          |  |
|                          | 2018 | 24         | 387                              | 169          | 8%  | 32%     | 23%          |  |
| Black/African American   | 2017 | 23         | 347                              | 156          | 8%  | 29%     | 11%          |  |
|                          | 2018 | 28         | 370                              | 185          | 9%  | 30%     | 13%          |  |
| Hispanic                 | 2017 | 49         | 475                              | 196          | 7%  | 22%     | 16%          |  |
|                          | 2018 | 60         | 481                              | 195          | 8%  | 21%     | 15%          |  |
| Native Hawaiian or Other | 2017 | 1          | 11                               | 10           | 9%  | 25%     | 22%          |  |
| Pacific Islander         | 2018 | 1          | 16                               | 14           | 8%  | 33%     | 28%          |  |
| White                    | 2017 | 60         | 1276                             | 581          | 5%  | 28%     | 10%          |  |
|                          | 2018 | 72         | 1,399                            | 593          | 6%  | 30%     | 10%          |  |
| Other Ethnicity or Race  | 2017 | 23         | 410                              | 114          | 3%  | 25%     | 15%          |  |
|                          | 2018 | 42         | 489                              | 128          | 5%  | 27%     | 16%          |  |
| Total                    | 2017 | 176        | 2904                             | 1214         | 5%  | 27%     | 12%          |  |
| Total                    | 2018 | 228        | 3,158                            | 1,296        | 7%  | 28%     | 12%          |  |

## Want more information?

To see the complete report, go to: www.altaregional.org

Or contact Peter Tiedemann at 916-978-6201