The Home and Community-Based Services (HCBS) rules ensure that people with disabilities have full access to, and enjoy the benefits of, community living through long-term services and supports in the most integrated settings of their choosing. In order to assist in determining eligibility for compliance funding, providers must complete this evaluation. Both "Yes" and "No" answers require an explanation. A "No" response *could* mean a service setting is out of compliance with the HCBS rules and is potentially eligible for funding to make necessary adjustments. Once this evaluation is completed, it should act as a guide for filling out the provider compliance funding concept, which is required for any provider to be eligible for compliance funding. Completion of this evaluation is for the sole purpose of applying for compliance funding and does not take the place of future provider assessments that the Department may require to determine provider compliance with the HCBS settings rules. Only providers requesting compliance funding need to complete this evaluation.

Federal Requirements #1-5 apply to providers of all services, including residential and non-residential settings. Federal Requirements #6-10 are additional requirements that apply only to provider-owned or controlled residential settings.

The column labeled "Guidance" contains a series of questions intended to help identify compliance or non-compliance with each requirement as it relates to the HCBS rules. While responses to these questions can help in the determination of whether or not a particular requirement is met, these responses may not be the sole factor in this determination.

More information on the HCBS rules and this form can be found at www.dds.ca.gov/HCBS.

Questions may be directed to HCBSregs@dds.ca.gov.

Date(s) of Evaluation: 11/20/19	Completed by: Kate O'Connor
Vendor Name, Address, Contact: Lifehouse	e, 899 Northgate Drive, 5th floor, San Rafael, CA,
94903, 415 472-2373 (main office), 415 526	6-5307 (Kate O'Connor)
Vendor Number: H00962	
Service Type and Code: 915	

Federal Requirement #1:

The setting is integrated in, and supports full access of individuals receiving Medicaid HCBS to the greater community, including opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings, engage in community life, control personal resources, and receive services in the community, to the same degree of access as individuals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.

Guidance:

- Do individuals receive services in the community based on their needs, preferences and abilities?
- Does the individual participate in outings and activities in the community as part of his or her plan for services?
- If an individual wants to seek paid employment, does the home staff refer the individual to the appropriate community agency/resource?
- Do individuals have the option to control their personal resources, as appropriate?

Does the service and/or program meet this requirement? \Box Yes \boxtimes No

Please explain: Due to inadequate staffing ratios in some instances individuals are unable to access specific activities of interest. This is also due to inadequate transportation services. Paratransit does not offer services to some areas, as well as does not meet some time requests. Pre-planning is also a requirement to use paratransit, limiting any spontaneous outings.

Federal Requirement #2:

The setting is selected by the individual from among setting options, including non-disability-specific settings and an option for a private unit in a residential setting. The setting options are identified and documented in the person-centered service plan and are based on the individual's needs, preferences, and, for residential settings, resources available for room and board.

Guidance:

- Does the provider have a current regional center Individual Program Plan (IPP) on file for all individuals?
- Does each individuals' IPP document the different setting options that were considered prior to selecting this setting?

Does the service and/or program meet this requirement? $\ \square$ Yes $\ \boxtimes$ No

Please explain: Documentation of options is in Lifehouse individual's IPP, but due to lack of resources these options are not always meaningful in reflecting the hopes of the individuals supported. We submitted for funding last year and were approved and are in the process of hiring a resource development specialist to meet this requirement.

Federal Requirement #3:

Ensures an individual's rights of privacy, dignity and respect, and freedom from coercion and restraint.

Guidance:

- Does the provider inform individuals, in a manner they can understand, of their rights to privacy, dignity, respect, and freedom from coercion and restraint?
- Does the provider communicate, both verbally and in writing, in a manner that ensures privacy and confidentiality?
- Do staff communicate with individuals based on their needs and preferences, including alternative methods of communication where needed (e.g., assistive technology, Braille, large font print, sign language, participants' language, etc.)?

Does the service and/or program meet this requirement? $\ oxtimes$ Yes $\ oxtimes$ No

Please explain: Click or tap here to enter text.

Federal Requirement #4:

Optimizes but does not regiment individual initiative, autonomy, and independence in making life choices, including, but not limited to, daily activities, physical environment, and with whom to interact.

Guidance:

- Does the provider offer daily activities that are based on the individuals' needs and preferences?
- Does the provider structure their support so that the individual is able to interact with individuals they choose to interact with, both at home and in community settings?
- Does the provider structure their support so that the individual is able to participate in activities that interest them and correspond with their IPP goals?

Does the service and/or program meet this requirement? $\ \square$ Yes $\ \boxtimes$ No

Please explain: Transportation provided through paratransit are not adequate for access to true community inclusion. Individuals schedules are dictated primarily by need/risk rather than interest. Lifehouse was granted funding last year for a van for our community integration vendor code, as well as for housing searches in our 915 codes, which has helped improve meeting this requirement, but has not alleviated the problem due to number of people being supported with this van.

Federal Requirement #5:

Facilitates individual choice regarding services and supports, and who provides them.

Guidance:

- Does the provider support individuals in choosing which staff provide their care to the extent that alternative staff are available?
- Do individuals have opportunities to modify their services and/or voice their concerns outside of the scheduled review of services?

Does the service and/or program m	neet this requirement?	⊠ Yes	□ No
-----------------------------------	------------------------	-------	------

Please explain: Click or tap here to enter text.

Only providers of services in **provider-owned or controlled residential settings** need to complete the remainder of this evaluation. In **provider-owned or controlled residential settings**, in addition to the above requirements, the following requirements must also be met:

Federal Requirement #6:

The unit or dwelling is a specific physical place that can be owned, rented or occupied under a legally enforceable agreement by the individual receiving services, and the individual has, at a minimum, the same responsibilities and protections from eviction that tenants have under the landlord/tenant law of the State, county, city or other designated entity. For settings in which landlord/tenant laws do not apply, the State must ensure that a lease, residency agreement or other form of written agreement will be in place for each participant and that the document provides protections that address eviction processes and appeals comparable to those provided under the jurisdiction's landlord tenant law.

Guidance:

- As applicable, does each individual have a lease, residency agreement, admission agreement, or other form of written residency agreement?
- Are individuals informed about how to relocate and request new housing?

Does the service and/or	program meet this	requirement?		□ No
-------------------------	-------------------	--------------	--	------

Please explain: Click or tap here to enter text.

Federal Requirement #7:

Each individual has privacy in his/her sleeping or living unit:

Units have entrance doors lockable by the individual, with only appropriate staff having keys to doors as needed.

Individuals sharing units have a choice of

Individuals sharing units have a choice of roommates in that setting.

Individuals have the freedom to furnish and decorate their sleeping or living units within the lease or other agreement.

Guidance:

- Do individuals have a choice regarding roommates or private accommodations?
- Do individuals have the option of furnishing and decorating their sleeping or living units with their own personal items, in a manner that is based on their preferences?
- Do individuals have the ability to lock their bedroom doors when they choose?

Does the service and/or	program meet this requirement?	⊠ Yes □ No
Dues the service and/or	program meet uns requirement:	

Please explain: Click or tap here to enter text.

Federal Requirement #8: Individuals have the freedom and support to control their own schedules and activities, and have access to food at any time.	 Guidance: Do individuals have access to food at any time? Does the home allow individuals to set their own daily schedules? Do individuals have full access to typical facilities in a home such as a kitchen, dining area, laundry, and comfortable seating in shared areas?
Does the service and/or program meet this Please explain: Click or tap here to enter text	
Federal Requirement #9: Individuals are able to have visitors of their choosing at any time.	 Guidance: Are visitors welcome to visit the home at any time? Can individuals go with visitors outside the home; such as for a meal or shopping, or for a longer visit outside the home, such as for holidays or weekends?
Does the service and/or program meet this Please explain: Click or tap here to enter text	
Federal Requirement #10: The setting is physically accessible to the individual.	 Guidance: Do individuals have the freedom to move about inside and outside the home or are they primarily restricted to one room or area? Are grab bars, seats in bathrooms, ramps for wheelchairs, etc., available so that individuals who need those supports can move about the setting as they choose? Are appliances and furniture accessible to every individual?
Does the service and/or program meet this Please explain: Lifehouse has two 6-bed ARF	

CONTACT INFORMATION

Contact Name: Kate O'Connor

Contact Phone Number: 415 526-5397

Email Address: koconnor@lifehouseagency.org

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

By checking the box below, I acknowledge that completion of this evaluation is for the sole purpose of applying for compliance funding and does not take the place of future provider assessments that the Department may require to determine provider compliance with the HCBS settings rules.

☑ I AGREE

Existing regional center vendors may receive funding to make changes to service settings and/or programs to help them come into compliance with the HCBS rules. To be considered for funding, vendors must complete and submit this form and the provider

Vendor name	Lifehouse, Inc.
-------------	-----------------

compliance evaluation form as one packet to the regional center with which it has primary vendorization.

Instructions:

- The concept form on the next page must be used, may not exceed four pages plus
 the budget worksheet and any cost back up, and must be kept in Arial 12-point font.
 Submit the form in Microsoft Word or PDF format. An extra half page is permitted to
 answer questions about prior funding, but the rest of the concept must be within the
 standard page requirements.
- There has been a significant change in the form and process compared to prior years. In order to receive funding, this 2019-20 form must be used.
- For providers that operate programs with several vendor numbers involved in one concept, one evaluation and concept form should be submitted and should list all vendor numbers for related/included programs. If multiple programs owned by the same parent company have different compliance evaluations or concepts, additional applications can be submitted but should be attached in the same document as the other owned programs so they can be reviewed together.
- The results of the evaluation should be clearly laid out in the section referring to identification of federal requirements that are currently out of compliance, which the concept will address.
- The concept form includes detailed information that describes the funding requests and supports how the requests will assist the provider to come into compliance.
- There should be a clear link between what is being requested and the federal requirement currently out of compliance.
- Concepts should demonstrate how the requested change in service delivery will impact individuals in offering more choices or opportunities in the community.

Strengths of previously funded concepts:

- Identified the need as well as proposed a plan to provide outreach and information regarding the HCBS rules to individuals served and members of their support teams.
- Discussed the need for additional funds in order to effectively support individuals served on a more individualized basis in overcoming barriers to community integration and employment, as appropriate.
- Prioritized the preferences of individuals served and utilized their feedback in the development of the concept.
- Implemented train-the-trainer certification for person-centered planning/thinking and training regarding the HCBS rules.
- Enabled residents to age in place and exercise more choice and independence.

More information on the HCBS rules and this form can be found at www.dds.ca.gov/HCBS.

Vendor number(s)	H00962
Primary regional center	Golden Gate Regional Center
Service type(s)	Level 3, Staff-OP Res'L FAC
Service code(s)	915
Number of consumers currently served	6
Current staff to consumer ratio	1 to 6 or 1 to 3

1. Please provide a brief description of the service/setting that includes what a typical day consists of and how services are currently provided. This response must include the baseline/current levels for any aspects of the program for which the concept proposes funding.

On weekdays the residents attend day supports leaving the ARFs by about 8:30 for 9 am -3 pm services. Their morning routine before leaving entails staff support in getting ready (meals, hygiene, meds, etc.) based upon each person's needs. When they return home they work on their service plan goals and relax. This can include community integration, finance, household management and meal prep for dinner. They eat dinner and complete a hygiene routine and do their individual preferences before going to bed. On weekends, leisure time and goal and sometimes spend time with family and friends outside of the home.

Project Narrative Description:

2. Please provide a brief summary narrative of the concept for which you are requesting funding, including justification for the funding.

We are requesting a van specifically for one of our two ARF homes that Lifehouse operates. Lifehouse has a van for each of the ILS homes, but one is failing and we immediately need a replacement. The new van would provide reliable transportation and add opportunity for increasing community integration for residents to match their interests and allow more spontaneity in travel, that individuals not receiving HCBS funding and have reliable transportation options enjoy.

3. Identify which HCBS federal requirements this concept addresses that are currently out of compliance. Could be all or a subset of those identified as out of compliance on the evaluation.

1 x	2	3	4 x	5	6	7	8	9	10

- 4. For each HCBS out-of-compliance federal requirement that is being addressed by this concept, describe the barriers to compliance and why this concept is necessary. If this information is in the evaluation section, please copy it here.
- #1 Due to inadequate staffing ratios in some instances individuals are unable to access specific activities of interest. This is also due to inadequate transportation services. Paratransit does not offer services to some areas, as well as does not meet some time requests. Pre-planning is also a requirement to use paratransit, limiting any spontaneous outings. #4 Transportation provided through paratransit are not adequate

for access to true community inclusion. Individuals schedules are dictated primarily by need/risk rather than interest. Lifehouse was granted funding last year for a van for our community integration vendor code, as well as for housing searches in our 915 codes, which has helped improve meeting this requirement, but has not alleviated the problem due to number of people being supported with this van.

- 5. For each out-of-compliance federal requirement that is addressed in this concept, please explain how the concept will bring the vendor into compliance.
- #1 By the house having its own van for access the residents will be able to have greater access to their specific activities of interest. If there is a conflict in which two residents have different interests there is a greater chance that one may be able to access their destination through public or para-transit, while the other has access to the van. Also, if the participants are interested in a group outing, a van would make sure everyone can fit to make sure no one is excluded.
- #4 A house van would offer spontaneity that public or para transit does not offer. This would allow increased choices and access to the community.
- 6. What are the proposed outcomes and objectives of the concept, and what are the methods of achieving and tracking them?

Ensuring continued increased options for community access through acquisition of a replacement house van for one of our ARFs. Community access for the house will be documented for use per month. Documentation will be completed by the house manager and monitored to make sure the residents interests are reflected in the data.

7. Please describe how and/or what was done to include input from the individuals served in developing this concept? Discuss not only the development of the concept, but also what steps were taken to identify the interests and desires of the individuals and who was involved in that process.

Lifehouse management has discussed with residents their interests in community and their reliance upon the house van, in addition to public and para transit options, as well as their unmet needs. The residents have expressed that ensuring a house van is still provided is important to them. Each person has different interests and they enjoy spending time at different activities, such as dances, movies, restaurants and socializing with friends and family outside their home.

8. Please describe how the concept you propose will enable you to provide more personcentered services to your clients.

The van will provide the residents access to locations of interest. Absent a house van if a person decides last minute, for example, to go to a dance due to an invite earlier that day the person would not be able to go. The van would help increase the likelihood that his could happen and more closely reflects the experience of individuals not receiving an HCBS waiver.

9. Please address your plan for maintaining the benefits, value, and success of your project at the conclusion of 2019-20 HCBS Funding.

Although we do not have funding for purchase of a van, we do have routine repairs and maintenance allocated each year in our annual budgets for transportation/vehicles. We

are reliant on grants, however, for purchase of any agency vehicles.

10. Write a brief narrative below explaining each major cost category and timeline. Complete the budget template at the end of the concept sheet. An excel version with formulas is available. When applicable, budgets should include personnel/benefits, operating costs such as consultants or training, administrative expenses/indirect costs, and capital costs (assets lasting more than 2 years). If project spans 2 years or occurs in phases, budget should be separated by phase/year.

Administrative costs, if any, must comply with DDS' vendor requirements, including a cap of 15% of the sum of personnel/benefits, consulting, and operating costs (must exclude capital costs).

 $\underline{\text{http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?sectionNum=4629.7\&lawCode=WIC}$

Van - \$50,000, purchased in first quarter

11. Please address sustainability of funding sources for all programs or concepts requiring any funding past the time frame of the requested grant, especially those that involve staff or other long-term costs. Please mark "not applicable" if costs will all be incurred during the program time frame.

As mentioned above, we allocate funding each year for routine repairs and maintenance.

12. Have you or the organization you work with been a past recipient of DDS funding? If yes, what fiscal year(s)?

HCBS Funding	No <u>x</u> Yes. If Yes, FY(s) <u>18-19</u>
Disparity Funding	No Yes. If Yes, FY(s)
CPP Funding	No Yes. If Yes FY(s)

If yes to any question be sure to answer questions 13 and 14.

For providers who have received prior HCBS, Disparity or CPP Funding from DDS

13. If your organization has received prior funding from any of the above sources, please provide an update on the prior funding project. You may copy and paste from progress update(s) previously provided to regional centers or DDS.

We received a grant for FY 18-19 for a resource specialist to assist with housing and employment, as well as a van to be shared with our community integration participants and housing searches for ARF residents. We have purchased the van and are in the process of hiring for the resource specialist.

14. If your organization received prior funding, please explain how the current funding request is not redundant with any prior funding received and/or builds on the prior funding but was not part of the original funding.

The van for which we received funding in the previous fiscal year is being used for community integration participants and for upcoming housing searches for ARF residents. The one ARF currently has a failing van, which needs replacement, which has been used up until this point to supplement public and para transit options for the residents to ensure more robust community access.

HCBS CONCEPT BUDGET								
Vendor Name								
Vendor Number(s)	H00962	2						
		Year 1 Budget		Yea	r 2 Budget	Total		
	Salary and Benefits	FTE	Annual Cost	FTE	Annual Cost		Cost	
Personnel (salary + benefits)								
Position Description			\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	
Position Description			\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	
Position Description			\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	
Position Description			\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	
Position Description			\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	
Position Description			\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	
Position Description			\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	
Position Description			\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	
Position Description			\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	
Personnel Subtotal			\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	
Operating expenses								
						\$	-	
		_				\$	-	
		_				\$	-	
		_				\$	-	
		_				\$	-	
		_				\$	-	
		-				\$	-	
		-				\$	-	
		-		ļ.		\$	-	
						\$	-	
Operating Subtotal			\$ -		\$ -	\$	-	
Administrative Expenses								
Vehicle Insurance			\$ 1,500.00			\$	1,500	
Vehicle Maint / Gas		_	\$ 500.00			\$	500	
		_				\$	-	
		-				\$	-	
		-				\$	-	
		_				\$	-	
		-				\$	-	
						\$	-	
Administrative Subtotal			\$ 2,000		\$ -	\$	2,000	
Capital expenses			,					
Van			\$ 50,000			\$	50,000	
						\$	-	
		-				\$	-	
						\$	-	
		-				\$	-	
		-				\$ \$	-	
		-				\$	-	
		-				\$	-	
Conital Subtatal		-	ć 50.000		ć		-	
Capital Subtotal			\$ 50,000		\$ -	\$	50,000	
Total Concept Cost			\$ 52,000		\$ -	\$	52,000	