

Kern Regional Center

Michi Gates, Ph.D., Executive Director
3200 North Sillect Avenue, Bakersfield, CA 93308
Phone: (661) 852-3301. • Fax: (661) 873-4530
E-mail: mgates@kernrc.org
www.kernrc.org



Spring 2020

Performance Report for Kern Regional Center

Every year, the Department of Developmental Services (DDS) contracts with regional centers in California to serve consumers and families. And, every year DDS looks at how well the regional centers are doing. This report will give you information about your regional center.

Last year, at Kern Regional Center (KRC) we served about 9,550 consumers. The charts on page two tell you about the consumers we serve. You'll also see how well we are doing in meeting our goals and in fulfilling our contract with DDS.

At KRC, we want to improve every year, do better than the state average, and meet or exceed the DDS standard. As you can see in this report, we did well in having more (**99.49%**) children live with families, more (**85.95%**) adults live in home settings, and no (**0.0%**) children living in large facilities. Our percentage of adults in large facilities decreased slightly (**1.09%**) and is below the state average. Our developmental center population is slightly higher than the state average but has decreased significantly from last year.

We hope this report helps you learn more about KRC. If you have any questions or comments, please contact us!





This report is a summary. To see the complete report, go to: www.kernrc.org

Or contact Cherylle Mallinson at 661-852-3207.

Michi Gates, Ph.D., Executive Director
Kern Regional Center

Who uses KRC?

These charts tell you about who KRC consumers are and where they live.



How well is KRC performing?

This chart tells you about five areas where DDS wants each regional center to keep improving.

The first column tells you how KRC was doing at the end of 2018, and the second column shows how KRC was doing at the end of 2019.

To see how KRC compares to the other regional centers in the state, compare the numbers to the state averages (in the shaded columns).

Regional Center Goals (based on Lanterman Act)	December 2018		December 2019	
	State Average	KRC	State Average	KRC
Fewer consumers live in developmental centers	0.12%	0.22%	0.08%	0.10%
More children live with families	99.38%	99.37%	99.44%	99.49%
More adults live in home settings*	80.20%	85.38%	80.84%	85.95%
Fewer children live in large facilities (more than 6 people)	0.04%	0.00%	0.04%	0.00%
Fewer adults live in large facilities (more than 6 people)	2.31%	1.13%	2.15%	1.09%

Notes: 1) Consumers can be included in more than one diagnosis category. 2) Residence Types: CCF/ICF is Community Care Facility/Intermediate Care Facility; ILS/SLS is Independent Living Services/Supported Living Services. 3) Home settings include independent living, supported living, Adult Family Home Agency homes, and consumer family homes. 4) Green text indicates the RC remained the same or improved from the previous year, red indicates the RC did not improve.

Summary Performance Report for Kern Regional Center, Spring 2020

Did KRC meet DDS standards?

Read below to see how well KRC did in meeting DDS compliance standards:

Areas Measured	Last Period	Current Period
Passes independent audit	Yes	Yes
Passes DDS audit	Yes	Yes
Audits vendors as required	Met	Partially Met
Didn't overspend operations budget	Yes	Yes
Participates in the federal waiver	Yes	Yes
CDERs and ESRs are updated as required (CDER is the Client Development Evaluation Report and ESR is the Early Start Report. Both contain information about consumers, including diagnosis.)	96.64%	95.21%
Intake/Assessment timelines for consumers age 3 or older met	98.21%	91.82%
IPP (<i>Individual Program Plan</i>) requirements met	97.10%	97.42%
IFSP (<i>Individualized Family Service Plan</i>) requirements met	93.1%	75.5%

Notes: 1) The federal waiver refers to the Medicaid Home and Community-Based Services Waiver program that allows California to offer services not otherwise available through the Medi-Cal program to serve people (including individuals with developmental disabilities) in their own homes and communities. 2) The CDER and ESR currency percentages were weighted based on the RC's Status 1 and Status 2 caseloads to arrive at a composite score. 3) The IFSP calculation methodology was changed from composite to average in order to more accurately reflect the RC's performance by only including children reviewed during monitoring and not all Early Start consumers. 4) N/A indicates that the regional center was not reviewed for the measure during the current period.

KRC's data for meeting the 45 calendar-day timeline is related to a large growth in the number of Early Start referrals over the past 3 years (since the last monitoring review in 2016).

DDS is now calculating KRC's performance based on an average score from selected audit review cases only (see note 3 above). KRC's performance was based on 30 cases instead of all of the children in the Early Start program.

KRC will continue to develop resources to ensure that IFSP requirements are met and will work diligently to address all compliance standards.

How well is KRC doing at getting consumers working?

The chart below shows how well KRC is performing on increasing consumer employment performance compared to their prior performance and statewide averages:

Areas Measured	Time Period			
	CA	KRC	CA	KRC
Consumer Earned Income (Ages 16 to 64): Data Source: Employment Development Department	Jan through Dec 2017		Jan through Dec 2018	
Quarterly number of consumers with earned income	27,182	758	27,526	709
Percentage of consumers with earned income	17%	17%	16%	15%
Average annual wages	\$9,033	\$9,281	\$10,317	\$10,522
Annual earnings of consumers compared to people with all disabilities in California Data Source: Cornell University Disability Status Report	2017		2018	
	\$47,500		Data not Available*	
National Core Indicator Adult Consumer Survey	July 2014-June 2015		July 2017-June 2018	
Percentage of adults who reported having integrated employment as a goal in their IPP	27%	37%	29%	31%
Paid Internship Program Data Source: Paid Internship Program Survey	2017-18		2018-19	
	CA Average	KRC	CA Average	KRC
Number of adults who were placed in competitive, integrated employment following participation in a Paid Internship Program	6	1	9	4
Percentage of adults who were placed in competitive, integrated employment following participation in a Paid Internship Program	18%	6%	13%	12%
Average hourly or salaried wages for adults who participated in a Paid Internship Program	\$11.64	\$11.00	\$12.45	\$12
Average hours worked per week for adults who participated in a Paid Internship Program	18	21	17	19
Incentive Payments Data Source: Competitive Integrated Employment Incentive Program Survey				
Average wages for adults engaged in competitive, integrated employment, on behalf of whom incentive payments have been made	\$11.93	\$12.13	\$12.76	\$12.58
Average hours worked for adults engaged in competitive, integrated employment, on behalf of whom incentive payments have been made	22	22	22	28
Total number of Incentive payments made for the fiscal year for the following amounts:	\$1,500	13	5	27
	\$1,250	21	7	39
	\$1,000	29	6	43

To obtain these statistics, DDS provided the EDD with client data, and the EDD matched that information to their database and returned individual-specific wage data including employment locations, business name and type. DDS then analyzed the data for accuracy and only reported to regional centers the information deemed most accurate.

*The Cornell University 2018 Disability Status Report was not available at the time that this report was finalized.

How well is KRC doing at reducing disparities and improving equity?

These tables show you how well the regional center is doing at providing services equally for all consumers.

Percent of total annual purchase of service expenditures by individual's ethnicity and age

Age Group	Measure	American Indian or Alaska Native		Asian		Black/African American		Hispanic		Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander		White		Other Ethnicity or Race	
		17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19	17-18	18-19
Birth to 2	Consumers	2%	2%	3%	4%	7%	7%	55%	54%	0%	0%	23%	24%	11%	10%
	Expenditures	3%	3%	3%	5%	6%	7%	51%	51%	0%	1%	26%	25%	12%	10%
3 to 21	Consumers	1%	1%	3%	3%	7%	6%	54%	55%	0%	0%	26%	25%	9%	10%
	Expenditures	1%	1%	5%	6%	9%	10%	42%	41%	0%	0%	34%	33%	9%	9%
22 and older	Consumers	1%	1%	3%	3%	10%	10%	36%	37%	0%	0%	46%	45%	5%	5%
	Expenditures	1%	1%	3%	3%	11%	10%	27%	28%	0%	0%	54%	53%	5%	5%

Number and percent of individuals receiving only case management services by age and ethnicity

Measure	Year	Number of Eligible Consumers Receiving Case Management Only			Percent of Eligible Consumers Receiving Case Management Only		
		Birth to 2	3 to 21	22 and Older	Birth to 2	3 to 21	22 and Older
American Indian or Alaska Native	2017-2018	2	5	4	8%	23%	15%
	2018-2019	3	7	5	14%	25%	19%
Asian	2017-2018	0	53	12	0%	39%	12%
	2018-2019	3	55	17	7%	37%	16%
Black/African American	2017-2018	9	112	49	11%	40%	12%
	2018-2019	5	99	46	6%	34%	11%
Hispanic	2017-2018	105	921	264	15%	40%	19%
	2018-2019	78	1,064	301	11%	42%	20%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	2017-2018	0	2	0	0%	67%	0%
	2018-2019	0	2	0	0%	50%	0%
White	2017-2018	38	423	240	13%	38%	14%
	2018-2019	27	451	258	9%	40%	15%
Other Ethnicity or Race	2017-2018	14	163	30	10%	40%	17%
	2018-2019	13	171	25	10%	38%	14%
Total	2017-2018	168	1,679	599	13%	39%	15%
	2018-2019	129	1,849	652	10%	40%	16%

Want more information?

To see the complete report, go to: www.kernrc.org

Or contact Cherylle Mallinson at cmallinson@kernrc.org