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Graph 45. Do you need help planning for your family member’s future with respect to any of the following?

Graph 46. Do you need help planning for your family member’s future with respect to any of the following? (continued)

Graph 47. In the past year, did your family member move out of the family home for the first time?

Graph 48. Does your family member have an individual program plan (IPP)?

Graph 49. If family member has an IPP, does the IPP include all the services and supports your family member needs?

Graph 50. If family member has an IPP, does your family member get all of the services listed in the IPP?

Graph 51. If family member has an IPP, did you or another family member (beside your family member with a disability) help make the IPP?

Graph 52. If family member has an IPP, did your family member help make the IPP?

Graph 53. Did you discuss how to handle emergencies (such as a medical emergency or a natural disaster) at your family member’s last IPP meeting?

Graph 54. If your family member transitioned out of school services during the past year, did he/she have a transition plan?

Graph 55. Does your family member have enough supports (for example, support workers, community resources) to work or volunteer in the community?

Graph 56. Do you feel prepared to handle the needs of your family member in an emergency such as a medical emergency or a natural disaster?

Access and Delivery

Graph 57. Are you or your family member able to contact his/her support workers when you want to?

Graph 58. Are you or your family member able to contact your family member’s case manager/service coordinator when you want to?

Graph 59. Do support workers come and leave when they are supposed to?

Graph 60. Do services and supports change when your family member’s needs change?

Graph 61. Do support workers speak to you in a way that you understand?

Graph 62. Are services delivered in a way that is respectful of your family’s culture?

Graph 63. If your family member does not communicate verbally (for example, uses gestures or sign language), are there support workers who can communicate with him/her?

Graph 64. Do support workers have the right information and skills to meet your family’s needs?

Graph 65. Does your family member have access to the special equipment or accommodations that s/he needs (for example, wheelchair, ramp, communication board)?

Graph 66. Can your family member see health professionals when needed (for example, doctor, dentist, psychologist)?

Graph 67. Does your family member’s primary care doctor understand his/her needs related to his/her disability?

Graph 68. Do you have access to dental services for your family member?

Graph 69. Does your family member’s dentist understand his/her needs related to his/her disability?

Graph 70. If your family member takes medications, do you know what they’re for?

Graph 71. If your family member takes medications, do you, your family member or someone else in your family know what is needed to safely take the medications (when it should be taken, how much to take, potential side effects)?
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Choice, Decision Making and Control

Graph 74. Does the agency providing residential supports to your family member involve him/her in important decisions?

Graph 75. Can your family choose or change the agency that provides your family member’s services?

Graph 76. Can your family choose or change your family member’s support workers?

Graph 77. Does your family directly manage support workers (for example, hiring and deciding schedule)?

Graph 78. Do service providers for your family member work together to provide support?

Graph 79. Did you, your family member, or someone else in your family choose your family member’s case manager/service coordinator?

Involvement in the Community

Graph 80. Does your family member take part in activities in the community (for example, going out to a restaurant, movie, or sporting event)?

Graph 81. For your family member, what are the obstacles or barriers to participation in activities in the community?

Graph 82. Does your family member have friends other than paid support workers or family?

Graph 83. In your community, are there resources that your family can use that are not provided by the Regional Center?

Graph 84. Does your family member take part in any family-to-family networks in your community?

Satisfaction

Graph 85. Overall, are you satisfied with the services and supports your family member currently receives?

Graph 86. Do you know the process for filing a complaint or grievance about provider agencies or staff?

Graph 87. If a complaint or grievance related to your family member was filed or resolved in the past year, are you satisfied with the way it was handled and resolved?

Graph 88. Do you know how to report abuse or neglect related to your family member?

Graph 89. Within the past year, was a report of abuse or neglect filed on behalf of your family member?

Graph 90. Do you feel that services and supports have made a positive difference in the life of your family member?

Graph 91. Have the services or supports that your family member received during the past year been reduced, suspended, or terminated?

Graph 92. Have the services or supports that your family member received been increased in the past year?

Graph 93. Are services and supports helping your family member to live a good life?

CA Specific Questions: Cultural Competency

Graph 94. Did you get a copy of your IPP in your preferred language?

Graph 95. Are there support workers available who can speak to you in your preferred language?

Graph 96. Has your family member moved from a developmental center (DC) to the community in the past five years?
GRAPH 97. HOW LONG HAS YOUR FAMILY MEMBER LIVED IN THE COMMUNITY?

GRAPH 98. HOW DO YOU LIKE YOUR FAMILY MEMBER'S NEW HOME?

GRAPH 99. ARE THE SERVICES THAT WERE DECIDED UPON IN THE INDIVIDUAL DEVELOPMENT TEAM (IDT) MEETING (COMMUNITY TRANSITION PLANNING MEETING) BEING DELIVERED TO YOUR FAMILY MEMBER IN THE COMMUNITY?
Quality Assessment Project and National Core Indicators™

This report contains regional center level results from California’s statewide National Core Indicators Family/Guardian Survey data collection from fiscal year 2016-17 (FY 16/17) in accordance with Welfare and Institutions Code (WIC), Section 4571. WIC, Section 4571 directs the Department of Developmental Services (DDS) to collect accurate, reliable, and valid consumer and family satisfaction measures as well as individual outcome data. In California, data from this project will be used to review and benchmark statewide and regional center developmental disability service system performance. This report shows Family/Guardian Survey findings from San Diego Regional Center compared to the California statewide and NCI averages. Regional centers can use this report to help guide strategic planning and monitor systemic changes.

What is NCI?

The National Core Indicators (NCI) program is a voluntary effort by state developmental disability agencies to measure and track their performance using a standardized set of consumer and family/guardian surveys with nationally validated measures. The effort is coordinated by the National Association of State Directors of Developmental Disabilities Services (NASDDDS) and the Human Services Research Institute (HSRI).

NCI has developed more than 100 standard performance measures (or ‘indicators’) that states use to assess the outcomes of services for individuals and families, including outcomes in the areas of employment, rights, service planning, community inclusion, choice, health, and safety. In 2016-17 a total of 46 states, the District of Columbia and 22 sub-state entities participated in NCI.

What is the NCI Family/Guardian Survey?

The NCI Family/Guardian Survey is used to gather data on family outcomes. It is mailed to families and guardians who have an adult family member who does not live in the family home and receives at least one service other than case management from the state

1 Refer to the California Family/Guardian Survey Report FY 16/17 for information about Quality Assessment Project implementation, NCI and California’s statewide results.
developmental disabilities agency. The survey collects demographic information on both the individual receiving services and the person who fills out the survey (the ‘respondent’) as well as information on services and supports received. The survey is continually refined and tested to ensure it is valid and reliable.

In 2016-17, a total of 9,194 Family/Guardian Surveys were completed across 12 states. The survey contained six groupings of questions (“sub-domains”) that probe specific areas of quality service provision: information and planning, access and delivery of services, choice and control, community connections, satisfaction, and outcomes (see Table A1). Respondents also had the option of writing open-ended comments concerning their family's participation in the service system.

Table A1. NCI Family Survey – sub-domains and concern statements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-Domain</th>
<th>Concern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Information and Planning</td>
<td>Families/family members with disabilities have the information and support necessary to plan for their services and supports.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access &amp; Support Delivery</td>
<td>Families/family members with disabilities get the services and supports they need.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choice, Decision Making &amp; Control</td>
<td>Families/family members with disabilities determine the services and supports they receive and the individuals or agencies who provide them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involvement in the Community</td>
<td>Family members with disabilities use integrated community services and participate in everyday community activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>Families/family members with disabilities receive adequate and satisfactory supports.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcomes</td>
<td>Individual and family supports make a positive difference in the lives of families.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 States that conducted the Family/Guardian Survey in 2016-17 were: AZ, CA, FL, GA, KY, LA, MD, NC, NJ, PA, SD and VA.
How were people selected to participate?

In California, all eligible families were mailed a survey for the Family/Guardian Survey FY16/17. The State Council on Developmental Disabilities (SCDD) mailed out the paper survey to 19,799 eligible families. A total of 4,062 usable surveys across the 21 regional centers were returned.

All participating states were asked to administer the survey to a random sample of at least 1,000 families, all of whom have an adult family member with an intellectual or developmental disability who lives somewhere other than the family home and receives at least one direct service or support other than service coordination.

Beginning in 2016-17, states had a choice of mailing paper surveys to families selected in their sample, sending a URL link for families to complete surveys online (referred to as “direct entry”), or both. In previous years, states only had the option to mail paper surveys. A total of five states (not including California) had at least a portion of surveys completed via direct entry mode.

All states mailed out a paper survey to families selected in their sample. A sample size of 1,000 was recommended with the expectation of a 40% return rate or greater (yielding 400 or more usable responses per state). However, most states decided to sample more than 1,000 families, expecting a lower response rate than 40%. A final sample size of 400 would guarantee a +/- 5% margin of error and a 95% confidence level when interpreting the results. Both the confidence level and margin of error used are widely accepted standards for reviewing results, regardless of population size. States with fewer than 1,000 potential respondent families were instructed to send surveys to all eligible families. With response rates lower than expected, we included in our national reports those states that submitted fewer than 400 surveys up to a margin of error of no greater than +/- 7%.

---

3 States that used the direct entry or mail and direct entry options were: KY, LA, NC, NJ, and SD. For more information on response rates and mode, please see the Methodology section within the national edition of the 2016-17 Family/Guardian Survey Report.
Data Analysis

Surveys received from the state were considered valid, based on the following two criteria:

1. The respondent indicated the individual with an intellectual or developmental disability receiving services lived somewhere other than the family home.
2. At least a portion of survey questions were answered aside from demographic information.

Questions left blank or marked ‘not applicable’ are not included in analysis. For most questions, ‘don’t know’ responses were excluded from analysis. Two questions in the Satisfaction section combine ‘no’ and ‘don’t know’ responses, those questions are asterisked. Data are not shown for items if the regional center had fewer than 20 respondents.

Weighting

In previous years, the NCI average was calculated as the simple arithmetic mean of all state means (an approach known as “average of averages”). This year, the approach has been enhanced to take into account the relative numbers of people receiving services through participating states’ systems. Beginning this year, the NCI averages contained in this report are “weighted” means. Applying statistical weights allows a state that provides services to a larger number of people (but is represented in the data by a sample of the same size as other states) to have a higher influence on the overall NCI average—that is, the state’s contribution to the NCI average is proportional to its service population. The weights used in calculations for this report were developed using each participating state’s number of survey respondents and its total survey-eligible population.

Please note: the California Averages included in this report are unweighted averages across the state.

Limitations of Data

The NCI Family/Guardian Survey is a tool for assessing system-wide performance. It is not intended to be used to monitor individuals or providers. The NCI Average should not be interpreted as defining or providing a benchmark for “acceptable” levels of performance or
satisfaction. Instead, it describes average levels of performance or satisfaction across the State and regional center; it is up to public managers, policy-makers, and other stakeholders to use the data to determine programmatic and policy-related priorities.

**What is Contained in This Report?**

This report illustrates 2016-17 NCI Family/Guardian Survey demographic and outcome results from San Diego Regional Center compared to the CA statewide average and the weighted NCI average.

The number of responses per each question by regional center, state, and across NCI states are included in each chart. All results are shown in chart form. In charts, “N” refers to the total number of respondents. All state and national data results for this survey can be found online at: http://www.dds.ca.gov/QA/.
Demographics of the Family Member

This section provides demographic profiles of the family member about whom the survey was completed.
*Note, abbreviated residential categories are as follows: Institutional Care Facility (ICF); Community Care Facility (CCF); own home or apartment ILS/SLS. CCF corresponds to NCI group home settings.

** Note, abbreviated residential categories are as follows: Family Home Agency (FHA); Skilled Nursing Facility (SNF). FHA corresponds to NCI foster care or host home category. SNF corresponds to NCI nursing homes category.
Categories are not mutually exclusive, therefore N is not shown.

± Epilepsy corresponds to NCI category ‘seizure disorder/neurological condition’.
Categories are not mutually exclusive, therefore N is not shown.

± NCI race and ethnicity categories are based on the Census model; CA records Hispanic/Latino as a race group.
California-specific question

Full guardianship is not applicable in California.
“Paid individual job in the community” is defined as: a person working at an individual job in a local business alongside peers who do not have disabilities. Job is part of the typical labor market (e.g., competitive employment).

“Paid small group job in a community-based setting” is defined as: an activity is done in an integrated setting, as part of a group of not more than 8 people with disabilities (e.g., enclave, work crew).
"Unpaid Activity in a Community-based Setting" may include: volunteering, skills training, and staff-supported community connections.

"Paid activity in a facility-based setting" may include activities in a workshop, activity center, or business that primarily hires people with disabilities.

"Unpaid activity in a facility-based setting" may include day habilitation, senior programs or drop-in centers.
Graph 29. Family Member’s Need for Help With Other Daily Activities

- Extensive: SDRC (N = 279) - 67%, CA Average (N = 3906) - 68%, NCI Average (N = 8901) - 76%
- Some: SDRC (N = 3906) - 27%, CA Average (N = 3906) - 28%, NCI Average (N = 8901) - 21%
- None: SDRC (N = 279) - 6%, CA Average (N = 3906) - 5%, NCI Average (N = 8901) - 3%
Demographics of Respondent

This section provides demographic information about the respondent.
**GRAPH 34.**

**Respondent’s Highest Level Of Education**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education Level</th>
<th>SDRC (N = 261)</th>
<th>CA Average (N = 3539)</th>
<th>NCI Average (N = 8557)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No High School Diploma or GED</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School Diploma or GED</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational School or Certificate Program</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some College</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Degree</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GRAPH 35.**

**Total Taxable Household Income Of Wage Earners In The Past Year**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income Range</th>
<th>SDRC (N = 222)</th>
<th>CA Average (N = 3054)</th>
<th>NCI Average (N = 7120)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No earned income</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up to $15,000</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15,001 - $25,000</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25,001 - $50,000</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,001 - $75,000</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over $75,000</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prefer not to say</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GRAPH 36. ∞**

**Out-Of-Pocket Expenses For Family Member’s Care In The Past Year**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expenses</th>
<th>SDRC (N = 263)</th>
<th>CA Average (N = 3669)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nothing</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 - $100</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$101 - $1,000</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,001 - $10,000</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over $10,000</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GRAPH 37. ∞ * **

**Services And Supports Paid For Out-Of-Pocket**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Type</th>
<th>SDRC (N = 152)</th>
<th>CA Average (N = 1953)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respite Services</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavior Therapy</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech Therapy</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Therapies</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Skills Training</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreational Activities</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

∞ California-specific question

*Categories are not mutually exclusive
GRAPH 38. ∞ *

California-specific question
*Categories are not mutually exclusive
Services and Supports Received

*This section provides information about the services and supports received.*
GRAPH 39. **

Categories are not mutually exclusive, therefore N is not shown

**Note, NCI average refers to DD agency support
Information and Planning

FAMILIES AND FAMILY MEMBERS WITH DISABILITIES HAVE THE INFORMATION AND SUPPORT NECESSARY TO PLAN FOR THEIR SERVICES AND SUPPORTS.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive
GRAPH 53.

Respondent Discussed How To Handle Emergencies Related To Family Member At The Last IPP Meeting

GRAPH 54.

If Family Member Transitioned Out Of School Services In The Past Year S/He Had A Transition Plan

GRAPH 55.

Family Member Has Enough Support To Work Or Volunteer In The Community

GRAPH 56.

Respondent Feels Prepared To Handle Needs Of Family Member In An Emergency
Access and Delivery

FAMILIES AND FAMILY MEMBERS WITH DISABILITIES GET THE SERVICES AND SUPPORTS THEY NEED.
* Categories are not mutually exclusive, therefore N is not shown.
Choice, Decision Making and Control

Families and family members with disabilities determine the services and supports they receive and the individuals or agencies who provide them.
GRAPH 78. Family Member’s Service Providers Work Together To Provide Supports

GRAPH 79. Respondent, Family Member With A Disability Or Another Family Member Chose Or Can Change Case Manager/Service Coordinator
Involvement in the Community

*Family members with disabilities use integrated community services and participate in everyday community activities.*
GRAPH 80.

Family Member Takes Part In Community Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SDRC (N = 260)</th>
<th>CA Average (N = 3555)</th>
<th>NCI Average (N = 8260)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GRAPH 81. *

Obstacles Or Barriers To Family Member’s Participation In Community Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obstacle</th>
<th>SDRC</th>
<th>CA Average</th>
<th>NCI Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Transport</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Support Staff</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stigma</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GRAPH 82.

Family Member Has Friends Or Relationships With People Other Than Paid Support Workers Or Family

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SDRC (N = 251)</th>
<th>CA Average (N = 3317)</th>
<th>NCI Average (N = 7749)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GRAPH 83. **

There Are Resources In The Community Family Can Use That Are Not Provided By The Regional Center

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SDRC (N = 162)</th>
<th>CA Average (N = 2299)</th>
<th>NCI Average (N = 5508)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Categories are not mutually exclusive, therefore N is not shown.

** Note, NCI average refers to DD agency support
Family Takes Part In Family-to-family Networks

- Yes:
  - SDRC (N = 233): 14%
  - CA Average (N = 3105): 17%
  - NCI Average (N = 6998): 18%

- No:
  - SDRC (N = 233): 86%
  - CA Average (N = 3105): 83%
  - NCI Average (N = 6998): 82%
Satisfaction

FAMILIES AND FAMILY MEMBERS WITH DISABILITIES RECEIVE ADEQUATE AND SATISFACTORY SUPPORTS.
For this question, ‘No’ and Don’t Know’ responses were combined.
GRAPH 89.

Report Of Abuse Or Neglect Was Filed On Behalf Of Family Member In The Past Year

- Yes: 96% (SDRC N = 248), 95% (CA Average N = 3520), 94% (NCI Average N = 8165)
- No: 4%, 5%, 6%

GRAPH 90.

Respondent Feels Services And Supports Have Made A Positive Difference In Family Member’s Life

- Yes: 95% (SDRC N = 256), 91% (CA Average N = 3512), 94% (NCI Average N = 3124)
- No: 5%, 5%, 6%

GRAPH 91.

Services Or Supports Were Reduced, Suspended, Or Terminated In The Past Year

- Yes: 8% (SDRC N = 232), 11% (CA Average N = 3074), 11% (NCI Average N = 7361)
- No: 92%, 89%, 89%

GRAPH 92.

Services Or Supports Were Increased In The Past Year

- Yes: 10% (SDRC N = 186), 16% (CA Average N = 2566), 18% (NCI Average N = 6179)
- No: 90%, 84%, 82%
Services And Supports Help Family Member Live A Good Life

- Yes: 97% (SDRC N = 236), 93% (CA Average N = 3387), 95% (NCI Average N = 7952)
- No: 3%, 7%, 5%

(Graph 93.)
CA Specific Questions: Cultural Competency
GRAPH 98.

**How Much Respondent Likes Family Member's New Home**

- Extremely: 31% (SDRC) vs. 32% (CA Average)
- Very Much: 56% (SDRC) vs. 50% (CA Average)
- Somewhat: 9% (SDRC) vs. 15% (CA Average)
- Very Little: 2% (SDRC) vs. 2% (CA Average)
- Not at All: 2% (SDRC) vs. 2% (CA Average)

GRAPH 99.

**There Are Services That Were Decided Upon In The IDT Meeting That Are Being Delivered To Your Family Member In The Community**

- Yes: 96% (SDRC) vs. 89% (CA Average)
- No: 4% (SDRC) vs. 11% (CA Average)