APPLICATION REPORT

Project Name: Applicant Organization: Awarded Amount: Funding Announcement Name: Transition to Equity Family Resource Navigators \$210,000 Promoting Service Access and Equity Grant



PROJECT SUMMARY

Parent Education for individual and system advocacy for Black families around transition

,		
APPLICANT QUESTION	APPLICANT RESPONSE	ATTACHMENT
Applicant Eligibility		
Applicant Information		
1. Project Title	Applicant Response	
What is the Project Title?	Transition to Equity Project	
2. Awarded Amount	Applicant Response	
	\$210,000	
3. Organization Type	Applicant Response	
Please check the box that describes your organization	Community Based Organization (CBO), 501(c)(3)	
4. Description of Organization/Group	Applicant Response	
description of the organization/group (organization type, group mission, etc.).	Family Resource Navigators (FRN) is a family to family (F2F) agency partnering with families of children with disabilities in Alameda County for equitable access to services. FRN has more than 25 years of commitment and service to the multicultural and multi-lingual families of Alameda County, including those that identify as black or African American. In this project, we partner with CARE parent network (CPN), our sister agency which serves Contra Costa county that also has a focus on serving the Black community. CPN partners with CARE parent network (CPN), our sister agency which serves Contra Costa county that also has a focus on serving the Black community. CPN partners with CARE parent network (improve access and equity for Black families. Together the two agencies cover the Regional Center of the East Bay catchment area – with a population of more than 2.7 million.	
5. Applicant in Good Standing	Applicant Response	
Is the applicant in good standing with the California Secretary of State, California Franchise Tax Board, and California Department of Tax and Fee Administration?	Yes	1
6. Subcontractors in Good Standing	Applicant Response	
Are the applicant's subcontractors in good standing with the California Secretary of State, California Franchise Tax Board, and California Department of Tax and Fee Administration?	Yes	
Grant Reapplication Inf	ormation	
Grant Reapplications		
1. Grant Number	Applicant Response	
(Reapplications Only) What is the Grant Number of previously awarded project? If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable	
2. Project Title	Applicant Response	
(Reapplications Only) What is the Project Title of previously awarded project? If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable	
3. Project Start & End Dates	Applicant Response	

APPLICANT QUESTION	APPLICANT RESPONSE	ATTACHMENT
(Reapplications Only) What are the Start & End Dates of the previously awarded project? If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable	
4. Project Duration (Reapplications Only) What is the Total Project Duration (in months) of the previously awarded project? If not	Applicant Response Not Applicable	
applicable select Not Applicable.		
5.2016/2017 Award (Reapplications Only) Enter the amount of funding that was awarded in FY 2016/2017. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Applicant Response Not Applicable	
6.2016/2017 Expenses	Applicant Response	
(Reapplicants only) Enter the amount of funding that was expended in FY 2016/2017. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable	
7.2016/2017 Remaining	Applicant Response	
(Reapplicants only) Subtract the Total Expenses from the Total Award to determine the amount remaining from FY 2016/2017. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable	
8. 2017/2018 Award	Applicant Response	
(Reapplicants only) Enter the amount of funding that was awarded in FY 2017/2018. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable	
9.2017/2018 Expenses	Applicant Response	
(Reapplicants) Enter the amount of funding that was expended in FY 2017/2018. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable	
10. 2017/2018 Remaining	Applicant Response	
(Reapplicants only) Subtract the Total Expenses from the Total Award to determine the amount remaining from FY 2017/2018. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable	
11. 2018/2019 Award	Applicant Response	
(Reapplicants only) Enter the amount of funding that was awarded in FY 2018/2019. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable	
12. 2018/2019 Expenses	Applicant Response	

APPLICANT	APPLICANT RESPONSE	ATTACHMENT
QUESTION		
(Reapplicants only) Enter the total amount of funding that was expended, or is anticipated to be expended, in FY 2018/2019. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable	
13. 2018/2019 Remaining	Applicant Response	
(Reapplicants only) Subtract the Total Expenses from the Total Award to determine the amount remaining from FY 2018/2019. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable	
14. 2019/2020 Award	Applicant Response	
(Reapplicants only) Enter the amount of funding that was awarded in FY 2019/2020. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable	
15.2019/2020 Expenses	Applicant Response	
(Reapplicants only) Enter the total amount of funding that was expended, or is anticipated to be expended, in FY 2019/2020. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable	
16. 2019/2020 Remaining	Applicant Response	
(Reapplicants only) Subtract the Total Expenses from the Total Award to determine the amount remaining from FY 2019/2020. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable	
17. Total Awarded	Applicant Response	
(Reapplicants only) Combine the amounts included in FY 2016/2017, FY 2017/2018, FY 2019/2020 for the total amount awarded for the project. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable	
18. Initial Proposed Number of People Served	Applicant Response	
(Reapplications Only) Include the initial number of people projected to be served. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable	
19. Actual Number of People Served	Applicant Response	
(Reapplications Only) Include the actual number of people served. Explain why this number is different from the projected impact number. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable	
20. Regional Centers in Catchment Area	Applicant Response	

APPLICANT	APPLICANT RESPONSE	ATTACHMENT
QUESTION		
(Reapplications Only) List all Regional Centers in the project catchment areas that the project has served. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable	
21. Cities Served	Applicant Response	
(Reapplications Only) List the cities your project has served. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable	
22. Counties Served	Applicant Response	
(Reapplications Only) List the counties your project has served. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable	
23. City of Los Angeles	Applicant Response	
(Reapplications Only) If your project has served the City of Los Angeles, list the zip code(s) and/or community(ies) your project has served. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable	
24. Activities to Date	Applicant Response	
(Reapplications Only) Provide a detailed explanation of project activities to date. Include what the project has accomplished to date. From the start of the project to the date of reapplication, what are the key accomplishments? Have all activities been completed? If no, why not? If not applicable.	Not Applicable	
25. Project Impact & Outcome	Applicant Response	
(Reapplications Only) Provide a detailed explanation of project impacts and outcomes to date. Attach data (summary of pre-tests) participant success stories to demonstrate project outcomes and impacts. Provide outcomes of your project's impact in serving the target communities. Using your attached data, provide a brief statement of key findings. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable	
26. Project Objectives	Applicant Response	

APPLICANT QUESTION	APPLICANT RESPONSE	ATTACHMENT
QUESTION (Reapplications Only) What are the projects objectives in addressing disparities and what remains to be addressed/completed? Explain why these objectives have not been completed during the current grant period. Provide a brief description of the key lessons learned from your current project, if any. What were some of the challenges that prevented your project from meeting your objectives/measures?	Not Applicable	
What are some strategies your organization plans to implement to address challenges, if any? If not applicable select Not Applicable.		
27. Project Transition	Applicant Response	
(Reapplications Only) If awarded, how will your current project transition into the 2020/21 proposed project? How does your proposed project complement your current project? Does your proposed project expand or continue your current project, if so how? What activities, measures, or target groups are being added? Provide a summary of the differences and reasons why you are proposing the change. If not applicable, select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable	
General Application		
Proposal Summary		
1. Individuals Impacted Enter the projected	Applicant Response 2000	
number of individuals impacted.		
2. People Served	Applicant Response	
What is proposed number of people projected to be served?	410	
3. Duration of project		
What is the duration of the project? Enter Start & End Dates.	Start Date: 05/01/2021, End Date: 04/30/2023	
 Duration of project (months) 	Applicant Response	
What is the total duration of project in months?	24	
5. Regional Centers	Applicant Response	
List all Regional Centers in the project catchment areas.	Regional Center of the East Bay (RCEB)	
6. Cities Served	Applicant Response	

APPLICANT QUESTION	APPLICANT RESPONSE	ATTACHMENT
List the city or cities your project proposes to serve.	This project will serve all the cities and unincorporated areas of Alameda and Contra Costa counties. Alameda County is home to over 1.5 million people living in 14 incorporated cities as well as in six unincorporated communities and rural areas throughout the 813 square miles of the County. The incorporated cities are Alameda, Albany, Berkeley, Dublin, Emeryville, Fremont, Hayward, Livermore, Newark, Oakland, Piedmont, Pleasanton, San Leandro, and Union City. The unincorporated communities are Ashland, Castro Valley, Cherryland, Fairview, San Lorenzo, and Sunol. Contra Costa County Is home to over 1.1 million people living in 19 cities and numerous unincorporated communities. These include Antioch, Brentwood, Clayton, Concord, Danville, El Cerrito, Hercules, Lafayette, Martinez, Moraga, Oakley, Orinda, Pinole, Pittsburg, Pleasant Hill, Richmond, San Pablo, San Ramon and Walnut Creek	
7. Counties Served	Applicant Response	
List the county or counties your project proposes to serve.	Alameda County Contra Costa County	
8. City of Los Angeles	Applicant Response	
If your project proposes to serve the City of Los Angeles, list the zip codes and/or communities your project will serve.	Not Applicable	
9. Community Based Organizations	Applicant Response	
Will you be working with one or more Community Based Organization?	Yes	2
10. Regional Center Data	Applicant Response	
your project, indicate what steps you will take	FRN, CARE and RCEB staff met on November 4 to discuss the feedback received from families and to design the project. FRN staff at that time asked for a breakdown of the categories of eligibility for Black clients 12-21 as well as 22 and above – to get information to begin to explore some of the issues raised in this proposal. RCEB Director Lisa Kleinbub said she would make a data request for that information. We will also to be following up to see if we can get aggregate data on the contents of the POS (to look at the reasons that Black adult POS is substantially lower than peers). Information will be used to target trainings around transition and adults services for families.	
11. First Project Type Selection	Applicant Response	
Select your first project type.	Parent Education (online or in person trainings, etc)	
12. Second Project Type Selection	Applicant Response	
Select your second project type (if applicable).	Family/consumer support services (coaching, enhanced CM)	
13. Third Project Type Selection	Applicant Response	
Select your third project type (if applicable).	Not Applicable	
14. Multiple Organizations	Applicant Response	
Does your project include partnership with one or more organizations either as a co-applicant or subcontractor? If 'yes', please upload a letter of support from each organization, that includes an explanation of their role in the partnership.	Yes	2
15. Leverage & Strategies	Applicant Response	

APPLICANT		
QUESTION	APPLICANT RESPONSE	ATTACHMENT
Describe how your organization will leverage and build upon strategies, collaborations, and lessons learned to continue to address the identified disparities after completion of the project. How will your project continue its work after the grant funding has concluded?	This is always a huge question for any nonprofit, how to continue important work started under grant funding when those grants are no longer available. Both CARE and FRN, as family resource centers, will be able to incorporate the lessons learned in their continuing work with families through more sustainable funding sources. FRN and CARE may also be able to leverage their work for funding from other grantors, to continue to be able to offer specific programming and staff focused on Black transitioning youth. The second set of activities for this proposed grant focus on parent leadership and RCEB family/professional collaboration. Trained parent leaders can become agents of systemic change by focusing attention and discussion on issues of implicit bias and systemic racism in service delivery. We are confident that these conversations can help to bring a change in the culture of working with Black families by community providers. If that is successful, and an increase of Black families in the RCEB catchment area.	
Target Population		
1. Target Groups Served	Applicant Response	
Select all groups the project will serve. If you select "Pacific Islander" or "Other" use comment section to list all groups.	African American	
Applicant Comment	FRN and CARE propose to serve families that self identify as Black, African American and multi-racial.	
2. Number of Target Group Served	Applicant Response	
For each target group selected in previous question, list number served.	FRN and CARE plan to hold 10 trainings each year, with an average of 15 family members attending each trainings or 150 each year (or 300 over 2 years) The project will hold a family conference (year 2), concentrating on transition issues with a target of 100 family attendees. The project will have family leadership trainings for at least 10 family members from the community, who will then do implicit bias training with RCEB staff. Total direct: 410 The indirect impact is estimated at about 2000, in the hope that systemic change brought about by family leadership in individual and systems advocacy will	
	make a sustainable change for Black families in the community. Total potential impact: 2000	
3. Languages	Applicant Response	
Select all Languages the project will serve. If you select "Other" please list all languages.	Cantonese, Hmong, Indian, Japanese, Korean, Mandarin, Mien, Russian, Spanish, Tagalog, Vietnamese, Other (list)	
Applicant Comment	Transition to Equity will focus on families of Black transitioning youth and families. Trainings will be in English.	
4. Age Groups Served	Applicant Response	
Select all Age Groups the project will serve. If you select "Other" please list groups.	Other (list)	
App l icant Comment	This project will serve families of transitioning youth, 12-22, which is a subgroup of the pick list. While 'official' transition with school districts starts at 16, addressing preparation for adult life is better served by working with children from middle school on – particularly if there are questions around overidentification and appropriate preparation for adult life.	
Project Application Project Application		
1 lojoot Applioution		

QUESTION									АТТАСНМЕ			
Provide a clear and concise project summary that includes a defined target population, catchment area, and project design. Specifically describe what your project will accomplish and how it will benefit the community served.	RCEB, generic themselves as 2. Create a coho	avigators, we eed. As an age to raise our ar imination and <i>ransition to Eq</i> under the termination by addressing to objectives for of Black child and commun s adults. ort of Black fan and gatekeepir on to Equity, v adors work wit is evidenced b	ency, we stand in so wareness that impli- inequality in service <i>with</i> - will focus on /conferences and by oup's primary task w the needs and barri this project focusin ren to gain knowl ity services. Access mily leaders to hel to the services in the solution to the services in the service will take a dual appri- th these families go by a quick review of	Ididarity with the cit bias and sys s, even from g, engagement ai r creating a coll vould be to converse of Black clie g on community sdge about tra to a more robu p RCEB shape i to inequitable rooach of simulta ing forward.	e Black commun temic racism sig overnment agen nd outreach to ti aborative family duct trainings or nnts. y engagement: nsition to adult ust variety of ser 'ts internal train outcomes for B uneously teachin	ty in the fight ag inificantly impac- ices such as the te Black commun /professional wo implicit blas an hood and the sy vices will help yo ings for staff an lack families. g families how to is its purchase o	gainst syster ts access an Regional Ce nity in Alame orkgroup con d systemic d ervices avai oung adults nd communi o effectively f service (PC	nic racial inju di equity of se inters in Calife eda and Contr nposed of far njosed of far iscrimination, lable. This inc (and their far ity vendors, c advocate for)S) data yearly	stice. As a cr rvices provi rvina. To adda a Costa Cou ily members helping sha ludes mean: ilies) to sha ombatting what is curr r (2018-2015	Durtry, the <i>i</i> ded to Black dress these nty with our and Regior pe RCEB an s learning h pe a meanin frequently ently availab	#BlackLivesMi people. The issues, our partner CARE nal Center of t dl RCEB vendo ow to advocat ngful life for reported issu ble, while sha t recent), shoo	atter se the or te for ping wing
	Black White Overall	Count 3665 6126 22879	Expenditure \$ 76,123,368.00 \$ 178,091,530.00 \$ 392,462,781.00	Per Capita Use \$ 20,770.00 \$ 29,071.00 \$ 17,154.00	Per Capita Authorized \$ 24,776.00 \$ 34,254.00 \$ 20,895.00	Percentage 83.8 84.9 82.1	9					
	RCEB makeup more t		e numbers indicates the number of clier	that 10% of th	e population of t	he RCEB catchm	nent area is I		EB's number	s show that		
	report ethnicity as Bl but in reality, this is s breakdown; additior This concentration of particularly those over regional centers rely expected portion of t more likely to be ider is discussion over the There is greater agree disturbance and intel school system, wheth "Overidentification of stu	han 1.5 times ack while the is only 39%. In si hally there are RCEB Black co er 22. Overide on information he population, tified with dis e causes of ove ement that Bla lectual disabilitier in general dents in need of	the number of ciler number was 16% in hort, there is a larg fewer White consu onsumers likely has ntification means the n and referrals from has been observed abilities than their eridentification, but ack youth are more ack youth are more or into categories li	that 10% of th ts than expecte the 3-22 age gr er concentrati mers for the RC a variety of can school districts in special educ peers, (38 th An most analysts likely to identifi Act qualifying c ke intellectual o	e population of ti d by population roup). A simple v on of Black con EB catchment a uses, and sugge oup in population s, this may be st cation over many inual Report to C concur that impl level in the specia condition) when t disability, has re umber of negative c	the RCEB catchm count alone, wit veighted average sumers coming ea than expecte sts that there mi a is greater than arting in the schu y years. The US D ongress on the 1 icit blas and syst l education syste hese students ha al world conseque	hent area is li th a concentr e would sugg to the Regions dight be overing expected as cools. This pi Department of Implementat temic racism em as less s ave undiagm uences for tr undents, the sch	Black. Yet RC ation of clien gest that that onal Center ti dentification of compared to renomenon, ti of Education d ion of the Indi play an impec- ocially desirat oscially desirat social extension Bloot district, and	EB's number EB's number 56% of adult nan expecte of Black peop hat Black chi ata shows ti viduals with rtant role. ole classifica disabilities. ack students to a larger ext	s show that ver 22 (22% c consumers d from the fin the gene ldren make nat Black str Disabilities tions such a Overidenti	of RCEB clier would be WH population CEB population eral population up a greater udents are 40' s Act, 2016). T as emotional fication by the	nts nite, n. As than % fhere
	report ethnicity as Bi but in reality, this is a breakdown; additior This concentration of particularly those over regional centers rely expected portion of t more likely to be iden is discussion over the School system, wheth "Overidentification of stur- meeding special education unidentified students. The Veeridentification of stur- meeding special education overidentification of stur- were receiving servic community services to THE SOLUTION FRN's proposed Tran. coach families of chil	han 1.5 times ack while the i anly 39%. In si nally there are RCEB Black c er 22. Overide on information he population, titified with dis e causes of ove ement that Bla lectual disabilit lectual disabilit er in general - dents in need of mservices often they frequently ha nool or they comp is overidentific es from the sco o effectively a sition Equity p dren 12-22 on	the number of client number was 16% in hort, there is a larg fewer White consu onsumers likely has intification means the n and referrals from has been observed abilities than their eridentification, but ack youth are more ack youth are more or into categories li "special education sen don't receive the same velowered expectation set school with less s exation of Black clien chool district. Famili sssist their child to a program would focu: advocacy for RCEB	that 10% of th ts than expecte the 3-22 age g er concentrati- mers for the RC a variety of cai- nat a certain gru- school districts in special educ peers, (38 th An most analysts likely to identifi Act qualifying c ke intellectual co- tes results in a n rigorous curriculu p bleed upon the tes results in a n rigorous curriculu p bleed upon the stills than other stills that on the stills than other a meaningful tra- s on leadership and generic se	e population of ti d by population roup). A simple v on of Black com E Catchment ar uses, and sugge pup in population s, this may be sti cation over many runual Report to C concur that impl ied in the specia condition) when ti disability, has re umber of negative, m as those not rece m	he RCEB catchm count alone, wit veighted average sumers coming sumers coming the state of the sumers is greater than arting in the schu- ry ears. The US D ongress on the I icit bias and syst l education syste hese students ha al world consequ uncomes for the stu- vention of the stu- set of the stu	hent area is i th a concent e would sugg to the Regi d. ight be overi expected as ools. This pi Department of Implementat temic racism em as less si ave undiagn uences for tr udents, the sch efore, they are splay greater b splay greater b	Black. Yet RC ation of clien gest that that nual Center th dentification of compared to nenomenon, ti of Education of the lind ion of the lind of a propared postally desirat seed learning Bl ool district, and noid as prepared numblespector es and lowere hool system a nity of the RCI district service	EB's number is who are o 56% of adult ian expecte of Black peop the makeup at Black chi atta shows the viduals with ritat role. ole classifica disabilities. ack students to a larger outling in the dema is a larger outling is a	s show that ver 22 (22% t consumers d from the ple in the R(d in the gene ldren make hat Black str Disabilities tions such a Overidenti is at aodety. St dis of the next dis o	i of RCEB clier, would be Wh population CEB populatio CEB population ceB population up agreater 1 up agreater 1 udents are 400, and the second sec	nts nite, n, As than % 'here d as ore d as ld ts ted
	report ethnicity as B but in reality, this is is breakdown; additior This concentration of particularly those ow regional centers rely expected portion of t more likely to be iden is discussion over the There is greater agre disturbance and intel school system, wheth "Overidentification of stu- meding special educativ undentifie ducens likely to not complete ad- overdentification.html) In summary, if there is were receiving servic community services to THE SOLUTION FRN's proposed Tran- coach families of chil living and post-secon and the available ser overidentification for The focus on transitiv White counterparts POS use by Black clie the services availabil. The second part of th work with families fo on implicit bias and f	han 1.5 times ack while the i analy 39%. In si ally there are a RCEB Black c er 22. Overide on information he population, tified with dis a causes of ow ement that Bla lectual disabilities a causes of ow dents in need of miserides often in general dents in need of miserides often the general si dents in need of miserides often serior of the so of or the so of other so of the so of th	the number of ciler number was 16% in hort, there is a larg fewer White consu onsumers likely has ntification means ti n and referrals from has been observed abilities than their eridentification, but ack youth are more don't receive the same don't receive the same velowered expectation late school with less s cation of Black clier theol district. Famili issist their child to a program would focus advocacy for RCEB them, aiding them This effort could bo 12-22) is important (CEB POS data 2011 73% of their white dvoccate for RCEB a lid be leadership an ryices. FRN, workin nip. RCEB is willing duce implicit bias a essigned to improve transition aged yo	that 10% of th ts than expecte the 3-22 age gi er concentrati- mers for the RC a variety of can at a certain gru- school districts in special educ peers, (38 th An most analysts likely to identifi Act qualifying ci ke intellectual ci ices results in a n rigorous curriculu- splaced upon the kills than other stu- ts for RCEB the ies need to lean a meaningful tra- son leadership and generic se amilies early al in counteracting th reduce overi- for an additions i-2019, per capi counterparts an nd generic serv d implicit bias ti g with our partr to commit to ci mod encourage g transition equit th, particular e	e population of ti d by population roup). A simple v on of Black com on of Black com BE catchment ar uses, and sugge population, s, this may be sti ation over many nual Report to C concur that impl ed in the specia ation over many nual Report to C concur that impl ed in the specia ation over many nual Report to C concur that impl ed in the specia ation over many may be socially udents." (Special Ec n it may be the r m to effectively ansition. trainings for fan rvices – particul poot transition and al reason: the dii ta use for Black d 90% of the me tices – will positi raining, focused ters CARE Paren reating a workgr reater aquity of y for Black youth imphasis will be	he RCEB catchm count alone, wit veighted average sumers coming sumers coming is greater than arting in the sch- licit bias and syst hese students his al world consequ- bits and syst al world consequ- bits and syst al world consequ- bits and syst al world consequ- bits and syst al world consequ- bits and syst hese students his al world consequ- bits and syst al world consequ- bits and syst hese students his al world consequ- bits and syst hese students his al world consequ- bits and syst hese students hese hese students hese hese students hese hese students on a syst hese students hese hese students hese students hese students hese students hese students hese students hese students hese students hese students hese s	hent area is li the a concent e would sugg to the Regist d. ight be overi expected as ools. This pi Department to termic racism ern as less si ave undiagn uences for tr uences for tr splaygreates to pi/jamiegota is child in sc lack communy for school i t services wi a dong the w in adult sen POS for over s \$32,142, fs . Equipping disproportic e other side CEB, would r amily memb	Black. Yet RC ation of clien gest that that brail Center th dentification of compared to renormenon, ti of Education di for Education di play an impo cocially desirat bosed learning ansitioning Bl ool district, and notas prepared enansitioning Bl ool district, and notas prepared enansitioning Bl ool district arminic gun.blogspot.or es and lowere hool system a hity of the RCI district servicci III help familie ay. It may also rices. - 22 for those or White clien families with clien	EB's number is who are o 55% of adult nan expecte of Black peop the makeup at Black chi at a shows th viduals with rtant role. Jee classifica disabilities. ack students to a larger ext for the deman mis requiring. //2013/02/ana d expectatic is well as the EB catchmer is with a foc is better und o help famili that identify that identify	s show that ver 22 (22% t consumers d from the life in the gene lidren make an t Black st Disabilities ttions such a Overidenti ent society. St ds of the next fisciplinary ac lisciplinary ac lisciplinary ac lisciplinary ac sc regional co t area. The us on caree lerstand the es understa s Black as 62 and for c services ar luit Black clil RCEB and vi ack family r nd procedur	of RCEB clier, would be Wr population CEB population CEB population and the Wr population and the work of the population of t	nts nite, nn. As than % here d as ore d as ore ults ted ults ted s s rs of their .6. .5.
2. Organization	report ethnicity as Bi but in reality, this is a breakdown; additior This concentration of particularly those over regional centers rely expected portion of the more likely to be iden is discussion over the There is greater agred disturbance and intel school system, wheth "Overidentification of stim meeding special education unidentified students." "Overidentification of stim meeding special education unidentified students." "Overidentification of stim weeding services to the SolutiON FRN's proposed Tran coach families of chilliving and post-secon and the available ser overidentification starts. POS use by Black clie the services availabil The second part of th work with families for and with community The Transition Equity.	han 1.5 times ack while the i analy 39%. In si ally there are a RCEB Black c er 22. Overide on information he population, tified with dis a causes of ow ement that Bla lectual disabilities in general dents in need of miserides often in general dents in need of miserides often the frequently ha nool or they comp is overidentific es from the sc to effectively a sition Equity p didren 12-22 on dary educatio vices to serve Black youth. On age youth (According to F amily leadersh amily leadersh amily leadersh titsed on Black tive workgroup ung adults.	the number of ciler number was 16% in hort, there is a larg fewer White consu onsumers likely has ntification means ti n and referrals from has been observed abilities than their eridentification, but ack youth are more don't receive the same don't receive the same velowered expectation late school with less s cation of Black clier theol district. Famili issist their child to a program would focus advocacy for RCEB them, aiding them This effort could bo 12-22) is important (CEB POS data 2011 73% of their white dvoccate for RCEB a lid be leadership an ryices. FRN, workin nip. RCEB is willing duce implicit bias a essigned to improve transition aged yo	that 10% of th ts than expecte the 3-22 age gi er concentrati- mers for the RC a variety of can at a certain gru- school districts in special educ peers, (38 th An most analysts likely to identifi Act qualifying ci ke intellectual ci ices results in a n rigorous curriculu- splaced upon the kills than other stu- ts for RCEB the ies need to lean a meaningful tra- son leadership and generic se amilies early al in counteracting th reduce overi- for an additions i-2019, per capi counterparts an nd generic serv d implicit bias ti g with our partr to commit to ci mod encourage g transition equit th, particular e	e population of ti d by population roup). A simple v on of Black com on of Black com BE catchment ar uses, and sugge population, s, this may be sti ation over many nual Report to C concur that impl ed in the specia ation over many nual Report to C concur that impl ed in the specia ation over many nual Report to C concur that impl ed in the specia ation over many may be socially udents." (Special Ec n it may be the r m to effectively ansition. trainings for fan rvices – particul poot transition and al reason: the dii ta use for Black d 90% of the me tices – will positi raining, focused ters CARE Paren reating a workgr reater aquity of y for Black youth imphasis will be	he RCEB catchm count alone, wit veighted average sumers coming sumers coming is greater than arting in the sch- licit bias and syst hese students his al world consequ- bits and syst al world consequ- bits and syst al world consequ- bits and syst al world consequ- bits and syst al world consequ- bits and syst hese students his al world consequ- bits and syst al world consequ- bits and syst hese students his al world consequ- bits and syst hese students his al world consequ- bits and syst hese students on syst his and world syst hese students on syst his and world consequ- bits and world syst hese students on syst his gravits on the st vely impact that on improving the t Network and R coup with these fi- services.	hent area is li the a concent e would sugg to the Regist d. ight be overi expected as ools. This pi Department to termic racism ern as less si ave undiagn uences for tr uences for tr splaygreates to pi/jamiegota is child in sc lack communy for school i t services wi a dong the w in adult sen POS for over s \$32,142, fs . Equipping disproportic e other side CEB, would r amily memb	Black. Yet RC ation of clien gest that that brail Center th dentification of compared to renormenon, ti of Education di for Education di play an impo cocially desirat bosed learning ansitioning Bl ool district, and notas prepared enansitioning Bl ool district, and notas prepared enansitioning Bl ool district arminic gun.blogspot.or es and lowere hool system a hity of the RCI district servicci III help familie ay. It may also rices. - 22 for those or White clien families with clien	EB's number is who are o 55% of adult nan expecte of Black peop the makeup at Black chi at a shows th viduals with rtant role. Jee classifica disabilities. ack students to a larger ext for the deman mis requiring. //2013/02/ana d expectatic is well as the EB catchmer is with a foc is better und o help famili that identify that identify	s show that ver 22 (22% t consumers d from the life in the gene lidren make an t Black st Disabilities ttions such a Overidenti ent society. St ds of the next fisciplinary ac lisciplinary ac lisciplinary ac lisciplinary ac sc regional co t area. The us on caree lerstand the es understa s Black as 62 and for c services ar luit Black clil RCEB and vi ack family r nd procedur	of RCEB clier, would be Wr population CEB population CEB population and the population of the po	nts nite, nn. As than % here d as ore d as ore ults ted ults ted s s rs of their .6. .5.

APPLICANT QUESTION	APPLICANT RESPONSE	ATTACHMENT
What experience does the organization/group have working with the target population?	The two partners in this grant, Family Resource Navigators and CARE Parent Network, have a strong commitment to the Black community and each has more than 25 years of experience working with families of RCEB clients. The agencies have staff/Board members who identify as Black and a strong connection to the Black community. The two agencies have developed multiple projects that focus on the Black community, starting with the Harambee conference over 20 years ago. In our last DDS contact (2019-2021), FRN and CPN have deepende their commitment to the Black community by focusing on outreach and family navigation. This project made a big impact for families. Over 150 community members attended the CEP family empowerment conference in February 2020, staff have connected with more than 1500 community members and 86 families have received one on one family navigation from project staff between March 2019 and November 2020. During this project, FRN and CARE have created and expanded relationships in the community – connecting with over 100 faith based and community organizations such as the Imani community Church, Beth Eden Baptist Church, Roots Community, Brothers on the Rise and the Oakland Black Officers Association. This work was recently featured in the California Health Report, https://www.calhealthreport.org/2020/11/09/how-families-are-fighting-racism-and-disability-discrimination/	
	FRN and CARE have extensive experience training families in advocacy at the individual and systemic level. The two agencies bring experiential knowledge of the struggles of families, strong roots in the Black community and a passion for helping families to both advocate for what they need and work to improve the systems that serve them.	
3. Underserved Target Populations	Applicant Response	
Explain how the target population(s) are underserved using RC	Transition to Equity focuses on families of transition age youth, the period in which families and youth (aided by the school district Individual Education Plan (IEP) team) are exploring and planning for the adult life.	
POS data or other data as supporting evidence of the disparity.	"Post-secondary transition planning has been successful in closing some of these gaps and has helped some students with disabilities The result has been a number of improvements in post-school outcomes (e.g., employment rates, enrollment in post-secondary schools) for youth with disabilities. (Iris Center, University of Oklahoma)."	
	This 12-22 period is the optimal me to teach families about transition/adult services and effective advocacy strategies for Black families. This need rises from the dear disparities in the level of service received by over 22 Black consumers as compared to both White and overall population means. According to RCEB POS data 2018-2019, per capita use for Black dients over 22 is \$32,142, for White clients it is \$45,062 and for overall \$35,856. POS use by Black clients over 22 is 71% of their white counterparts and 90% of the mean for all clients. Equipping families with trainings on services around transition – the services available and how to advocate for RCEB and generic services – will positively impact that disproportionately low POS use for adult Black clients of RCEB.	
4. Input from Community	Applicant Response	
How did your organization use input from the community and/or target population to design	FRN and CARE have been soliciting input from the Black community about how to serve community needs in this area over the last 8 months, since the Community Empowerment conference held in February 2020. That conference included a listening session by Regional Center of the East Bay staff, and allowed families to voice their concerns. Many of the family members speaking at that session spoke about a lack of options for their adult children in both housing and services. Families expressed frustration about not having their voices heard in the formation of RCEB policy and program development.	
the project? What methods did your organization use to	Project staff also followed up with families and spoke over the phone about their reactions to our prior project (2019-2021), and how we should focus our work in the future with the community. One theme rose strongly to the top. Families stressed the importance of education and trainings, and of 'holding a space for Black families." They asked for more training/parent education that focuses on the unique perspective of Black families advocating for RCEB and generic services, and wanted strategies that are effective with practices that arise in meetings like gaslighting and microagression. Some family comments:	
designing the project? Were there any changes to your project	"The service that this team is providing is akin to a secret decoder ring. There are a lots of services and rights available to us. New we're getting the secret code to understand how it all works."	
design as a result of community input?	"It was remarkably informative. Parents had the opportunity to have their questions and concerns addressed in a way that was both helpful and compassionate. This is a course that should be offered on a regular and continual basis so that our parents and our community can advocate for our children."	
	"Excellent training and I only hope it's made available to more families for a very long time. This training addresses a true need." Family input, together with data from RCEB's POS, is the driver behind this proposal for parent education/training with an emphasis on individual advocacy in	
5. Improve Access	transition and becoming system's advocates for Black family members. Applicant Response	
How will your project improve equity, access and reduce barriers to services for individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities and their families and is	Transition to Equity has two major strategies for increasing equity among Black clients of RCEB aged 22 and above. First, the project will focus on transition age Black youth (12-22) concentrating on helping families to learn to advocate effectively in the school and other systems (such as Vocational Rehabilitation), which are the major sources of services for youth under 22 years of age. Getting the appropriate services when approaching transition, and know how to advocate effectively for adult services will have a major impact on receiving equitable services for these youth and their families. The project plans to hold trainings for 400 family members across a variety of transition related topics over the two years of the project. The impact will be subtainable, as families completing the advocacy training will be able to help others in the community. FRN and CARE will also look for ways to continue these specific trainings, perhaps with graduates from this year, in the years following the grant.	
sustainable?	The second part of this project focuses on training family members on leadership, and on holding advocacy roles in the community. After completing training on leadership, family members will collaboratively train on implicit bias with RCEB staff. This cohort, family members and RCEB staff working together, will offer trainings to community providers and form a workgroup in RCEB to help lift the Black parent voice in RCEB discussions around policy, programs and equity. Any system change arising from this efforts would have a long term impact for both families and the culture of RCEB and community providers.	
6. Support RC's Recommendations	Applicant Response	
How does this project support the RC recommendations and plan to promote equity and reduce disparities in their catchment	Transition to Equity focuses on the area that RCEB staff believe that attention is needed, the adult population was where the biggest disparities lie. 'As we look at the POS report, one of the situations that we find is that the largest gaps in expenditures exist in the adult population.' (Jairo Guiza, RCEB Diversity Specialist, Diversity and Equity Committee meeting notes, May 2020). Furthermore, the RCEB Community Resource Development Plan will focus on expanding programs answering the needs of adults – such as for housing and job and employment programs.	
nace a ligou are a RC, how does this project support your recommendations and plan to promote equity and reduce your identified disparities? How will your project collaborate with other organizations that serve individuals with intellectual and developmental	Transition to Equity will help with these efforts toward greater equity for Black adult consumers in two ways. First, the grant will offer advocacy training to families of transitioning youth to combat the implicit bias and systemic racism that can lead to Black youth getting inadequate services. This will focus on strategies for effective advocacy in working with generic and community agencies like school programs or Vocational Rehabilitation. The second strategy of the grant will help train and facilitate family leadership and participation in Regional Center policies and program development. This will focult trainings on issues of implicit bias, and lifting up the Black family voice in the decisions of RCEB. Systemic changes toward equitable programs will have an impact long past the life of this grant.	
disabilities and their families?		
7. Project different or unique	Applicant Response	

APPLICANT		
QUESTION	APPLICANT RESPONSE	ATTACHMENT
How is the proposed project unique or different from a currently funded grant	There are a number of projects funded in the 2019-2020 year that name the Black community as one of the areas of focus. Most of those are looking at family navigation or promotora activities (i.e. those from Warmline or Community Gatepath). Some focus on parent training (CARE) for a variety of populations. These are significant projects, but this proposal is different from those in important and impactful ways.	
(e.g., strategies, activities, and goals) in the proposed regional center catchment	First, it is focuses only on the Black community and stresses the importance of trainings tailored to the needs and challenges that bias and discrimination bring to advocacy. Furthermore, it focuses on individual advocacy in these circumstance and on learning effective strategies from other Black family members in a safe space.	
area? If the project is similar to a currently funded grant listed on the Department's website, how is the proposed project different?	Second, the project also incorporates a family leadership strand, which is not in other projects. Here, family members will learn to become system advocates – in a curriculum tailored to the experiences of Black families. RCEB staff and parent leaders will collaborate in learning about and talking about implicit bias – and then training others among the provider community about these issues. RCEB is agreeing to a workgroup including these parent leaders, which should help to lift up Black voices in the planning of programs and policies.	
8. Activities & Measures to Achieve Goal	Applicant Response	
state how the schedule of activities and measures demonstrate	This proposal has two major strategies. The first is individual advocacy training for families around transition (with an emphasis on challenges and effective strategies for Black families). The second is developing and facilitating Black family leaders to work for system's change in collaboration with RCEB staff. Both of these education programs would contribute to more equitable results for Black adults. The following discusses the timelines and proposed measures.	
the operational details and steps that the	Individual Advocacy training:	
project will take to achieve its stated goals, activities, and	 Recruit family members and advocate to create curriculum. This is the first task in the first 2 months of the grant. This would be done by the FRN Project Coordinator and Care staff. Once recruited, those family members would help create a curriculum of 10 trainings for Black families focused on transition. This would be facilitated by the FRN 	
measures. Note: Before answering this	 Once technicity into a minimum memory would need to a community of the mining for black termines focused on transition. This would be facilitated by the TKW Project Coordinator and Care Project staff in the summer of 2021 FRN Project Coordinator and ED would create pre/post surveys for families and professional attending the trainings before the training schedule starts in 	
question, applicant may want to complete the Activities Template located in the tab	September of 2021. 4. The Project Coordinator will schedule in person or online trainings to be completed in year 1. The Project Coordinator will schedule the trainers, arrange logistics, advertise the trainings (with Care staff), prepare surveys and materials and hold the trainings. Measures here will include counts of trainings and participants.	
directly above.	 (FRN will hold copies of training materials, flyers and eventbrite/zoom/paper sign ups). Pre and post surveys will measure the impact of the training on parents understanding of services around transition and effective advocacy strategies. Surveys and qualitative feedback will be reviewed by FRN ED and Project Coordinator for any needed improvements or changes in curriculum, which would be discussed with the community members who helped to create it. 	
	 From this feedback, the Project Coordinator will plan the curriculum for Year 2 which we hope to have co-lead by family leaders. Training would culminate in a (hopefully) in person conference which was strongly requested by the community – offering the trainings developed earlier in the year and with the same measures of impact. 	
	System Advocacy	
	 Participants will be recruited from earlier individual advocacy trainings in the fall of 2021. FRN staff and community advocates will update and edit the Project Leadership training to focus on race and equity issues as well as how the Regional Center system works (we hope RCEB staff will be trainers on this portion). Pre/post surveys for Project Leadership will be modified to the needs of this grant. Project leadership trainings will be held between January and February 2022, with surveys to see that the training helped family members to feel more comfortable acting as system advocates. A collaborative training will be held with RCEB staff and Project Leadership graduates on implicit bias trainings between March and April of 2022. The aim here is 	
	 to improve shared understanding of implicit bias, which will be measured by survey. Parent leaders and RCEB staff will continue to work together in year 2, either on a new workgroup or in existing committees – or by creating trainings for community providers. The impact that the group feels it has on equity will be measured in a survey. 	
9. Measures	Applicant Response	
Are your proposed measures appropriate to track project goals and activities, provide insight into the effectiveness of the	When designing a project, FRN uses a Results Based Accountability (RBA) frame, which stresses using both measures of project process and impact. In this parent training grant, there are two strands – individual and system advocacy training. For process measures, we are measuring did the project staff do what we said we would. For this, there are milestone measures, such as completing a curriculum and output measures such as number of trainings held and the number of parents/professionals attending. We believe that the proposed measures are adequate in capturing these factors to show evidence that families were recruited, curriculum were completed and the events held. These measure are very similar for both strands of the project.	
overall design of the project and demonstrate how the impact on the	The second set of measures are focused on the impact of these activities – did the participants feel we addressed the issues of bias and racism and its impact on access to services? did they feel more empowered to advocate? did they learn to be system leaders and advocates? These factors will be captured by pre and post surveys before and after trainings – which is a vetted means of accessing this impact.	
community will be evaluated?	In short, we feel confident that the measure proposed in this grant will meet the standards of the field both in measuring and tracking the activities of the project as well as the impact of those activities on participants.	
10. Budget Narrative	Applicant Response	

APPLICANT QUESTION	APPLICANT RESPONSE	ATTACHMENT
Note: Before uploading your Budget Narrative, applicants should complete the Budget Template located in the tab directly above. After you complete the Budget Template, please return to this question to upload your Budget Narrative. The project budget is consistent with stated projected goals and activities, and clearly and concisely explains how the proposed expenditures support the overall project design. The project budget costs are clearly associated with the project activities and goals. The project budget does not include non-allowable costs. The overall project budget is appropriate to the outcomes proposed. Please attach your Budget Narrative document. An example of a Budget		1
in Attachment C.		
Proposal Certification		
Certification		1
1. Applicant & Regional Center Discussion	Applicant Response	
If you are a CBO, have you discussed your proposal with the RC(s)?	Yes	
2. Applicant Certification	Applicant Response	
By submitting this application, the Applicant is certifying the truth and accuracy of the proposal. The applicant also certifies that if you have subcontracting organizations, each participating organization has reviewed your project and agrees to their assigned activities, measures, and the budget. (Select Yes or No and enter you name in the comment box.)	Yes	
Applicant Comment	Eileen Crumm FRN Executive Director	