APPLICATI N REPORT

Project Name:

Applicant Organization: Awarded Amount: Funding Announcement Name:

St engthening art B T ansition for Black and Latino Students with Developmental Disabilities Heluna Health:FRCNC \$186,487 omoting Se vice Access and Equity G ant



PROJECT SUMMARY

The project will serve 8 different egional center catchment areas in the state of CA. These include Inland Regional Center, Westside Regional Center, T i-Counties Regional Center, San Andreas Regional Center, Valley Mountain Regional Center, Far No the n Regional Cente , and Regional Cente of the East Bay. The co esponding Ea ly Sta t Family Resou ce Cente s (in pa t funded by art C) include Rowell Family Resou ce and Empowerment Cente , Family Resou ce Network, Special Kids Connect, CARE Parent Network, Family Resou ce Navigator, Alpha Resou ce Cente , Ea ly Sta t Family Resou ce Cente , Westside Family Resou ce & Empowerment Center.

APPLICANT	
QU STION	
Applicant ligibility	
Applicant Information	
1. Project Title	Applicant Response
What is the oject Title?	St engthening art B T ansition for Black and Latino Students with Developmental Disabilities
2. Awarded Amount	Applicant Response
	\$186,487
3. rganization Type	Applicant Response
lease check the box that desc ibes you organization	Community Based O ganization (CBO), 501(c)(3)
4. Description of rganization/Group	Applicant Response
ovide a brief desc iption of the organization/group (organization type,	The Fami Resource Centers Network of Ca ifornia (FRCNCA), founded in 1993, is a statewide coa ition of 45 Ear Start Fami Resource Centers (ESFRC) funded by the Department of Devi FRCS offer services to families of children over the age of three with state or oca funding such as Regiona Center, Parent Training Centers, or First 5 funding. Member agencies are staffed by parents of chi dren with specia needs who provide cultura responsive, individua ized services in a fami -friendl atmosphere. FRCs assist parents, fami
group mission, etc.). Explain what experience you organization has	The mission of the FRCNCA is to support families of children with disabilities, special healthcare needs, and those at risk by ensuring the continuance, expansion, promotion, and qua it of numerous statewide boards and committees, providing fami perspective on a broad range of issues, including collaboratives and statewide initiatives related to transition and cultural div
managing a program similar to the proposal	FRCNCA has demonstrated its capacity to plan and implement statewide projects. These have inc uded:
and state the outcomes of that p og am.	 FRCNCA Ear Start Contract - enhance the capacity of Ear Start Family Resource centers (ESRC) b ensuring ESFRC statewide coordination, providing training and technica assista Department of Deve opmenta Services - Prevention Resource and Referral Services (PRRS) - during the time of restrictions to eligibilit during the CA "great recession" provided info Ca ifornia Consumer Protection Foundation - Webinar Training and Videoconferencing grants. These grants increased the access of families of children with special health care need: State Council on Deve opmenta Disabilities - Partners in Po icymaking California Collaborative (PIPCC). As part of the PIPCC, the FRCNCA he ped implement the project to train four c Marin County Office of Education - Ca ifornia Autism Professiona Training and Information Network (CAPTAIN) Fami Support Content Lead. Expertise in family support provided to a Administration on Developmental Disabilities - Family Support P anning and Fami
5. Applicant in Good Standing	Applicant Response
Is the applicant in good standing with the Califo nia Sec eta y of State, California F anchise Tax Board, and California Department of Tax and Fee Administ ation?	Yes
6. Subcontractors in Good Standing	Applicant Response
A e the applicant's subcont actors in good standing with the Califo nia Sec eta y of State, California F anchise Tax Board, and California Department of Tax and Fee Administ ation?	Yes
Grant Reapplication Inf	ormation
Grant Reapplications	nly
1. Grant Number	Applicant Response
(Reapplications Only) What is the Grant Numbe of p eviously awarded project? If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable
2. Project Title	Applicant Response

APPLICANT QU STION	
(Reapplications Only) What is the oject Title of p eviously awarded project? If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable
3. Project Start & End Dates	Applicant Response
(Reapplications Only) What a e the Sta t & End Dates of the previously awa ded project? If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable
4. Project Duration	Applicant Response
(Reapplications Only) What is the Total oject Du ation (in months) of the previously awa ded project? If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable
5. 2016/2017 Award	Applicant Response
(Reapplications Only) Ente the amount of funding that was awarded in FY 2016/2017. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable
6. 2016/2017 Expenses	Applicant Response
(Reapplicants only) Ente the amount of funding that was expended in FY 2016/2017. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable
7. 2016/2017 Remaining	Applicant Response
(Reapplicants only) Subt act the Total Expenses from the Total Awa d to determine the amount emaining from FY 2016/2017. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable
8. 2017/2018 Award	Applicant Response
(Reapplicants only) Ente the amount of funding that was awarded in FY 2017/2018. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable
9. 2017/2018 Expenses	Applicant Response
(Reapplicants) Ente the amount of funding that was expended in FY 2017/2018. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable
10. 2017/2018 Remaining	Applicant Response
(Reapplicants only) Subt act the Total Expenses from the Total Awa d to determine the amount emaining from FY 2017/2018. I rot applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable
11. 2018/2019 Award	Applicant Response

11. 2018/2019 Award Applicant Response

APPLICANT QU STION	
(Reapplicants only) Ente the amount of funding that was awarded in FY 2018/2019. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable
12. 2018/2019 Expenses	Applicant Response
(Reapplicants only) Ente the total amount of funding that was expended, o is anticipated to be expended, in FY 2018/2019. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable
13. 2018/2019 Remaining	Applicant Response
(Reapplicants only) Subt act the Total Expenses from the Total Awa d to determine the amount emaining from FY 2018/2019. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable
14. 2019/2020 Award	Applicant Response
(Reapplicants only) Ente the amount of funding that was awarded in FY 2019/2020. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable
15. 2019/2020 Expenses	Applicant Response
(Reapplicants only) Ente the total amount of funding that was expended, o is anticipated to be expended, in FY 2019/2020. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable
16. 2019/2020 Remaining	Applicant Response
(Reapplicants only) Subt act the Total Expenses from the Total Awa d to determine the amount emaining from FY 2019/2020. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable
17. Total Awarded	Applicant Response
(Reapplicants only) Combine the amounts included in FY 2016/2017, FY 2017/2018, FY 2018/2019, and FY 2019/2020 fo the total amount awa ded fo the p oject. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable
18. Initial Proposed Number of People Served	Applicant Response
(Reapplications Only) Include the initial number of people projected to be se ved. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable
19. Actual Number of People Served	Applicant Response

APPLICANT QU STION	
(Reapplications Only) Include the actual number of people se ved. Explain why this numbe is diffe ent f om the projected impact number. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable
20. Regional Centers in Catchment Area	Applicant Response
(Reapplications Only) List all Regional Centers in the project catchment a eas that the project has served. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable
21. Cities Served	Applicant Response
(Reapplications Only) List the cities you project has served. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable
22. Counties Served	Applicant Response
(Reapplications Only) List the counties you project has served. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable
23. City of Los Angeles	Applicant Response
(Reapplications Only) If you p oject has seved the City of Los Angeles, list the zip code(s) and/o community(ies) your project has served. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	
24. Activities to Date	Applicant Response
(Reapplications Only) ovide a detailed explanation of p oject activities to date. Include what the p oject has accomplished to date. F om the sta t of the p oject to the date of eapplication, what are the key accomplishments? Have all activities been completed? If no, why not? If not applicable.	
25. Project Impact & Outcome	Applicant Response
(Reapplications Only) ovide a detailed explanation of p oject impacts and outcomes to date. Attach data (summa y of p e-tests and post-tests), participant success sto ies to demonst ate project outcomes and impacts. P ovide outcomes of you project's impact in se ving the ta get communities. Using you attached data, provide a brief statement of key findings. If not applicable select Not Applicable.	Not Applicable
26. Project bjectives	Applicant Response

QU STION	
(Reapplications Only) What a e the p ojects objectives in addressing disparities and what remains to be addressed/completed? Explain why these objectives have not been completed du ing the cu ent g ant period. ovide a b ief desc iption of the key lessons lea ned from you cu ent p oject, if any. What we e some of the challenges that prevented you p oject f om meeting you objectives/measu es? What a e some st ategies you organization plans to implement to add ess challenges, if any? If	Not Applicable
not applicable select	
Not Applicable. 27. Project Transition	Applicant Response
(Reapplications Only) if awarded, how will you current project transition into the 2020/21 proposed project? How does you p oposed project complement you current project? Does you p oposed project expand or continue you cu ent p oject, if so how? What	Not Applicable
activities, measu es, o ta get g oups a e being added? ovide a summa y of the diffe ences and easons why you are proposing the change. If not applicable, select Not Applicable.	
General Application	
Proposal ummary	
1. Individuals Impacted	Applicant Response
Ente the projected numbe of individuals impacted.	3000
2. People Served	Applicant Response
What is proposed number of people projected to be se ved?	750
3. Duration of project	
What is the du ation of the p oject? Ente Sta t & End Dates.	Sta t Date: 02/28/2021, End Date: 06/29/2022
4. Duration of project (months)	Applicant Response
What is the total du ation of p oject in months?	16
5. Regional Centers	Applicant Response
List all Regional Centers in the project catchment a eas.	Inland Regional Cente Westside Regional Cente T i-Counties Regional Cente San Andreas Regional Cente Valley Mountain Regional Cente Far Northern Regional Cente Regional Cente of the East Bay
6. Cities Served	Applicant Response

APPLICANT QU STION	
List the city o cities you p oject p oposes to se ve.	Inland Re ional Center - (San Bernard no County) Ade anto, App e Valle , Barstow, Big Bear Lake, Chino, Chino Hills, Colton, Crestline, Fontana, Grand Terrace, Hesperia, High and, Joshua Tree, Lake Arrowhead, Loma Linda, Lucerne Va e , Montc air, (R vers de County) Aguanga, Anza, Banning, Beaumont, B the, Cabazon, Ca imesa, Cathedra City, Coache a, Corona, Desert Center, Desert Hot Springs, Hemet, Homeland, Id Ilwild, Indian Wells, Indio, La Quinta, Lake Elsino
	Westside Re onal Center - Bever Hills, Cu ver Cit, E Segundo, Gardena, Hawthorne, Ing ewood, Lawndale, Lennox, Los Angeles, Malibu, Pacific Palisades, Redondo Beach, Santa Monica, Venice, Be Air, Ca abasas, E
	Tri-Counties Re onal Center - (Ventura County) Camarillo, Fillmore, Moorpark, Newbur Park, Oak Park, Oak View, Ojai, Oxnard, Piru, Port Hueneme, Santa Pau a, Simi Va e, Somis, Thousand Oaks, Ventura, West ake Village (Santa Barbara County) Bue ton, Carpinteria, Goleta, Guada upe, Lompoc, Santa Barbara, Santa Maria, Solvang (San Luis Ob spo County) Arro o Grande, Atascadero, Avi a Beach, Cambria, Ca ucos, Creston, Grover Beach, Harmony, Los Osos, Morro Ba, NIpomo, Oceano, Paso Rob es, Pismo Beach, Sran Luis Obispo, San Migue, San Sir
	San Andreas Re onal Center- (Monterey County) Aromas, Big Sur, Brad e , Carme , Carme B. The Sea, Carme Valle , Castroville, Chualar, Aromas, Gonzales, Greenfie d, Jo on, King Cit , Lockwood, Marina, Montere , Moss Landing, Pacific Grove, Pebb e (San Ben to County) Avios, Sen, San Juan Bautista, Tres Pinos (Santa Cara County) Avios, Campbell, Co ot ec, Cupertino, Girlo, Ho Cit , Los Altos, Los Gatos, Milpitas, Morgan Hill, Mount Hamilton, Mountain View, Pa o A to, Redwood Estates, San Jose, San Martin, Santa Clara, Saratog (Santa Cara County) Aptos, Ben Lomond, Bou der Creek, Brookda e, Capito a, Davenport, Fe ton, Freedom, Los Gatos, Mount Hermon, Santa Cruz, Scotts Valle , Soquel, Watsonville
	Valley ountain Re onal Center- (San Joaquin County) Acampo, C. ements, Esca on, Farmington, French Camp, Ho t, Lathrop, Linden, Lockeford, Lodi, Manteca, Ripon, Stockton, Thornton, Trac, Verna is, Victor, Woodbridge (Amador County) Amadior Cit., Dr. town, Fidd etown, Jone, Jackson, Kit Carson, Martell, Pine Grove, Pioneer, P. mouth, River Pines, Sutter Creek, Vo cano (Calaveras County) A taville, Angels Camp, Aron d, Aver, Justrosn, Campo Seco, Copperopo is, Doug as F at, G encoe, Hathawa Pines, Mokelume Hi I, Mountain Ranch, Murphys, Rai Road F at, San Andreas, Va ecito, Va lle (Tuolumne County) Big Oak F at, Chinese Camp, Columbia, Grove and, Jamestown, Long Barn, Mri Wuk Village, Moccasin, Pinecrest, Sonora, Soulsb vi e, Standard, Strawberr , Tuo umne, Twain Harte
	Far Northern Re onal Center- (Butte County) Bangor, Berr Creek, Biggs, Chico, Clipper Mills, Durham, Feather Falls, Forbestown, Forest Ranch, Gridle , Magalia, Nelson, Oroville, Palermo, Paradise, Richvale, Stirling Cit (Gienn County) Artois, Butte Cit, E & Creek, Gienn, Hami ton Cit, or and, Willows (Lassen County) Bieber, Do le, Her ong, Janesville, Litchfield, Madeline, Milford, Nubleber, Ravendale, Standish, Susanville, Termo, Wendel, Westwood (doc County) Adin, Alturas, Canb, Cedarville, Davis Creek, Eagleville, Fort Bidwell, Lake Cit, Like, Lookout (Plumas County) Beckwourth, Be den, B airsden-Graege, Can on Dam, Chester, Chilcoot, Clio, Crescent Mills, Greenville, Meadow Valle, Portola, Quinc, Storrie, Taylorsville, Twain, Vinton (Shata County) Anderson, Be a Vista, Big End, Burne, Casse, Caste a, Cottonwood, Fall Niver Mills, French Gu ch, Hat Creek, Igo, Lakehead, Mcarthur, Milliville, Montgomer Creek, Oak Kun, Obrien, Old Station, Palo Cedro, (Sak you County) Can ahn, Obrris, Dunsmir, Emb, Forks of Samo, Fort Jones, Gaze e, Greenview, Grenada, Happy Camp, Horbronck, Klamath River, Macdoe, Mcc oud, Montague, MountShasta, Scott Bar, Seiad Va e, Soi (Tehama County) Caning, Fourno, Gerber, Los Molinos, Manton, Mill Creek, Mineral, Paskenta, Paynes Creek, Proberta, Red Bluff, Tehama, Vina
	Re onal Center of the East Bay - (Alameda County) Alameda, A ban, Berke e, Castro Valle, Dublin, Emer ville, Fremont, Hayward, Livermore, Newark, Oakland, Pleasanton, San Leandro, San Lorenzo, Sunol, Union Cit (Contra Costa County) A amo, Antioch, Bethe Is and, Brentwood, B ron, Can on, C a ton, Concord, Crockett, Danville, Diablo, Discover Ba, El Cerrito, El Obrante, Hercules, Knightsen, Lafa ette, Martinez, Moraga, Oak ey, O
7. Counties Served	Applicant Response
List the county o counties you p oject proposes to serve.	Inland Re ional Center - San Bernardino and Riverside counties Westside Re onal Center-Western Los Ange es county inc uding the communities of Cu ver City, Ing ewood, and Santa Monica Tri-Counties Re onal Center-Santa Barbara County San Andreas Re onal Center-Montere , San Benito, Santa C ara, and Santa Cruz Counties Valley ounta n Re onal Center-Butte, G enn, Lassen, Modoc, P umas, Shasta, Siski ou: , Tehama, and Trinity counties Re onal Center of the East Bay - A ameda and Contra Costa counties
8. City of Los Angeles	Applicant Response
If you project p oposes to se ve the City of Los Angeles, list the zip codes and/o communities you project will se ve.	Westside Regional Center - Beve ly Hills - 90210,90211,90212 Culve City - 90230 El Segundo - 90245 Gardena - 90247,09248,90249 Hawthome - 90250 Inglewood - 90301, 90302, 90303, 90304, 90305 Lawndale - 90260 Lennox - 9030 and Westcheste
9. Community Based rganizations	Applicant Response
Will you be wo king with one o mo e Community Based O ganization?	Yes
10. Regional Center Data	Applicant Response
If you plan to use egional cente data fo you p oject, indicate what steps you will take (o al eady have taken) to ac ui e it. Fo example, completing a data agreement, completing a data e uest, meeting with RC to discuss data availability/timelines, etc.	We will not be e uesting data from the egional cente s fo this p oject.
11. First Project Type Selection	Applicant Response
Select you fi st p oject type.	Wo kfo ce Capacity and Development (staff training, etc)
12. Second Project Type Selection	Applicant Response
Select you second p oject type (if applicable).	Engagement and Out each (community events, etc)
13. Third Project Type Selection	Applicant Response
Select you thi d p oject type (if applicable).	Not Applicable
14. Multiple rganizations	Applicant Response

APPLICANT QU STION	
Does you project include partnership with one o mo e organizations eithe as a co-applicant o subcontracto ? If "yes", please upload a lette of support from each o ganization, that includes an explanation of thei ole in the partnership.	Yes
15. Leverage & Strategies	Applicant Response
Desc ibe how your organization will leve age and build upon st ategies, collaborations, and lessons lea ned to continue to add ess the identified disparities afte completion of the p oject. How will you project continue its wo k afte the g ant funding has concluded?	Cu ent inc eased awareness of systemic social, economic and institutional bias against Blacks and Latinos has provided the oppo tunity fo the FRCNCA to engage ou membe Se vice oviders and parent leaders/o ganizations. Inc eased awa eness of the potential of ESFRCs fo p ofessional development of early childhood and primary education sta info mation exchange egarding the availability of services du ing elementa y school where Black and Latino egional center consumers are over epresented in Special Education
Target Population	
1. Target Groups Served	Applicant Response
Select all g oups the project will se ve. If you select " acific Islander" or "Othe " use comment section to list all groups.	Af ican Ame ican , Hispanic
Applicant Comment	While we will not be se ving students and families di ectly, we hope to impact the population th ough p ofessional development training of se vice p ovide s, regional cente staff a
2. Number of Target Group Served	Applicant Response
Fo each ta get g oup selected in p evious uestion, list numbe se ved.	Af ican American 0 Hispanic 0
3. Languages	Applicant Response
Select all Languages the project will se ve. If you select "Othe " please list all languages.	Spanish , Othe (list)
Applicant Comment	Othe : ESL
4. Age Groups Served	Applicant Response
Select all Age Groups the project will se ve. If you select "Othe " please list g oups.	22 and olde
Applicant Comment	
Project Application Project Application	
1. Project Summary	Applicant Response
ovide a clear and concise project summary that includes a defined ta get	The FRCNCA p oposes to develop 8-10 egional (online) workforce capacity building convenings/trainings to impact the use of POS among African A rican/Black and Hispa families of these young child en. These p ovide g oups, those eceiving the proposed t airing, a e involved in p oviding fo a smooth transition from Ea ly Stat se vices to educa access to info mation that will impact their use of available se vices and their child's developmental trajectory.
population, catchment a ea, and p oject design. Specifically desc ibe what you	The p oject will se ve 8 diffe ent regional cente catchment a eas in the state of CA. These include Inland Regional Center, Westside Regional Center, Ti-Counties Regional Center, San Andrea Navigato , Alpha Resou ce Cente , Ea ly Sta t Family Resou ce Cente , Westside Family Resou ce & Empower ment Cente . The FRCNCA will convene a "successful t ansitions" wo kg oup focused on using the Leading by Convening Bluep int fo Authentic Engagement to identify and ag ee on egional
project will accomplish and how it will benefit	the planning phase. Lastly, a toolbox of resou ces will be made available to participants and local support agencies and families that will ensu e unde standing of se vices and su
the community se ved.	We need a esponse to add ess systemic ba ie s to access to needed Lante man suppo ts and to p oduce family friendly seamless transitions whe e families and p ofessionals by p ofessionals wo king to suppo t families.
2. Organization xperience	Applicant Response

APPLICANT	
QU STION	
What experience does the organization/group have wo king with the ta get population?	The FCNCA is a statewide o ganization with membe s p oviding pa ent to pa ent suppot, info mation and training to p ofessionals and pa ents in all 58 counties in CA. The staff systems. We a e the only o ganized Family Resou ce Cente network in the state and have been in existence for 30 years. Eleven Family Resou ce Cente s in ou netwo k a e also Family Empowe ment Cente s and wo k egula ly with their SEL. As on supporting families. Howeve, this is not true fo Following a e cu ent efforts show experience, success and will leve age this p oject Ma in County Office of Education - Califo nia Autism P ofessional T aining and Information Network (CA TAIN) Family Support Content Lead. Expertise in family support p ovide FRCs have collabo ated with the Desi ed Results Access oject and othe statewide stakeholder g oups egarding t ansition and supports to school aged children.
3. Underserved Target Populations	Applicant Response
Explain how the ta get population(s) are underse ved using RC OS data or other data as supporting evidence of the dispa ity.	The DDS 2019 Fact Book desc ibes 57,500 children between age 3 and 9 who a e se ved by the state egional cente s. The same Fact Book indicates that the gene al populati center general population (all ages). Kidsdata.o g data collected shows close to 340,000 special education students in the valious counties and catchment a eas. While Hispani gene ic agencies as well as how we do the work together using family support principles.
4. Input from Community	Applicant Response
How did you organization use input from the community and/or ta get population to design the project? What methods did you organization use to allow the community to advise you in designing the project? We a there any changes to you project design as a esult of community input?	This p oject goal and design is a sult of the FRCNCA's membe agencies involvement in community/stakeholder meetings and committees such as the a t C to a t B workg oup, intern community se vices manager, o egional center Directo to gage their agreement with the need fo and advice egarding the design. Changes to the initial design include 1) inc eased local
5. Improve Access	Applicant Response
How will you p oject improve equity, access and educe bar iers to se vices fo individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities and thei families and is sustainable?	CADDS ove a ching measures to educe disparities posits that disparities that begin among youth may compound as consumers age. Black and Latino toddle s and p ima y school studer education system, to imp ove thei developmental outcomes. Fi st, in the CADD system, fo eve y dolla spent on a white child (3-21 years of age) in the system, a non-white child of the sa se vices, they eceive disproportionate levels of services available to them specifically elated to se vices like Adaptive Skills T aining, Respite and e sonal Assistance Services. These and se vices. The most recent p og ess epo t and stakeholder ecommendations f om the work group include inc eased t aining and p ofessional development between at C, Lante man an may be a cultural esponse fo bott threes that cultural ava eness by p ofessional staff can imp ove the team response. Failu e to collabo ate and unde stand the family pe s propose to dis upt this cycle with professional development training and conve sations among the places and p ofessions where these young children and their families are eceiving se vices
6. Support RC's Recommendations	Applicant Response
How does this p oject support the RC ecommendations and plan to p omote e uity and educe disparities in thei catchment area? If you a e a RC, how does this p oject support you ecommendations and plan to p omote e uity and educe you identified disparities? How will you p oject collaborate with other organizations that se ve individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities and thei families?	Each FRC reached out to their respective egional center to discuss the project and received letters of support for this effort to benefit individuals living in their catchment area. FR eceive a smooth transition and access to appropriate services. More knowledge by the post-Early Start professional community is needed to create an environment where familiar and access to appropriate services. More knowledge by the post-Early Start professional community is needed to create an environment where familiar area.
7. Project different or unique	Applicant Response
How is the p oposed project unique or diffe ent from a cu ently funded g ant (e.g., strategies, activities, and goals) in the proposed egional center catchment area? If the p oject is simila to a cu ently funded grant listed on the Department's website, how is the proposed project diffe ent?	This statewide p oject is uni ue and diffe ent from most cu ently funded g ants. The FRCNCA is one of the few o ganizations that have a statewide presence in Family Support a Ame ican students, will benefit from this convening / training to discuss the impo tance of unde standing the suppo ts that a e available.

APPLICANT QU STION	
8. Activities & Measures to Achieve Goal	Applicant Response
	The schedule of activities demonst ate the operations details and steps necessa y to the goal to inc ease wo kfo ce capacity to support Black and Latino families of school aged Activities will include: 1. convering a wo kg oup to plan 9 egional meetings 2. conduct 8-10 egional convenings/trainings focused on cultu al conside ations and se vices 3. C eate tools to assist wo kfo ce and p esent/distribute at egional t ainings e for mance Measures will include: 1. T aining and implementation plan from a Leading by Convening p ocess 2. Implement and analyze p e/post su veys for trainees at convenings 3. Use social media analytics and website data to track engagement of tools
9. Measures	Applicant Response
A e you p oposed measu es appropriate to track p oject goals and activities, p ovide insight into the effectiveness of the ove all design of the project and demonst ate how the impact on the community will be evaluated?	The e a e no measu es used cu ently fo the population. The efore, measu es will be developed through stakeholder meetings and key informant interviews. These pre and pos
10. Budget Narrative	Applicant Response
Note: Before uploading your Budget Narrative, applicants should complete the Budget Template located in the tab directly above. After you complete the Budget Template, please return to this question to upload your Budget Narrative. The project budget is consistent with stated projected goals and activities, and clea ly and concisely explains how the proposed expenditu es support the overall project design. The p oject budget costs a e clea ly associated with the project activities and goals. The p oject budget does not include non-allowable costs. The ove all project budget is appropriate to the outcomes p oposed. lease attach you Budget Na ative document. An example of a Budget Na ative can be found in Attachment C.	
Proposal Certification	
Certification 1. Applicant & Regional Center Discussion	Applicant Response
If you a e a CBO, have you discussed you proposal with the RC(s)?	Yes
2. Applicant Certification	Applicant Response

APPLICANT QU STION	
By submitting this application, the Applicant is ce tifying the t uth and accu acy of the p oposal. The applicant also ce tifies that if you have subcont acting organizations, each participating organization has eviewed you p oject and agrees to thei assigned activities, measures, and the budget. (Select Yes o No and ente you name in the comment box.)	
Applicant Comment	