



**AUDIT OF THE
SAN GABRIEL/POMONA REGIONAL CENTER
FOR FISCAL YEARS 2017-18 AND 2018-19**

Department of Developmental Services

October 15, 2020

This audit report was prepared by the California Department of Developmental Services
1600 Ninth Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

Jim Knight, Deputy Director, Administration Division
Vicky Lovell, Chief, Research, Audit, and Evaluation Branch
Edward Yan, Manager, Audit Section
Luciah Ellen Nzima, Chief, Regional Center Audit Unit
Soi Ly, Supervisor, Regional Center Audit Unit

Audit Staff: Dong Le, Shoua Vue, Ruth Yang and Rajiv Raman

For more information, please call: (916) 654-3695

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	1
BACKGROUND	3
AUTHORITY	4
CRITERIA.....	4
AUDIT PERIOD	4
OBJECTIVES, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY.....	5
I. Purchase of Service	6
II. Regional Center Operations	7
III. Targeted Case Management (TCM) and Regional Center Rate Study	7
IV. Service Coordinator Caseload Survey.....	8
V. Early Intervention Program (EIP; Part C Funding).....	9
VI. Family Cost Participation Program (FCPP)	9
VII. Annual Family Program Fee (AFPF).....	9
VIII. Parental Fee Program (PFP)	10
IX. Procurement.....	11
X. Statewide/Regional Center Median Rates	12
XI. Other Sources of Funding from DDS.....	13
XII. Follow-up Review on Prior DDS Audit Findings.....	13
CONCLUSIONS.....	14
VIEWS OF RESPONSIBLE OFFICIALS	15
RESTRICTED USE	16
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	17
EVALUATION OF RESPONSE.....	19
ATTACHMENTS A - B	20
APPENDIX A.....	21

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Department of Developmental Services (DDS) conducted a fiscal compliance audit of San Gabriel/Pomona Regional Center (SG/PRC) to ensure SG/PRC is compliant with the requirements set forth in the Lanterman Developmental Disabilities Services Act and Related Laws/Welfare and Institutions (W&I) Code; the Home and Community-based Services (HCBS) Waiver for the Developmentally Disabled; California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 17; Federal Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circulars A-122 and A-133; and the contract with DDS. Overall, the audit indicated that SG/PRC maintains accounting records and supporting documentation for transactions in an organized manner.

The audit period was July 1, 2017, through June 30, 2019, with follow-up, as needed, into prior and subsequent periods. This report identifies some areas where SG/PRC's administrative and operational controls could be strengthened, but none of the findings were of a nature that would indicate systemic issues or constitute major concerns regarding SG/PRC's operations. A follow-up review was performed to ensure SG/PRC has taken corrective action to resolve the finding identified in the prior DDS audit report.

Findings that need to be addressed.

Finding 1: Payments Above the Statewide Median Rate

The review of 101 sampled Purchase of Service (POS) vendor files revealed SG/PRC reimbursed Applied Behavior Alternatives (ABA), vendor number PP5193, service code 615, above the Statewide Median Rate. SG/PRC reimbursed ABA at a rate of \$52.40 per hour when the Statewide Median Rate was \$35 per hour. This resulted in overpayments totaling \$80,849.36 from August 2014 through May 2019. This is not in compliance with W&I Code, Section 4691.9(a) (1) and (2).

SG/PRC provided documentation with its response which indicated that this was not a Statewide Median Rate issue; rather, due to an error, SG/PRC inadvertently increased ABA's rate from \$49.34 per hour to \$52.40 per hour when assigning a new vendor number following ABA's incorporation, resulting in a \$3.06 per hour difference. Therefore, the overpayment has been revised from \$80,849.36 to \$14,337.27.

Finding 2: Over/Understated Claims

The review of 101 sampled POS vendor files revealed SG/PRC reimbursed seven vendors at incorrect rates, resulting in overpayments totaling \$1,293 and underpayments totaling \$35,400.81. This is not in compliance with CCR, Title 17, Section 57300 (c)(2).

SG/PRC took corrective action to resolve \$18,067.48 in underpayments with \$1,293 in overpayments and \$17,333.33 in underpayments remaining. SG/PRC provided support documentation with its response indicating that an additional \$2,189.90 in underpayments has been resolved with \$15,143.43 still outstanding.

BACKGROUND

DDS is responsible, under the W&I Code, for ensuring that persons with developmental disabilities (DD) receive the services and supports they need to lead more independent, productive, and integrated lives. To ensure that these services and supports are available, DDS contracts with 21 private, nonprofit community agencies/corporations that provide fixed points of contact in the community for serving eligible individuals with DD and their families in California. These fixed points of contact are referred to as regional centers (RCs). The RCs are responsible under State law to help ensure that such persons receive access to the programs and services that are best suited to them throughout their lifetime.

DDS is also responsible for providing assurance to the Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), that services billed under California's HCBS Waiver program are provided and that criteria set forth for receiving funds have been met. As part of DDS' program for providing this assurance, the Audit Section conducts fiscal compliance audits of each RC no less than every two years, and completes follow-up reviews in alternate years. Also, DDS requires RCs to contract with independent Certified Public Accountants (CPAs) to conduct an annual financial statement audit. The DDS audit is designed to wrap around the independent CPA's audit to ensure comprehensive financial accountability.

In addition to the fiscal compliance audit, each RC will also be monitored by the DDS Federal Programs Operations Section to assess overall programmatic compliance with HCBS Waiver requirements. The HCBS Waiver compliance monitoring review has its own criteria and processes. These audits and program reviews are an essential part of an overall DDS monitoring system that provides information on RCs' fiscal, administrative, and program operations.

DDS and San Gabriel/Pomona Valleys Developmental Services, Inc. (SG/PVDS) entered into State Contract HD149018, effective July 1, 2014, through June 30, 2021. This contract specifies that SG/PVDS will operate an agency known as SG/PRC to provide services to individuals with DD and their families in the El Monte, Monrovia, Pomona, and Foothill areas. The contract is funded by state and federal funds that are dependent upon SG/PRC performing certain tasks, providing services to eligible consumers, and submitting billings to DDS.

This audit was conducted at SG/PRC from August 5, 2019, through August 30, 2019, by the Audit Section of DDS.

AUTHORITY

The audit was conducted under the authority of the W&I Code, Section 4780.5 and Article IV, Section 3 of the State Contract between DDS and SG/PRC.

CRITERIA

The following criteria were used for this audit:

- W&I Code,
- “Approved Application for the HCBS Waiver for the Developmentally Disabled,”
- CCR, Title 17,
- OMB Circulars A-122 and A-133, and
- The State Contract between DDS and SG/PRC, effective July 1, 2014.

AUDIT PERIOD

The audit period was July 1, 2017, through June 30, 2019, with follow-up, as needed, into prior and subsequent periods.

OBJECTIVES, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY

This audit was conducted as part of the overall DDS monitoring system that provides information on RCs' fiscal, administrative, and program operations. The objectives of this audit were:

- To determine compliance with the W&I Code,
- To determine compliance with the provisions of the HCBS Waiver Program for the Developmentally Disabled,
- To determine compliance with CCR, Title 17 regulations,
- To determine compliance with OMB Circulars A-122 and A-133, and
- To determine that costs claimed were in compliance with the provisions of the State Contract between DDS and SG/PRC.

The audit was conducted in accordance with the Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. However, the procedures do not constitute an audit of SG/PRC's financial statements. DDS limited the scope to planning and performing audit procedures necessary to obtain reasonable assurance that SG/PRC was in compliance with the objectives identified above. Accordingly, DDS examined transactions on a test basis to determine whether SG/PRC was in compliance with the W&I Code; the HCBS Waiver for the Developmentally Disabled; CCR, Title 17; OMB Circulars A-122 and A-133; and the State Contract between DDS and SG/PRC.

DDS' review of SG/PRC's internal control structure was conducted to gain an understanding of the transaction flow and the policies and procedures, as necessary, to develop appropriate auditing procedures.

DDS reviewed the annual audit report that was conducted by an independent CPA firm for Fiscal Year (FY) 2017-18, issued on January 9, 2019. It was noted that no management letter was issued for SG/PRC. This review was performed to determine the impact, if any, upon the DDS audit and, as necessary, develop appropriate audit procedures.

The audit procedures performed included the following:

I. Purchase of Service

DDS selected a sample of Purchase of Service (POS) claims billed to DDS. The sample included consumer services and vendor rates. The sample also included consumers who were eligible for the HCBS Waiver Program. For POS claims, the following procedures were performed:

- DDS tested the sample items to determine if the payments made to service providers were properly claimed and could be supported by appropriate documentation.
- DDS selected a sample of invoices for service providers with daily and hourly rates, standard monthly rates, and mileage rates to determine if supporting attendance documentation was maintained by SG/PRC. The rates charged for the services provided to individual consumers were reviewed to ensure compliance with the provision of the W&I Code; the HCBS Waiver for the Developmentally Disabled; CCR, Title 17, OMB Circulars A-122 and A-133; and the State Contract between DDS and SG/PRC.
- DDS selected a sample of individual Consumer Trust Accounts to determine if there were any unusual activities and whether any account balances exceeded \$2,000, as prohibited by the Social Security Administration. In addition, DDS determined if any retroactive Social Security benefit payments received exceeded the \$2,000 resource limit for longer than nine months. DDS also reviewed these accounts to ensure that the interest earnings were distributed quarterly, personal and incidental funds were paid before the 10th of each month, and proper documentation for expenditures was maintained.
- The Client Trust Holding Account, an account used to hold unidentified consumer trust funds, was tested to determine whether funds received were properly identified to a consumer or returned to the Social Security Administration in a timely manner. An interview with SG/PRC staff revealed that SG/PRC has procedures in place to determine the correct recipient of unidentified consumer trust funds. If the correct recipient cannot be determined, the funds are returned to the Social Security Administration or other sources in a timely manner.
- DDS selected a sample of Uniform Fiscal Systems (UFS) reconciliations to determine if any accounts were out of balance or if there were any outstanding items that were not reconciled.

- DDS analyzed all of SG/PRC's bank accounts to determine whether DDS had signatory authority, as required by the State Contract with DDS.
- DDS selected a sample of bank reconciliations for Operations (OPS) accounts and Consumer Trust bank accounts to determine if the reconciliations were properly completed on a monthly basis.

II. Regional Center Operations

DDS selected a sample of OPS claims billed to DDS to determine compliance with the State Contract. The sample included various expenditures claimed for administration that were reviewed to ensure SG/PRC's accounting staff properly input data, transactions were recorded on a timely basis, and expenditures charged to various operating areas were valid and reasonable. The following procedures were performed:

- A sample of the personnel files, timesheets, payroll ledgers, and other support documents were selected to determine if there were any overpayments or errors in the payroll or the payroll deductions.
- A sample of OPS expenses, including, but not limited to, purchases of office supplies, consultant contracts, insurance expenses, and lease agreements were tested to determine compliance with CCR, Title 17, and the State Contract.
- A sample of equipment was selected and physically inspected to determine compliance with requirements of the State Contract.
- DDS reviewed SG/PRC's policies and procedures for compliance with the DDS Conflict of Interest regulations, and DDS selected a sample of personnel files to determine if the policies and procedures were followed.

III. Targeted Case Management (TCM) and Regional Center Rate Study

The TCM Rate Study determines the DDS rate of reimbursement from the federal government. The following procedures were performed upon the study:

- Reviewed applicable TCM records and SG/PRC's Rate Study. DDS examined the months of May 2018 and May 2019 and traced the reported information to source documents.
- Reviewed SG/PRC's TCM Time Study. DDS selected a sample of payroll timesheets for this review and compared timesheets to the Case Management Time Study Forms (DS 1916) to ensure that the forms were properly completed and supported.

IV. Service Coordinator Caseload Survey

Under the W&I Code, Section 4640.6(e), RCs are required to provide service coordinator caseload data to DDS. The following average service coordinator-to-consumer ratios apply per W&I Code Section 4640.6(c)(1)(2)(3)(A)(B)(C):

- “(c) Contracts between the department and regional centers shall require regional centers to have service coordinator-to-consumer ratios, as follows:
- (1) An average service coordinator-to-consumer ratio of 1 to 62 for all consumers who have not moved from the developmental centers to the community since April 14, 1993. In no case shall a service coordinator for these consumers have an assigned caseload in excess of 79 consumers for more than 60 days.
 - (2) An average service coordinator-to-consumer ratio of 1 to 45 for all consumers who have moved from a developmental center to the community since April 14, 1993. In no case shall a service coordinator for these consumers have an assigned caseload in excess of 59 consumers for more than 60 days.
 - (3) Commencing January 1, 2004, the following coordinator-to-consumer ratios shall apply:
 - (A) All consumers three years of age and younger and for consumers enrolled in the Home and Community-based Services Waiver program for persons with developmental disabilities, an average service coordinator-to-consumer ratio of 1 to 62.
 - (B) All consumers who have moved from a developmental center to the community since April 14, 1993, and have lived continuously in the community for at least 12 months, an average service coordinator-to-consumer ratio of 1 to 62.
 - (C) All consumers who have not moved from the developmental centers to the community since April 14, 1993, and who are not described in subparagraph (A), an average service coordinator-to-consumer ratio of 1 to 66.”

DDS also reviewed the Service Coordinator Caseload Survey methodology used in calculating the caseload ratios to determine reasonableness and that supporting documentation is maintained to support the survey and the ratios as required by W&I Code, Section 4640.6(e).

V. Early Intervention Program (EIP; Part C Funding)

For the EIP, there are several sections contained in the Early Start Plan. However, only the Part C section was applicable for this review.

VI. Family Cost Participation Program (FCPP)

The FCPP was created for the purpose of assessing consumer costs to parents based on income level and dependents. The family cost participation assessments are only applied to respite, day care, and camping services that are included in the child's Individual Program Plan (IPP)/Individualized Family Services Plan (IFSP). To determine whether SG/PRC was in compliance with CCR, Title 17, and the W&I Code, Section 4783, DDS performed the following procedures during the audit review:

- Reviewed the list of consumers who received respite, day care, and camping services, for ages 0 through 17 years who live with their parents and are not Medi-Cal eligible, to determine their contribution for the FCPP.
- Reviewed the parents' income documentation to verify their level of participation based on the FCPP Schedule.
- Reviewed copies of the notification letters to verify that the parents were notified of their assessed cost participation within 10 working days of receipt of the parents' income documentation.
- Reviewed vendor payments to verify that SG/PRC was paying for only its assessed share of cost.

VII. Annual Family Program Fee (AFPF)

The AFPF was created for the purpose of assessing an annual fee of up to \$200 based on the income level of families with children between the ages of 0 through 17 years receiving qualifying services through the RC. The AFPF fee shall not be assessed or collected if the child receives only respite, day care, or camping services from the RC and a cost for participation was assessed to the parents under FCPP. To determine whether SG/PRC was in compliance with the W&I Code, Section 4785, DDS requested a list of AFPF assessments and verified the following:

- The adjusted gross family income is at or above 400 percent of the federal poverty level based upon family size.
- The child has a DD or is eligible for services under the California Early Intervention Services Act.

- The child is less than 18 years of age and lives with his or her parent.
- The child or family receives services beyond eligibility determination, needs assessment, and service coordination.
- The child does not receive services through the Medi-Cal program.
- Documentation was maintained by the RC to support reduced assessments.

VIII. Parental Fee Program (PFP)

The PFP was created for the purpose of prescribing financial responsibility to parents of children under the age of 18 years who are receiving 24-hour, out-of-home care services through an RC or who are residents of a state hospital or on leave from a state hospital. Parents shall be required to pay a fee depending upon their ability to pay, but not to exceed (1) the cost of caring for a child without DD at home, as determined by the Director of DDS, or (2) the cost of services provided, whichever is less. To determine whether SG/PRC is in compliance with the W&I Code, Section 4782, DDS requested a list of PFP assessments and verified the following:

- Identified all children with DD who are receiving the following services:
 - (a) All 24-hour, out-of-home community care received through an RC for children under the age of 18 years;
 - (b) 24-hour care for such minor children in state hospitals.
Provided, however, that no ability to pay determination shall be made for services required by state or federal law, or both, to be provided to children without charge to their parents.
- Provided DDS with a listing of new placements, terminated cases, and client deaths for those clients. Such listings shall be provided not later than the 20th day of the month following the month of such occurrence.
- Informed parents of children who will be receiving services that DDS is required to determine parents' ability to pay and to assess, bill, and collect parental fees.
- Provided parents a package containing an informational letter, a Family Financial Statement (FFS), and a return envelope within 10 working days after placement of a minor child.
- Provided DDS a copy of each informational letter given or sent to parents, indicating the addressee and the date given or mailed.

IX. Procurement

The Request for Proposal (RFP) process was implemented to ensure RCs outline the vendor selection process when using the RFP process to address consumer service needs. As of January 1, 2011, DDS requires RCs to document their contracting practices, as well as how particular vendors are selected to provide consumer services. By implementing a procurement process, RCs will ensure that the most cost-effective service providers, amongst comparable service providers, are selected, as required by the Lanterman Act and the State Contract. To determine whether SG/PRC implemented the required RFP process, DDS performed the following procedures during the audit review:

- Reviewed SG/PRC's contracting process to ensure the existence of a Board-approved procurement policy and to verify that the RFP process ensures competitive bidding, as required by Article II of the State Contract, as amended.
- Reviewed the RFP contracting policy to determine whether the protocols in place included applicable dollar thresholds and comply with Article II of the State Contract, as amended.
- Reviewed the RFP notification process to verify that it is open to the public and clearly communicated to all vendors. All submitted proposals are evaluated by a team of individuals to determine whether proposals are properly documented, recorded, and authorized by appropriate officials at SG/PRC. The process was reviewed to ensure that the vendor selection process is transparent and impartial and avoids the appearance of favoritism. Additionally, DDS verified that supporting documentation is retained for the selection process and, in instances where a vendor with a higher bid is selected, written documentation is retained as justification for such a selection.

DDS performed the following procedures to determine compliance with Article II of the State Contract for contracts in place as of January 1, 2011:

- Selected a sample of Operations, Community Placement Plan (CPP), and negotiated POS contracts subject to competitive bidding to ensure SG/PRC notified the vendor community and the public of contracting opportunities available.
- Reviewed the contracts to ensure that SG/PRC has adequate and detailed documentation for the selection and evaluation process of vendor proposals and written justification for final vendor selection decisions and that those contracts were properly signed and executed by both parties to the contract.

In addition, DDS performed the following procedures:

- To determine compliance with the W&I Code, Section 4625.5 for contracts in place as of March 24, 2011: Reviewed to ensure SG/PRC has a written policy requiring the Board to review and approve any of its contracts of two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000) or more before entering into a contract with the vendor.
- Reviewed SG/PRC Board-approved Operations, Start-Up, and POS vendor contracts of \$250,000 or more, to ensure the inclusion of a provision for fair and equitable recoupment of funds for vendors that cease to provide services to consumers; verified that the funds provided were specifically used to establish new or additional services to consumers, the usage of funds is of direct benefit to consumers, and the contracts are supported with sufficiently detailed and measurable performance expectations and results.

The process above was conducted in order to assess SG/PRC's current RFP process and Board approval for contracts of \$250,000 or more, as well as to determine whether the process in place satisfies the W&I Code and SG/PRC's State Contract requirements, as amended.

X. Statewide/Regional Center Median Rates

The Statewide and RC Median Rates were implemented on July 1, 2008, and amended on December 15, 2011, to ensure that RCs are not negotiating rates higher than the set Median Rates for services. Despite the Median Rate requirement, rate increases could be obtained from DDS under health and safety exemptions where RCs demonstrate the exemption is necessary for the health and safety of the consumers.

To determine whether SG/PRC was in compliance with the Lanterman Act, DDS performed the following procedures during the audit review:

- Reviewed sample vendor files to determine whether SG/PRC is using appropriately vendorized service providers and correct service codes, and that SG/PRC is paying authorized contract rates and complying with the Median Rate requirements of W&I Code, Section 4691.9.
- Reviewed vendor contracts to ensure that SG/PRC is reimbursing vendors using authorized contract Median Rates and verified that rates paid represented the lower of the Statewide or RC Median Rate set after June 30, 2008. Additionally, DDS verified that providers vendorized before June 30, 2008, did not receive any unauthorized rate increases, except in situations where required by regulation, or health and safety exemptions were granted by DDS.

- Reviewed vendor contracts to ensure that SG/PRC did not negotiate rates with new service providers for services which are higher than the RC's Median Rate for the same service code and unit of service, or the Statewide Median Rate for the same service code and unit of service, whichever is lower. DDS also ensured that units of service designations conformed with existing RC designations or, if none exists, ensured that units of service conformed to a designation used to calculate the Statewide Median Rate for the same service code.

XI. Other Sources of Funding from DDS

RCs may receive other sources of funding from DDS. DDS performed sample tests on identified sources of funds from DDS to ensure SG/PRC's accounting staff were inputting data properly, and that transactions were properly recorded and claimed. In addition, tests were performed to determine if the expenditures were reasonable and supported by documentation. The sources of funding from DDS identified in this audit are:

- CPP;
- Part C – Early Start Program;
- Family Resource Center;
- Foster Grandparent (FGP);
- Senior Companion (SC);

XII. Follow-up Review on Prior DDS Audit Findings

As an essential part of the overall DDS monitoring system, a follow-up review of the prior DDS audit findings was conducted. DDS identified prior audit findings that were reported to SG/PRC and reviewed supporting documentation to determine the degree of completeness of SG/PRC's implementation of corrective actions.

CONCLUSIONS

Based upon the audit procedures performed, DDS has determined that except for the items identified in the Findings and Recommendations section, SG/PRC was in compliance with applicable sections of the W&I Code; the HCBS Waiver for the Developmentally Disabled; CCR, Title 17; OMB Circulars A-122 and A-133; and the State Contract between DDS and SG/PRC for the audit period, July 1, 2017, through June 30, 2019.

The costs claimed during the audit period were for program purposes and adequately supported.

From the review of the prior audit, it has been determined that SG/PRC has taken appropriate corrective action to resolve one finding that was noted in the prior audit report.

VIEWS OF RESPONSIBLE OFFICIALS

DDS issued the draft audit report on March 16, 2020. The findings in the draft audit report were discussed at a formal exit conference with SG/PRC on March 19, 2020. The views of SG/PRC's responsible officials are included in this final audit report.

RESTRICTED USE

This audit report is solely for the information and use of DDS, CMS, Department of Health Care Services, and SG/PRC. This restriction does not limit distribution of this audit report, which is a matter of public record.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Findings that need to be addressed.

Finding 1: Payments Above the Median Rate

The review of 101 sampled POS vendor files revealed SG/PRC reimbursed ABA, Vendor Number PP5193, Service Code 615, at SG/PRC's Statewide Median Rate of \$52.40 per hour when the Statewide Median Rate was \$35 per hour. This resulted in overpayments totaling \$80,849.36 from August 2014 through May 2019.

SG/PRC provided documentation with its response which indicated that this was not a Statewide Median Rate issue. However, SG/PRC indicated that due to an error it inadvertently increased ABA's rate to \$52.40 per hour, resulting in a \$3.06 per hour difference. Therefore, the overpayment has been revised from \$80,849.36 to \$14,337.27.
(See Attachment A and AI)

W&I Code, Section 4691.9(a)(1) and (2) states in part:

- (1) "A regional center shall not pay an existing service provider, for services where rates are determined through a negotiation between the regional center and the provider, a rate higher than the rate in effect on June 30, 2008, unless the increase is required by a contract between the regional center and the vendor that is in effect on June 30, 2008, or the regional center demonstrates that the approval is necessary to protect the consumer's health or safety and the department has granted prior written authorization.
- (2) "A regional center shall not negotiate a rate with a new service provider, for services where rates are determined through a negotiation between the regional center and the provider, that is higher than the regional center's median rate for the same service code and unit of service, or the statewide median rate for the same service code and unit of service, whichever is lower."

Recommendation:

SG/PRC must reimburse to DDS the overpayments totaling \$14,337.27 and apply the correct rate of \$49.34 per hour to the vendor. In addition, SG/PRC should ensure its staff enter the correct rate into its accounting program to ensure accurate payments to its vendors.

Finding 2: Over/Understated Claims

The review of 101 sampled POS vendor files revealed SG/PRC reimbursed seven vendors at incorrect rates. This resulted in overpayments totaling \$1,293 to one vendor and underpayments totaling \$35,400.81 to six vendors. The overpayments occurred due to SG/PRC staff entering incorrect vendor rates into its Uniform Fiscal System (UFS), while the underpayments occurred due to SG/PRC's failure to retroactively make additional payments to vendors after rate increases were issued by DDS. SG/PRC took corrective action to resolve \$18,067.48 in underpayments. However, \$1,293 in overpayments and \$17,333.33 in underpayments remain outstanding.

SG/PRC provided support documentation with its response indicating that an additional \$2,189.90 in underpayments have been resolved with \$15,143.43 still outstanding. (See Attachment B)

CCR, Title 17, Section 57300 (c) (2) states in part:

“(c) Regional centers shall not reimburse vendors: ...

(2) For services in an amount greater than the rate established pursuant to these regulations.”

Recommendation:

SG/PRC must reimburse to DDS the overpayments totaling \$1,293 and issue payments totaling \$15,143.43 to the underpaid vendor. In addition, SG/PRC must ensure its staff enters correct rates into its UFS for payments prior to reimbursing its vendors. Furthermore, SG/PRC must apply retro payments to vendors timely after rate increases are received from DDS.

EVALUATION OF RESPONSE

As part of the audit report process, SG/PRC was provided with a draft audit report and requested to provide a response to the findings. SG/PRC's response dated April 30, 2020, is provided as Appendix A.

DDS' Audit Section has evaluated SG/PRC's response and will confirm the appropriate corrective actions have been taken during the next scheduled audit.

Finding 1: Payments Above the Statewide Median Rate

SG/PRC provided additional documentation with its response which indicated that this was not a Statewide Median Rate issue. The documentation indicated that ABA was vendorized in June 2008 at a rate of \$49.34 per hour, before the Statewide Median Rate took effect on July 1, 2008. However, SG/PRC indicated that due to an error it inadvertently increased ABA's rate to \$52.40 per hour, resulting in a \$3.06 per hour difference, when it changed ABA's Vendor Number from PP4932 to PP5193 following ABA's incorporation. This resulted in an overpayment totaling \$14,337.27.

SG/PRC indicated that it will negotiate a five-year payment plan with ABA to recoup the \$14,337.27 overpayment and will forward a copy of the payment plan to DDS.

Finding 2: Over/Understated Claims

SG/PRC agreed with the overpayments totaling \$1,293 to Behavior Respite In Action Inc. SG/PRC indicated that it will request DDS to write-off the overpayment from the vendor since the owner is deceased and the business was sold to another entity. DDS disagrees with SG/PRC's request for a write-off since the business continues to provide services under the same Tax Identification Number. Therefore, SG/PRC must reimburse DDS the overpayment totaling \$1,293.

In addition, SG/PRC agreed with the underpayments totaling \$17,333.33 and provided documents with its response indicating that it has resolved \$2,189.90 in underpayments with \$15,143.43 still outstanding. However, SG/PRC stated that underpayments totaling \$15,143.43 are from closed FYs and will request instructions from DDS on how to reimburse the vendor. SG/PRC stated it has since implemented new procedures to help eliminate underpayment variances to its vendors.

ATTACHMENTS A - B

SAN GABRIEL/POMONA REGIONAL CENTER

To request a copy of the attachments for this audit report, please contact the DDS Audit Section at (916) 654-3695.

Appendix A

SAN GABRIEL/POMONA REGIONAL CENTER

**RESPONSE
TO AUDIT FINDINGS**

To request a copy of the regional center response to the audit findings, please contact the DDS Audit Section at (916) 654-3695.