Department of Developmental Services
1215 O Street, MS 9-20
Sacramento, CA 95814
TTY: 711
(916) 654-3695

AUDIT OF THE GOLDEN GATE REGIONAL CENTER FOR FISCAL YEARS 2020-21 AND 2021-22

December 12, 2023

DDS TEAM
Pete Cervinka, Chief Deputy Director, Data Analytics and Strategy
Ann Nakamura, Branch Chief, Research, Audit, and Evaluation Branch
Edward Yan, Manager, Audit Section
Luciah Ellen Nzima, Chief, Regional Center Audit Unit
Oscar Perez, Supervisor, Regional Center Audit Unit
Audit Staff: Carlos Whylesmenchaca, Chanta Ham and Gordon Ho

TABLE OF CONTENTS

RESTRICTED USE

This audit report is solely for the information and use of DDS, CMS, Department of Health Care Services, and the regional center. This restriction does not limit distribution of this audit report, which is a matter of public record.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Department of Developmental Services (DDS) conducted a fiscal compliance audit of Golden Gate Regional Center to assess compliance with the requirements set forth in the Lanterman Developmental Disabilities Services Act and Related Laws/Welfare and Institutions (W&I) Code; the Home and Community-based Services (HCBS) Waiver for the Developmentally Disabled; California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 17; Federal Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circulars A-122 and A-133; and the contract with DDS. Overall, the audit indicated that the regional center maintains accounting records and supporting documentation for transactions in an organized manner.

No findings were identified during the audit of GGRC for Fiscal Years (FYs) 2020-21 and 2021-22.

BACKGROUND

DDS and Golden Gate Regional Center, Inc. entered into State Contract HD199006, effective July 1, 2019, through June 30, 2026. This contract specifies that Golden Gate Regional Center, Inc., will operate an agency known as the Golden Gate Regional Center to provide services to individuals with DD and their families. The contract is funded by state and federal funds that are dependent upon the regional center performing certain tasks, providing services to eligible consumers, and submitting billings to DDS.

This audit was conducted from February 27, 2023, through March 30, 2023, by the Audit Section of DDS.

AUTHORITY

The audit was conducted under the authority of the W&I Code, Section 4780.5 and the State Contract between DDS and the regional center.

CRITERIA

The following criteria were used for this audit:

- W&I Code,
- "Approved Application for the HCBS Waiver for the Developmentally Disabled,"
- CCR, Title 17,
- OMB Circulars A-122 and A-133, and
- The State Contract between DDS and the regional center, effective July 1, 2019.

VIEWS OF RESPONSIBLE OFFICIALS

GGRC provided an email declining a formal exit conference, since no findings were identified in the audit report.

CONCLUSIONS

Based upon the audit procedures performed, DDS has determined that the regional center was in compliance with applicable audit criteria.

The costs claimed during the audit period were for program purposes and adequately supported.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The audit indicated that, overall, GGRC maintains accounting records and supporting documentation for transactions in an organized manner. There were no findings identified during the audit of GGRC for FYs 2020-21and 2021-22.

APPENDIX A

SCOPE, OBJECTIVES, AND METHODOLOGY

DDS is responsible, under the W&I Code, for ensuring that persons with intellectual and developmental disabilities receive the services and supports they need to lead more independent, productive, and integrated lives. To secure these services and supports, DDS contracts with 21 private, nonprofit community agencies/corporations that provide fixed points of contact in the community for serving eligible individuals and their families in California. These fixed points of contact are referred to as regional centers. The regional centers are responsible under State law to help ensure that such persons receive access to the programs and services that are best suited to them throughout their lifetime.

DDS also is responsible for providing assurance to the federal Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare, and Medicaid Services (CMS), that services billed under California's HCBS Waiver program are provided and that criteria set forth for receiving funds have been met. As part of providing this assurance, the Audit Section conducts fiscal compliance audits of each regional center no less than every two years and completes follow-up reviews in alternate years.

In addition to the fiscal compliance audit, each regional center also is monitored by the DDS Federal Programs Operations Section to assess overall programmatic compliance with HCBS Waiver requirements. The HCBS Waiver compliance monitoring review has its own criteria and processes. These audits and program reviews are an essential part of an overall DDS monitoring system that provides information on the regional centers' fiscal, administrative, and program operations.

This audit was conducted as part of the overall DDS monitoring system that provides information on the regional centers' fiscal, administrative, and program operations. The objectives of this audit were:

- To determine compliance with the W&I Code,
- To determine compliance with the provisions of the HCBS Waiver Program for the Developmentally Disabled,
- To determine compliance with CCR, Title 17 regulations,
- To determine compliance with OMB Circulars A-122 and A-133, and
- To determine that costs claimed were in compliance with the provisions of the State Contract between DDS and the regional center.

The audit was conducted in accordance with the Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. However, the procedures do not constitute an audit of the regional center's financial statements. DDS limited the scope to planning and performing audit procedures necessary to obtain

reasonable assurance that the regional center was in compliance with the objectives identified above.
DDS' review of the regional center's internal control structure was conducted to gain an understanding of the transaction flow and the policies and procedures, as necessary, to develop appropriate auditing procedures.

DDS reviewed available annual audit report(s) that were conducted by an independent CPA firm. This review was performed to determine the impact, if any, upon the DDS audit and, as necessary, develop appropriate audit procedures.

The audit procedures performed included the following:

I. Purchase of Service

DDS selected a sample of Purchase of Service (POS) claims billed to DDS. The sample included consumer services and vendor rates. The sample also included consumers who were eligible for the HCBS Waiver Program. For POS claims, the following procedures were performed:

- DDS tested the sample items to determine if the payments made to service providers were properly claimed and could be supported by appropriate documentation.

- DDS selected a sample of invoices for service providers with daily and hourly rates, standard monthly rates, and mileage rates to determine if supporting attendance documentation was maintained by the regional center. The rates charged for the services provided to individual consumers were reviewed to ensure compliance with the provision of the W&I Code; the HCBS Waiver for the Developmentally Disabled; CCR, Title 17, OMB Circulars A-122 and A-133; and the State Contract between DDS and the regional center.

- DDS analyzed all bank accounts to determine whether DDS had signatory authority, as required by the State Contract with DDS.

- DDS selected a sample of bank reconciliations for Operations (OPS) accounts and Consumer Trust bank accounts to determine if the reconciliations were properly completed on a monthly basis.

II. Regional Center Operations

DDS selected a sample of OPS claims billed to DDS to determine compliance with the State Contract. The sample included various expenditures claimed for administration that were reviewed to assure that accounting staff properly input data, transactions were recorded on a timely basis, and expenditures charged to various operating areas were valid and reasonable. The following procedures were performed:

- A sample of the personnel files, timesheets, payroll ledgers, and other support documents were selected to determine if there were any overpayments or errors in the payroll or the payroll deductions.

- A sample of OPS expenses, including, but not limited to, purchases of office supplies, consultant contracts, insurance expenses, and lease agreements were tested to determine compliance with CCR, Title 17, and the State Contract.

- A sample of equipment was selected and physically inspected to determine compliance with requirements of the State Contract.

- DDS reviewed the regional center's policies and procedures for compliance with the DDS Conflict of Interest regulations, and DDS selected a sample of personnel files to determine if the policies and procedures were followed.

III. Targeted Case Management (TCM) and Regional Center Rate Study

The TCM Rate Study determines the DDS rate of reimbursement from the federal government. The following procedures were performed upon the study:

- DDS examined the two TCM Rate Studies submitted to DDS during the audit period and traced the reported information to source documents.

- A review of the recent Case Management Time Study was conducted. DDS selected a sample of the Case Management Time Study Forms (DS 1916) for examination and reconciled them to the corresponding payroll timesheets to ensure that the forms were properly completed and supported.

IV. Service Coordinator Caseload Survey

Under the W&I Code, Section 4640.6(e), regional centers are required to provide service coordinator caseload data to DDS. The following average service coordinator-to-consumer ratios apply per W&I Code Section 4640.6(c)(1)(2)(3)(A)(B)(C):

"(c) Contracts between the department and regional centers shall require regional centers to have service coordinator-to-consumer ratios, as follows:

(1) An average service coordinator-to-consumer ratio of 1 to 62 for all consumers who have not moved from the developmental centers to the community since April 14, 1993. In no case shall a service

coordinator for these consumers have an assigned caseload in excess of 79 consumers for more than 60 days.

(2) An average service coordinator-to-consumer ratio of 1 to 45 for all consumers who have moved from a developmental center to the community since April 14, 1993. In no case shall a service coordinator for these consumers have an assigned caseload in excess of 59 consumers for more than 60 days.

(3) Commencing January 1, 2004, the following coordinator-to-consumer ratios shall apply:

(A) All consumers three years of age and younger and for consumers enrolled in the Home and Community-based Services Waiver program for persons with developmental disabilities, an average service coordinator-to-consumer ratio of 1 to 62.

(B) All consumers who have moved from a developmental center to the community since April 14, 1993, and have lived continuously in the community for at least 12 months, an average service coordinator-to-consumer ratio of 1 to 62.

(C) All consumers who have not moved from the developmental centers to the community since April 14, 1993, and who are not described in subparagraph (A), an average service coordinator-to-consumer ratio of 1 to 66."

DDS also reviewed the Service Coordinator Caseload Survey methodology used in calculating the caseload ratios to determine reasonableness and that supporting documentation is maintained to support the survey and the ratios as required by W&I Code, Section 4640.6(e).

V. Early Intervention Program (EIP; Part C Funding)

For the EIP, there are several sections contained in the Early Start Plan. However, only the Part C section was applicable for this review.

VI. Family Cost Participation Program (FCPP)

The FCPP was created for the purpose of assessing consumer costs to parents based on income level and dependents. The family cost participation assessments are only applied to respite, day care, and camping services that are included in the child's Individual Program Plan (IPP)/Individualized Family Services Plan (IFSP). To determine whether the regional center was in compliance with CCR, Title 17, and the W&I Code, Section 4783, DDS performed the following procedures during the audit review:

- Reviewed the list of consumers who received respite, day care, and camping services, for ages 0 through 17 years who live with their parents and are not Medi-Cal eligible, to determine their contribution for the FCPP.

- Reviewed the parents' income documentation to verify their level of participation based on the FCPP Schedule.

- Reviewed copies of the notification letters to verify that the parents were notified of their assessed cost participation within 10 working days of receipt of the parents' income documentation.

- Reviewed vendor payments to verify that the regional center was paying for only its assessed share of cost.

VII. Annual Family Program Fee (AFPF)

The AFPF was created for the purpose of assessing an annual fee of up to $200 based on the income level of families with children between the ages of 0 through 17 years receiving qualifying services through the regional center. The AFPF fee shall not be assessed or collected if the child receives only respite, day care, or camping services from the regional center and a cost for participation was assessed to the parents under FCPP. To determine compliance with the W&I Code, Section 4785, DDS requested a list of AFPF assessments and verified the following:

- The adjusted gross family income is at or above 400 percent of the federal poverty level based upon family size.

- The child has a DD or is eligible for services under the California Early Intervention Services Act.

- The child is less than 18 years of age and lives with his or her parent.

- The child or family receives services beyond eligibility determination, needs assessment, and service coordination.

- The child does not receive services through the Medi-Cal program.

- Documentation was maintained by the regional center to support reduced assessments.

VIII. Parental Fee Program (PFP)

The PFP was created for the purpose of prescribing financial responsibility to parents of children under the age of 18 years who are receiving 24-hour, out-of-home care services through a regional center or who are residents of a state

hospital or on leave from a state hospital. Parents shall be required to pay a fee depending upon their ability to pay, but not to exceed (1) the cost of caring for a child without DD at home, as determined by the Director of DDS, or (2) the cost of services provided, whichever is less. To determine compliance with the W&I Code Section 4784, DDS requested a list of PFP assessments and verified the following:

- Identified all children with DD who are receiving the following services:

  (a) All 24-hour, out-of-home community care received through a regional center for children under the age of 18 years;

  (b) 24-hour care for such minor children in state hospitals;

  (c) provided, however, that no ability to pay determination may be made for services required by state or federal law, or both, to be provided to children without charge to their parents.

- Provided DDS with a listing of new placements, terminated cases, and client deaths for those clients. Such listings must be provided not later than the 20th day of the month following the month of such occurrence.

- Informed parents of children who will be receiving services that DDS is required to determine parents' ability to pay and to assess, bill, and collect parental fees.

- Provided parents a package containing an informational letter, a Family Financial Statement (FFS), and a return envelope within 10 working days after placement of a minor child.

- Provided DDS a copy of each informational letter given or sent to parents, indicating the addressee and the date given or mailed.

IX. Procurement

The Request for Proposal (RFP) process was implemented so that regional centers outline the vendor selection process when using the RFP process to address consumer service needs. As of January 1, 2011, DDS requires regional centers to document their contracting practices, as well as how particular vendors are selected to provide consumer services. By implementing a procurement process, regional centers will ensure that the most cost-effective service providers, amongst comparable service providers, are selected, as required by the Lanterman Act and the State Contract. To determine whether the regional center implemented the required RFP process, DDS performed the following procedures during the audit review:

- Reviewed the regional center's contracting process to ensure the existence of a Board-approved procurement policy and to verify that the RFP process ensures competitive bidding, as required by Article II of the State Contract, as amended.

- Reviewed the RFP contracting policy to determine whether the protocols in place included applicable dollar thresholds and comply with Article II of the State Contract, as amended.

- Reviewed the RFP notification process to verify that it is open to the public and clearly communicated to all vendors. All submitted proposals are evaluated by a team of individuals to determine whether proposals are properly documented, recorded, and authorized by appropriate officials at the regional center. The process was reviewed to ensure that the vendor selection process is transparent and impartial and avoids the appearance of favoritism. Additionally, DDS verified that supporting documentation is retained for the selection process and, in instances where a vendor with a higher bid is selected, written documentation is retained as justification for such a selection.

DDS performed the following procedures to determine compliance with the State Contract:

- Selected a sample of Operations, Community Placement Plan (CPP), and negotiated POS contracts subject to competitive bidding to ensure the regional center notified the vendor community and the public of contracting opportunities available.

- Reviewed the contracts to ensure that the regional center has adequate and detailed documentation for the selection and evaluation process of vendor proposals and written justification for final vendor selection decisions and that those contracts were properly signed and executed by both parties to the contract.

In addition, DDS performed the following procedures:

- To determine compliance with the W&I Code, Section 4625.5: Reviewed to verify that the regional center has a written policy requiring the Board to review and approve any of its contracts of two hundred fifty thousand dollars ($250,000) or more before entering into a contract with the vendor.

- Reviewed the regional center Board-approved Operations, Start-Up, and POS vendor contracts of $250,000 or more, to verify that the inclusion of a provision for fair and equitable recoupment of funds for vendors that cease to provide services to consumers; verified that the funds provided were specifically used to establish new or additional services to consumers, the

usage of funds is of direct benefit to consumers, and the contracts are supported with sufficiently detailed and measurable performance expectations and results.

The process above was conducted in order to assess the current RFP process and Board approval for contracts of $250,000 or more, as well as to determine whether the process in place satisfies the W&I Code and State Contract requirements.

X. Statewide/Regional Center Median Rates

The Statewide and Regional Center Median Rates were implemented on July 1, 2008, and amended on December 15, 2011, July 1, 2016, and April 1, 2022. Regional centers may not negotiate rates higher than the set median rates for services. Despite the median rate requirement, rate increases can be obtained from DDS under health and safety exemptions where regional centers demonstrate the exemption is necessary for the health and safety of the consumers.

To determine compliance with the Lanterman Act, DDS performed the following procedures during the audit review:

- Reviewed sample vendor files to determine whether the regional center is using appropriately vendorized service providers and correct service codes, and is paying authorized contract rates and complying with the median rate requirements of W&I Code Section 4691.9.

- Reviewed vendor contracts to verify that the regional center is reimbursing vendors using authorized contract median rates and verified that rates paid represented the lower of the statewide or regional center median rate set after June 30, 2008. Additionally, DDS verified that providers vendorized before June 30, 2008, did not receive any unauthorized rate increases, except in situations where required by regulation, or health and safety exemptions were granted by DDS.

- Reviewed vendor contracts to verify that the regional center did not negotiate rates with new service providers for services which are higher than the regional center's median rate for the same service code and unit of service, or the statewide median rate for the same service code and unit of service, whichever is lower. DDS also verified that units of service designations conformed with existing regional center designations or, if none exists, checked that units of service conformed to a designation used to calculate the statewide median rate for the same service code.

XI. Other Sources of Funding from DDS

Regional centers may receive other sources of funding from DDS. DDS performed sample tests on identified sources of funds from DDS to ensure the regional center's accounting staff were inputting data properly, and that transactions were properly recorded and claimed. In addition, tests were performed to determine if the expenditures were reasonable and supported by documentation. The sources of funding from DDS identified in this audit may include:

- CPP;
- Part C – Early Start Program; and
- Self Determination.

XII. Follow-up Review on Prior DDS Audit Finding(s)

As an essential part of the overall DDS monitoring system, a follow-up review of prior DDS audit finding(s) was conducted, if applicable. DDS identified a prior audit finding and reviewed supporting documentation to determine the degree of completeness of implementation of corrective actions.

APPENDIX B

GGRC'S RESPONSE TO THE AUDIT

The regional center agrees with the contents of the audit report. There were no audit findings. To request a copy of this document, please contact the DDS Audit Section at (916) 654-3695.