



PETE CERVINKA
DIRECTOR

State of California—Health and Human Services Agency
Department of Developmental Services
1215 O Street, Sacramento, CA 95814
www.dds.ca.gov



GAVIN NEWSOM
GOVERNOR

AUDIT OF THE TRI-COUNTIES REGIONAL CENTER FOR FISCAL YEARS 2021-22 AND 2022-23

January 3, 2025

DDS TEAM

Ann Nakamura, Branch Chief, Research, Audit, and Evaluation Branch
Edward Yan, Manager, Audit Section
Luciah Ellen Nzima, Chief, Regional Center Audit Unit
Staci Yasui, Supervisor, Regional Center Audit Unit
Audit Staff: Wilson Chau, Diosdado Agustin, Lisa Chiang and Andrew Quok

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
RESTRICTED USE	1
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
BACKGROUND	2
AUTHORITY	2
CRITERIA	2
VIEWS OF RESPONSIBLE OFFICIALS	3
CONCLUSIONS	3
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	4
EVALUATION OF RESPONSE	7
ATTACHMENT A	8
ATTACHMENT B	9
APPENDIX A	10
APPENDIX B	20

RESTRICTED USE

This audit report is solely for the information and use of DDS, CMS, Department of Health Care Services, and the regional center. This restriction does not limit distribution of this audit report, which is a matter of public record.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Department of Developmental Services (DDS) conducted a fiscal compliance audit of Tri-Counties Regional Center (TCRC) to assess compliance with the requirements set forth in the Lanterman Developmental Disabilities Services Act and Related Laws/Welfare and Institutions (W&I) Code; the Home and Community-based Services (HCBS) Waiver for the Developmentally Disabled; California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 17; Federal Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circulars A-122 and A-133; and the contract with DDS. Overall, the audit indicated that the regional center maintains accounting records and supporting documentation for transactions in an organized manner.

The audit period was July 1, 2021, through June 30, 2023, with follow-up, as needed, into prior and subsequent periods. This report identifies some areas where the regional center's administrative and operational controls could be strengthened, but none of the findings were of a nature that would indicate systemic issues or constitute major concerns regarding the regional center's operations.

A follow-up review was performed to determine whether the regional center has taken corrective action to resolve the findings identified in the prior DDS audit report. The results of the follow-up can be found in the Conclusions section.

Finding that needs to be addressed.

Finding 1: Negotiated Rate Above the Statewide Median Rate (Repeat) – TCRC continued to reimburse one Purchase of Service (POS) vendor, [REDACTED], Vendor Number PT1394, Service Code 642, Sub Code ASL, above the Statewide Median (SWM) Rate. This resulted in overpayments totaling \$11,981.09 from January 2022 through March 2024.

Findings that have been addressed and corrected.

Finding 2: Missing Documentation – TCRC did not have the Home and Community-Based Services (HCBS) Provider Agreement, Vendor Application (DS 1890) and Applicant/Vendor Disclosure Statement (DS 1891) Forms for one vendor, Hill Horizons Org H2O, Vendor Number HT0336, Service Code 896.

Finding 3: Overstated Claims – TCRC overstated claims for 37 vendors, totaling \$55,610.58.

BACKGROUND

DDS and Tri-Counties Association for the Developmentally Disabled, Inc., entered into State Contract HD199020, effective July 1, 2019, through June 30, 2026. This contract specifies that Tri-Counties Association for the Developmentally Disabled, Inc. will operate an agency known as the TCRC to provide services to individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities (IDD) and their families. The contract is funded by state and federal funds that are dependent upon the regional center performing certain tasks, providing services to eligible consumers, and submitting billings to DDS.

This audit was conducted from April 9, 2024, through May 16, 2024, by the Audit Section of DDS.

AUTHORITY

The audit was conducted under the authority of the W&I Code, Section 4780.5 and the State Contract between DDS and the regional center.

CRITERIA

The following criteria were used for this audit:

- W&I Code,
- Approved Application for the HCBS Waiver for the Developmentally Disabled,
- CCR, Title 17,
- OMB Circulars A-122 and A-133, and
- The State Contract between DDS and the regional center, effective July 1, 2019.

VIEWS OF RESPONSIBLE OFFICIALS

DDS issued the draft audit report on September 25, 2024. The findings in the draft audit report were discussed at a formal exit conference on October 2, 2024. The views of responsible officials are included in this final audit report.

CONCLUSIONS

Based upon the audit procedures performed, DDS has determined that except for the items identified in the Findings and Recommendations section, the regional center was in compliance with applicable audit criteria.

The costs claimed during the audit period were for program purposes and adequately supported.

From our review of two prior DDS audit findings, it has been determined that the regional center has taken appropriate corrective action to resolve one finding.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Finding that needs to be addressed.

Finding 1: Negotiated Rate Above the Statewide Median Rate (Repeat)

The review of 97 sampled POS vendor files revealed TCRC continued to reimburse [REDACTED], Vendor Number PT1394, Service Code 642, Sub Code ASL, above the SWM Rate. In its response to the prior DDS audit report, TCRC disagreed with the finding and claimed the rate was below the 2016 SWM Rate. However, the documentation provided to support this claim was not in effect at the time [REDACTED] was vendorized in 2015. TCRC continued to reimburse [REDACTED] at a rate of \$34.73 per hour, when the SWM Rate for sub code ASL was \$25.88. This resulted in overpayments totaling \$11,981.09 from January 2022 through March 2024. (See Attachment A)

W&I Code, Section 4691.9(a)(2) states in part:

“A regional center shall not negotiate a rate with a new service provider, for services where rates are determined through a negotiation between the regional center and the provider, that is higher than the regional center’s median rate for the same service code and unit of service, or the statewide median rate for the same service code and unit of service, whichever is lower.”

Recommendation:

TCRC must reimburse to DDS the overpayments totaling \$11,981.09. In addition, TCRC must comply with W&I Code, Section 4691.9 and ensure that all vendor rates negotiated after June 30, 2008, are within the Statewide/TCRC Median Rates.

Findings that have been addressed and corrected.

Finding 2: Missing Documentation

The review of 97 sampled POS vendor files revealed the HCBS Provider Agreement, DS 1890 and DS 1891 Forms are missing for one vendor, Hill Horizons Org H2O, Vendor Number HT0336, Service Code 896. TCRC did not state a reason why the forms are missing. TCRC subsequently received the HCBS Provider Agreement, DS 1890 and DS 1891 Forms in November 2024 and provided them to DDS.

CCR, Title 17, Section 54332(a)(1) and (8), states in part:

- “(a) The vendoring regional center shall maintain a file for each vendor which includes copies of:
 - (1) The vendor application as described in Section 54310(a) of these regulations;
 - (8) The signed Home and Community Based Services Provider Agreement, (6/99) if applicable.”

CCR, Title 17, Section 54310(a), states:

- “(a) An applicant who desires to be vendored shall submit Form DS 1890 (7/2011), entitled Vendor Application, and the information specified in (1) through (10) below, to the vendoring regional center.”

CCR, Title 17, Section 54311(c) and (d), states:

- “(c) All current vendors shall submit a signed and dated DS 1891 (7/2011) to the regional center within 120 days of the effective date of these regulations for review by regional center by June 30, 2012.”
- (d) In addition to (c) above, the vendor shall supply the information in subsection (a) above upon written request to the vendoring regional center, Department of Developmental Services . . .”

Recommendation:

TCRC should ensure it maintains a copy of each vendor document required per CCR, Title 17, Section 54332(a).

Finding 3: Overstated Claims

The review of the Operational Indicator Reports revealed 48 instances where TCRC overpaid 37 vendors a total of \$55,610.58 due to duplicate payments or overlapping authorizations. TCRC has since recovered the overstated claims totaling \$55,610.58. (See Attachment B)

CCR, Title 17, Section 57300(c)(2) states:

- “(c) Regional Centers shall not reimburse vendors:

(2) For services in an amount greater than the rate established pursuant to these regulations.”

Recommendation:

TCRC should monitor its Operational Indicator reports regularly to ensure it only reimburses vendors for services provided.

EVALUATION OF RESPONSE

Finding that needs to be addressed.

Finding 1: Negotiated Rate Above the Statewide Median Rate (Repeat)

TCRC did not agree that the rate paid to [REDACTED] was above the SWM and continued to pay above the SWM rate. In addition, TCRC made a request to the DDS Audit section to authorize [REDACTED] to remain at the current subcode instead of being moved to the lower ASL subcode, which was denied. To resolve this issue, TCRC stated it plans to work with DDS to obtain a Health and Safety Waiver to support the payments above the SWM Rate that were made to [REDACTED], but did not address the overpayments totaling \$11,981.09 from January 2022 through March 2024. Therefore, TCRC must reimburse DDS the overpayments totaling \$11,981.09 or provide documentation indicating a Health and Safety Waiver has been retroactively approved to January 2022. DDS will follow-up on this item during the next biennial audit to determine whether the rate has been adjusted or supported by an approved Health and Safety Waiver.

Finding that has been addressed and corrected.

Finding 2: Missing Documentation

TCRC explained in its response dated October 28, 2024, that it had made unsuccessful attempts to obtain the missing HCBS Provider Agreement, DS 1890 and DS 1891 Forms from the vendor and had a corrective action plan in place for the vendor to provide these documents. TCRC also sent a letter to the vendor in October 2024 indicating a deadline and consequences if the requested documents were not obtained. However, TCRC subsequently received the HCBS Provider Agreement, DS 1890 and DS 1891 Forms in November 2024 and provided them to DDS. Therefore, this issue is resolved.

ATTACHMENT A

TRI-COUNTIES REGIONAL CENTER

To request a copy of the attachment for this audit report, please contact the DDS Audit Section at (916) 654-3695.

ATTACHMENT B

TRI-COUNTIES REGIONAL CENTER

To request a copy of the attachment for this audit report, please contact the DDS Audit Section at (916) 654-3695.

APPENDIX A

SCOPE, OBJECTIVES, AND METHODOLOGY

DDS is responsible, under the W&I Code, for ensuring that persons with intellectual and developmental disabilities receive the services and supports they need to lead more independent, productive, and integrated lives. To secure these services and supports, DDS contracts with 21 private, nonprofit community agencies/corporations that provide fixed points of contact in the community for serving eligible individuals and their families in California. These fixed points of contact are referred to as regional centers. The regional centers are responsible under State law to help ensure that such persons receive access to the programs and services that are best suited to them throughout their lifetime.

DDS also is responsible for providing assurance to the federal Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare, and Medicaid Services (CMS), that services billed under California's HCBS Waiver program are provided and that criteria set forth for receiving funds have been met. As part of providing this assurance, the Audit Section conducts fiscal compliance audits of each regional center no less than every two years and completes follow-up reviews in alternate years.

In addition to the fiscal compliance audit, each regional center also is monitored by the DDS Federal Programs Operations Section to assess overall programmatic compliance with HCBS Waiver requirements. The HCBS Waiver compliance monitoring review has its own criteria and processes. These audits and program reviews are an essential part of an overall DDS monitoring system that provides information on the regional centers' fiscal, administrative, and program operations.

This audit was conducted as part of the overall DDS monitoring system that provides information on the regional centers' fiscal, administrative, and program operations. The objectives of this audit were:

- To determine compliance with the W&I Code,
- To determine compliance with the provisions of the HCBS Waiver Program for the Developmentally Disabled,
- To determine compliance with CCR, Title 17 regulations,
- To determine compliance with OMB Circulars A-122 and A-133, and
- To determine that costs claimed were in compliance with the provisions of the State Contract between DDS and the regional center.

The audit was conducted in accordance with the Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. However, the procedures do not constitute an audit of the regional center's financial statements. DDS limited the scope to planning and performing audit procedures necessary to obtain reasonable assurance that the regional center was in compliance with the objectives identified above.

DDS' review of the regional center's internal control structure was conducted to gain an understanding of the transaction flow and the policies and procedures, as necessary, to develop appropriate auditing procedures.

DDS reviewed available annual audit report(s) that were conducted by an independent CPA firm. This review was performed to determine the impact, if any, upon the DDS audit and, as necessary, develop appropriate audit procedures.

The audit procedures performed included the following:

I. Purchase of Service

DDS selected a sample of Purchase of Service (POS) claims billed to DDS. The sample included consumer services and vendor rates. The sample also included consumers who were eligible for the HCBS Waiver Program. For POS claims, the following procedures were performed:

- DDS tested the sample items to determine if the payments made to service providers were properly claimed and could be supported by appropriate documentation.
- DDS selected a sample of invoices for service providers with daily and hourly rates, standard monthly rates, and mileage rates to determine if supporting attendance documentation was maintained by the regional center. The rates charged for the services provided to individual consumers were reviewed to ensure compliance with the provision of the W&I Code; the HCBS Waiver for the Developmentally Disabled; CCR, Title 17, OMB Circulars A-122 and A-133; and the State Contract between DDS and the regional center.
- If applicable to this audit, DDS selected a sample of individual Consumer Trust Accounts to determine if there were any unusual activities and whether any account balances exceeded \$2,000, as prohibited by the Social Security Administration. In addition, DDS determined if any retroactive Social Security benefit payments received exceeded the \$2,000 resource limit for longer than nine months. DDS also reviewed these accounts to ensure that the interest earnings were distributed quarterly, personal and incidental funds were paid before the 10th of each month, and proper documentation for expenditures was maintained.
- If applicable to this audit, the Client Trust Holding Account, an account used to hold unidentified consumer trust funds, was tested to determine whether funds received were properly identified to a consumer or returned to the Social Security Administration in a timely manner. An interview with the regional center staff revealed that the regional center has procedures in place to determine the correct recipient of unidentified consumer trust funds. If the correct recipient cannot be determined, the funds are

returned to the Social Security Administration or other sources in a timely manner.

- If applicable to this audit, DDS selected a sample of Uniform Fiscal Systems (UFS) reconciliations to determine if any accounts were out of balance or if there were any outstanding items that were not reconciled.
- DDS analyzed all bank accounts to determine whether DDS had signatory authority, as required by the State Contract with DDS.
- DDS selected a sample of bank reconciliations for Operations (OPS) accounts and Consumer Trust bank accounts to determine if the reconciliations were properly completed on a monthly basis.

II. Regional Center Operations

DDS selected a sample of OPS claims billed to DDS to determine compliance with the State Contract. The sample included various expenditures claimed for administration that were reviewed to assure that accounting staff properly input data, transactions were recorded on a timely basis, and expenditures charged to various operating areas were valid and reasonable. The following procedures were performed:

- A sample of the personnel files, timesheets, payroll ledgers, and other support documents were selected to determine if there were any overpayments or errors in the payroll or the payroll deductions.
- A sample of OPS expenses, including, but not limited to, purchases of office supplies, consultant contracts, insurance expenses, and lease agreements were tested to determine compliance with CCR, Title 17, and the State Contract.
- A sample of equipment was selected and physically inspected to determine compliance with requirements of the State Contract.
- DDS reviewed the regional center's policies and procedures for compliance with the DDS Conflict of Interest regulations, and DDS selected a sample of personnel files to determine if the policies and procedures were followed.

III. Targeted Case Management (TCM) and Regional Center Rate Study

The TCM Rate Study determines the DDS rate of reimbursement from the federal government. The following procedures were performed upon the study:

- DDS examined the two TCM Rate Studies submitted to DDS during the audit period and traced the reported information to source documents.
- A review of the recent Case Management Time Study (required to be submitted every three years) is conducted if the study was not reviewed during the prior audit. DDS selected a sample of the Case Management Time Study Forms (DS 1916) for examination and reconciled them to the corresponding payroll timesheets to ensure that the forms were properly completed and supported.

IV. Service Coordinator Caseload Survey

Under the W&I Code, Section 4640.6(e), regional centers are required to provide service coordinator caseload data to DDS. The following average service coordinator-to-consumer ratios apply per W&I Code Section 4640.6(c)(1)(2)(3)(A)(B)(C):

“(c) Contracts between the department and regional centers shall require regional centers to have service coordinator-to-consumer ratios, as follows:

- (1) An average service coordinator-to-consumer ratio of 1 to 62 for all consumers who have not moved from the developmental centers to the community since April 14, 1993. In no case shall a service coordinator for these consumers have an assigned caseload in excess of 79 consumers for more than 60 days.
- (2) An average service coordinator-to-consumer ratio of 1 to 45 for all consumers who have moved from a developmental center to the community since April 14, 1993. In no case shall a service coordinator for these consumers have an assigned caseload in excess of 59 consumers for more than 60 days.
- (3) The following coordinator-to-consumer ratios shall apply:
 - (A) All consumers enrolled in the Home and Community-based Services Waiver program for persons with developmental disabilities, an average service coordinator-to-consumer ratio of 1 to 62.

- (B) All consumers who have moved from a developmental center to the community since April 14, 1993, and have lived continuously in the community for at least 12 months, an average service coordinator-to-consumer ratio of 1 to 62.
- (C) All consumers who have not moved from the developmental centers to the community since April 14, 1993, and who are not described in subparagraph (A), an average service coordinator-to-consumer ratio of 1 to 66.
- (4) Notwithstanding paragraphs (1) to (3), inclusive, an average service coordinator-to-consumer ratio of 1 to 40 for all consumers five years of age and younger.
- (5) (A) Notwithstanding paragraphs (1) to (3), inclusive, enhanced service coordination, including a service coordinator-to-consumer ratio of 1 to 40, shall be available to consumers identified as having low or no purchase-of-service expenditures, as identified in the annual Budget Act.
- (6) (A) Notwithstanding paragraphs (1) to (3), inclusive, an average service coordinator-to-consumer ratio of 1 to 25 for all consumers with complex needs.
- (7) For purposes of paragraph (3), service coordinators may have a mixed caseload of consumers three years of age and younger, consumers enrolled in the Home and Community-based Services Waiver program for persons with developmental disabilities, and other consumers if the overall average caseload is weighted proportionately to ensure that overall regional center average service coordinator-to-consumer ratios as specified in paragraph (3) are met. For purposes of paragraph (3), in no case shall a service coordinator have an assigned caseload in excess of 84 for more than 60 days.”

DDS also reviewed the Service Coordinator Caseload Survey methodology used in calculating the caseload ratios to determine reasonableness and that supporting documentation is maintained to support the survey and the ratios as required by W&I Code, Section 4640.6(e).

V. Early Intervention Program (EIP; Part C Funding)

For the EIP, there are several sections contained in the Early Start Plan. However, only the Part C section was applicable for this review.

VI. Family Cost Participation Program (FCPP)

The FCPP was created for the purpose of assessing consumer costs to parents based on income level and dependents. The family cost participation assessments are only applied to respite, day care, and camping services that are included in the child's Individual Program Plan (IPP)/Individualized Family Services Plan (IFSP). To determine whether the regional center was in compliance with CCR, Title 17, and the W&I Code, Section 4783, DDS performed the following procedures during the audit review:

- Reviewed the list of consumers who received respite, day care, and camping services, for ages 0 through 17 years who live with their parents and are not Medi-Cal eligible, to determine their contribution for the FCPP.
- Reviewed the parents' income documentation to verify their level of participation based on the FCPP Schedule.
- Reviewed copies of the notification letters to verify that the parents were notified of their assessed cost participation within 10 working days of receipt of the parents' income documentation.
- Reviewed vendor payments to verify that the regional center was paying for only its assessed share of cost.

VII. Annual Family Program Fee (AFPF)

The AFPF was created for the purpose of assessing an annual fee of up to \$200 based on the income level of families with children between the ages of 0 through 17 years receiving qualifying services through the regional center. The AFPF fee shall not be assessed or collected if the child receives only respite, day care, or camping services from the regional center and a cost for participation was assessed to the parents under FCPP. To determine compliance with the W&I Code, Section 4785, DDS requested a list of AFPF assessments and verified the following:

- The adjusted gross family income is at or above 400 percent of the federal poverty level based upon family size.
- The child has a DD or is eligible for services under the California Early Intervention Services Act.
- The child is less than 18 years of age and lives with his or her parent.
- The child or family receives services beyond eligibility determination, needs assessment, and service coordination.

- The child does not receive services through the Medi-Cal program.
- Documentation was maintained by the regional center to support reduced assessments.

VIII. Parental Fee Program (PFP)

The PFP was created for the purpose of prescribing financial responsibility to parents of children under the age of 18 years who are receiving 24-hour, out-of-home care services through a regional center or who are residents of a state hospital or on leave from a state hospital. Parents shall be required to pay a fee depending upon their ability to pay, but not to exceed (1) the cost of caring for a child without DD at home, as determined by the Director of DDS, or (2) the cost of services provided, whichever is less. To determine compliance with the W&I Code Section 4784, DDS requested a list of PFP assessments and verified the following:

- Identified all children with DD who are receiving the following services:
 - (a) All 24-hour, out-of-home community care received through a regional center for children under the age of 18 years;
 - (b) 24-hour care for such minor children in state hospitals;
 - (c) provided, however, that no ability to pay determination may be made for services required by state or federal law, or both, to be provided to children without charge to their parents.
- Provided DDS with a listing of new placements, terminated cases, and client deaths for those clients. Such listings must be provided not later than the 20th day of the month following the month of such occurrence.
- Informed parents of children who will be receiving services that DDS is required to determine parents' ability to pay and to assess, bill, and collect parental fees.
- Provided parents a package containing an informational letter, a Family Financial Statement (FFS), and a return envelope within 10 working days after placement of a minor child.
- Provided DDS a copy of each informational letter given or sent to parents, indicating the addressee and the date given or mailed.

IX. Procurement

The Request for Proposal (RFP) process was implemented so that regional centers outline the vendor selection process when using the RFP process to address consumer service needs. As of January 1, 2011, DDS requires regional centers to document their contracting practices, as well as how particular vendors are selected to provide consumer services. By implementing a procurement process, regional centers will ensure that the most cost-effective service providers, amongst comparable service providers, are selected, as required by the Lanterman Act and the State Contract. To determine whether the regional center implemented the required RFP process, DDS performed the following procedures during the audit review:

- Reviewed the regional center's contracting process to ensure the existence of a Board-approved procurement policy and to verify that the RFP process ensures competitive bidding, as required by Article II of the State Contract, as amended.
- Reviewed the RFP contracting policy to determine whether the protocols in place included applicable dollar thresholds and comply with Article II of the State Contract, as amended.
- Reviewed the RFP notification process to verify that it is open to the public and clearly communicated to all vendors. All submitted proposals are evaluated by a team of individuals to determine whether proposals are properly documented, recorded, and authorized by appropriate officials at the regional center. The process was reviewed to ensure that the vendor selection process is transparent and impartial and avoids the appearance of favoritism. Additionally, DDS verified that supporting documentation is retained for the selection process and, in instances where a vendor with a higher bid is selected, written documentation is retained as justification for such a selection.

DDS performed the following procedures to determine compliance with the State Contract:

- Selected a sample of Operations, Community Placement Plan (CPP), and negotiated POS contracts subject to competitive bidding to ensure the regional center notified the vendor community and the public of contracting opportunities available.
- Reviewed the contracts to ensure that the regional center has adequate and detailed documentation for the selection and evaluation process of vendor proposals and written justification for final vendor selection decisions and that those contracts were properly signed and executed by both parties to the contract.

In addition, DDS performed the following procedures:

- To determine compliance with the W&I Code, Section 4625.5: Reviewed to verify that the regional center has a written policy requiring the Board to review and approve any of its contracts of two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000) or more before entering into a contract with the vendor.
- Reviewed the regional center Board-approved Operations, Start-Up, and POS vendor contracts of \$250,000 or more, to verify that the inclusion of a provision for fair and equitable recoupment of funds for vendors that cease to provide services to consumers; verified that the funds provided were specifically used to establish new or additional services to consumers, the usage of funds is of direct benefit to consumers, and the contracts are supported with sufficiently detailed and measurable performance expectations and results.

The process above was conducted in order to assess the current RFP process and Board approval for contracts of \$250,000 or more, as well as to determine whether the process in place satisfies the W&I Code and State Contract requirements.

X. Statewide/Regional Center Median Rates

The Statewide and Regional Center Median Rates were implemented on July 1, 2008, and amended on December 15, 2011, July 1, 2016, and April 1, 2022. Regional centers may not negotiate rates higher than the set median rates for services. Despite the median rate requirement, rate increases can be obtained from DDS under health and safety exemptions where regional centers demonstrate the exemption is necessary for the health and safety of the consumers.

To determine compliance with the Lanterman Act, DDS performed the following procedures during the audit review:

- Reviewed sample vendor files to determine whether the regional center is using appropriately vendorized service providers and correct service codes, and is paying authorized contract rates and complying with the median rate requirements of W&I Code Section 4691.9.
- Reviewed vendor contracts to verify that the regional center is reimbursing vendors using authorized contract median rates and verified that rates paid represented the lower of the statewide or regional center median rate set after June 30, 2008. Additionally, DDS verified that providers vendorized before June 30, 2008, did not receive any unauthorized rate increases, except in situations where required by regulation, or health and safety exemptions were granted by DDS.

- Reviewed vendor contracts to verify that the regional center did not negotiate rates with new service providers for services which are higher than the regional center's median rate for the same service code and unit of service, or the statewide median rate for the same service code and unit of service, whichever is lower. DDS also verified that units of service designations conformed with existing regional center designations or, if none exists, checked that units of service conformed to a designation used to calculate the statewide median rate for the same service code.

XI. Other Sources of Funding from DDS

Regional centers may receive other sources of funding from DDS. DDS performed sample tests on identified sources of funds from DDS to ensure the regional center's accounting staff were inputting data properly, and that transactions were properly recorded and claimed. In addition, tests were performed to determine if the expenditures were reasonable and supported by documentation. The sources of funding from DDS identified in this audit may include:

- CPP;
- Part C – Early Start Program;
- Family Resource Center;
- Foster Grandparent (FGP);
- Senior Companion (SC);
- Self Determination;
- Mental Health Services Act; and
- First Five.

XII. Follow-up Review on Prior DDS Audit Finding(s)

As an essential part of the overall DDS monitoring system, a follow-up review of prior DDS audit finding(s) was conducted, if applicable. DDS identified prior audit finding(s) and reviewed supporting documentation to determine the degree of completeness of implementation of corrective actions.

APPENDIX B

TCRC'S RESPONSE TO AUDIT FINDINGS

To request a copy of the regional center response to the audit findings, please contact the DDS Audit Section at (916) 654-3695.